

ORDINARY, MS.

Public DEPARTMENT
G.O., DATED 29-5-90
No. 384.

When given for copying 3/6

[Despatch Abstract.]

Re quarterly submission
of the returns of app'ts
under sec. 17 of Act of 1877
& of those under sec 13 of
Act III of 1877.

R.C. No. 1129/90

Public

Registration Department
(Births, Deaths and Marriages.)

G. No. 86.

From
M. R. Raj. S. Srinivasa Raghavayangar Avargul,
Dewan Bahadur,
Registrar General of Births, Deaths and Marriages
Madras.

To
The Honorable J. Grose,
Acting Chief Secretary to Government,
Coimbatore.

Madras, 14th April 1890.

Sir,
I have the honor to submit a return
of appointments under Section 17 of Act VI of 1886
for the month of February 1890.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,
Srinivasa Raghavayangar
Registrar General of
Births, Deaths and Marriages.

[Signature]

[Signature]

Further Paper, No. 387
of 1891
11-5-91
456

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
18 No. MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURE.

CURRENT No. 1129

STATEMENT WITH DETAIL

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DETAIL

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR INSPECTION
IN ORIGINAL (NOT PAGED)

Full Dept.
C. of the Police
copy 4/6
date 6/6
p p

A A

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
C = Copy abstract only.
D = Copy heading only.

Record of Acting appointments under Section 17 of Act II of 18 for the month of February 1890.

District	Head quarter Station	Name of person provisionally appointed	Name of any other office held by person so appointed	Remarks	Number and date of letter or return reporting appointment
Coimbatore	Saidapet	M. H. B. N. Krishnasami Iyer	Officiating Registrar of assurances	During the absence of the permanent Registrar on tour of inspection	No. 289 dated 30th March 1890
Malappuram	Kudalpet	P. Vaidyanathan	Do	Do	No. 2. 12 February 1890
Chingleput	Chintur	G. V. Rangarao Pantulu	Do	Do	No. 57. 5 March 1890
Chittoor	Aracamund	N. Sankaranarayanan	Do	Do	No. 1. 4 March 1890
Chittoor	Tommevelly	H. Mahadonarayan	Do	Do	No. 42. 5 March 1890

of the Registrar General of Deaths and Marriages
 Rao, 14th April 1890.

Memorandum for
 Registrar General of
 Deaths, Marriages and Adoptions.
 D

elli
 7
 1890

12/90 No 260 Routine

No 1129

Public (1890) Department
Registration of Births, Deaths
and Marriages

From
The Registrar General of
Births, Deaths, and Marriages,
Madras.

To
The Acting Chief Secretary to
Government,
Madras.

Dated 14th }
Received } April 1890.

G. No 86
Enclosure (one)

Submitting returns of
appointments under Section 17
of Act II of 1886 for the month
of February 1890

The Govt. is of
opinion that the
return due under
Section 17 of Act
II of 1886 should be
submitted once a
year. A return for
March 1890 should be
sent to Govt. for
record, and the
next return should
embrace the official
year 1890/91.

Will returns once a quarter
or half year be better?
The Registrar Genl. 1890

These monthly returns
if submitted, it is submitted, of
no use. They have all the
same results brought

I think the Govt. is of opinion that the return due under Section 17 of Act II of 1886 should be submitted once a year. A return for March 1890 should be sent to Govt. for record, and the next return should embrace the official year 1890/91.

Note.

[Act VI of 1886 is
in the file of printed
orders.
The other Acts are
separate].

Public
No. 1129/90

Note.

With reference to the
remarks, § 13 of Act III of
1877, the Indian Registration
Act, runs as follows:-

"All appointments made
under § 10, § 11, or § 12 shall
be reported to the Local
Government by the Inspector
General. Such report shall
be either special or general,
as the Local Government
directs.
The Local Government may
suspend, remove or dismiss
any appointments person
appointed under the pro-
visions of this Act, and
appoint another person
in his stead."

§ 10 related to the
case of persons appointed
by the Inspector General
(in default of such
appointment, by the
Judge of the Local District
Court) to be a Registrar
during the absence of
a Registrar (not being
the Registrar of a district
or Presidency Town) otherwise
than an duty, or to

supply a vacancy;
(2) Of the case of persons
not appointed by the
Inspector Genl. in similar
circumstances where the
Deputy Registrar was
the Registrar of a district
or a Presidency town, or
where the vacancy was
in a district Registrarship
or Presidency town.

In either case, the
appointment ran until
the local Govt. ~~made~~
~~so~~ filled the vacancy.

§ 11. related to appoint-
ments made by a Registrar
absent ~~on duty~~ from
his office on duty. every
subordinate officer or officer
by a Registrar or officer
(with his or her instructions)
the Registrar or officer
the Registrar or officer

§ 12 related to appoint-
ment by Registrars &
Sub Registrars. There
can until the local
govt. fiddle up the
mess.

It is not very clear what meaning is intended to be attached to the words

Special and General in
§ 13 of the Act but
no direction by the local
Govt. was published.

The requirement in Act III
of 1877 was, in its turn,
based on § 13 of Act
VIII of 1871, which,
with the preceding section,
exactly agrees with
the later Act.

3. In his letter printed
in H. G. O. No. 958, 15 July 1877,
the ~~S. G. of Reg^{rs}~~ ^{of Special Registrars} Registered
Genl. of Assurances stated
that in Bengal "all
appointments under §
10" of Act VIII of 1871
were "specially reported
to Govt". Here the words
"specially reported"
are equivalent, it seems,
to "reported as ~~made~~
soon as made". All
other appointments under
§ 10 and all appointments
under § 11 & 12 were
"included in a monthly
report to Govt".
In the N. W. P. on the
other hand, each Registrar
supplied the Registrar
Genl. (or apparently to

Registrar General, the
Government) with quarterly
return of appointments
made under §§ 10, 11 & 12
of the Act.

In § 5 of his letter, a
kind of suggestion was
made by the Registrar
General that "a monthly
or quarterly report" of
appointments under the
three Sections should
be submitted to Govt.

In passing orders, Govt.
directed that all appoint-
ments made by a Registrar
under §§ 11 & 12 of the
Act, or by the judge
of a District Court
under § 10, should be
forthwith reported to
the S.G. & should
be included, together
with appointments made
by the S.G. himself under
§ 10, in a monthly report
to be submitted to
Govt. [§ 2 of G.O. No. 958 of
1871].

6. The existence of these
orders possibly led to
the omission to make
any direction under ~~Act~~
Section ~~10~~

Section 13 of Act III of 1871
Act VI of 1886 ~~now~~
gives over the same ground
in respect to these appoi-
nments as the Acts of
1868, 1871 & 1877, and
the provision in the
third clause of § 17 is
merely an echo of
§ 13 in those Acts.

8. However, the clause is
operative without any
general or special de-
claration by the local
Govt., the ~~Sup~~ Registrar
General has acted on
it & submits returns.
These are registered in
the Public Dept. & are
merely recorded.

9. At the same time, similar
returns under Act III
of 1877 are submitted
by the S.G. of Registration
the proceeding as the
instruction contained in
§ 2 of G.O. No. 958 of 15th
July, 1871. These are of
course registered in the
Judicial Dept., but
their "approval" is given
by Govt. to the appoi-

ments - See for instance
G.O. No. 334 of 18th March,
1890.

10. The returns are then
sent to the Sect. Genl.,
& possibly the approval
is given with the idea
that certain ^{change} allowances
may be claimed. It is
doubtful if any are
claimable, and ~~whether~~
~~or not~~ however
this may be, it is believed
to be clear that no
order of approval is
necessary to enable the
Sect. Genl. to admit
allowances to persons
appointed under an
Act, if such allowances
can be claimed under
any financial rule.

11. Nor is approval necessary
to validate the appoint-
ments themselves; these
are valid until & unless
Gaub. determines them by
an appointment of its
own, ~~as no order~~ under
the law, & an Executive
order of approval is
superfluous.

12. Whatever orders are passed
on the returns submitted
under § 13 of 1877 should,
it is thought, be passed such
as to be in accordance with the

Act VI of 1886; it cannot
be right to ~~approve~~ the
record the one set of
appointments & give approval
to the other: to com-
municate these to the
Sect. Genl. & not to com-
municate these.

13. As the monthly Sub-
missions of returns under
§ 17 of Act VI of 1886
rest on no authority save
the Section itself which
doesn't say at what
periods such returns
are to be sent; and
as the submission of
returns under § 10, 11 &
12 of Act III of 1877
depends on an order
passed with reference
to a repealed Act, it
is thought that definite
orders may now be
laid down under Section
13 of Act III of 1877
or Section 17 of Act VI
of ~~1886~~ 1886, on the
example of the N.W.P.,
prescribing quarterly
returns in both cases.
These quarterly returns
may unless Gaub. at
any time desires to

29/5
 one of the appointments
 reported by making an
 appointment itself, ~~in~~
 properly be recorded,
 the ~~general return~~ return
 under the act of 1877
 in the publ. Dept.; the
 return under the act
 of 1886 in the Public
 Department.

Returns of appointments
 under Sec 17 of act VI of 1886

21/5
 22 May

Very well. I have not much more
 differences

Yes
 25 May

ORDER 29 May 1890 No. 384.

The Govt. considers
 that the returns submitted
 in the Public Dept.
 under Section 17 of that
 VI of 1886 may be sent
 in quarterly.

Orders with reference to
 the Judicial Dept. under
 Section 13 of that III of
 1877, prescribing quarterly
 returns.

28/5
 29 of Sep.
 DISPATCHED
 IN 6 JINGO
 11 1/2
 16/4/5

See the 1886 Act

h 23.5
 21/5