

I 12-11-13

27-7-14 ✓

ORDINARY PRINTED

Public
O.O. dated 11th April 90
No 279

First copy sent to Press.

Ready for signature. 22/4

Despatched in print. 22/4

15/4

[Despatched Abstract]

Indian Paleography

Making certain enquiries
of the Syndicate of the
Madras University regard
ing the Prize to be ^{awarded} ~~awarded~~
for the best essay on

Current No. 3528/89, 254,
401, and 920 of 1890.

A

Order. 11 April /90 No. 279.

In para. 3 of its Resolu-
tion recorded in G.O. No.
2053 of 2.9.85 the Govt. of
India suggested that in
order to encourage the
education and training
of natives of India in
epigraphy a special prize
of Rs. 500 should be offered
annually at each Uni-
versity to the student
doing best in that subject
provided he was recom-
mended for the prize;
and in their resolution
embodied in G.O. No. 979,
of 13.5.86 the Syndicate
of the Madras University
expressed its willingness
to continue the subject
of epigraphy as the

"Science"

"Science Auxiliary to History" laid down in the M.A. course and recommended that the prize of Rs. 500 should be offered for a dissertation of decided merit on the subject of Palaeography. By the letter of the G.O. above quoted the proposal of the Syndicate was approved and the offer of the prize sanctioned.

2. It will be seen from the above that the prize is at present open only to candidates for the M.A. degree in the History Branch and the Govt. on reconsideration is of opinion that the object for which the prize was offered - viz. the encouragement of the study of epigraphy - is, ~~worth~~

under existing circumstances, not so likely to be ^{as} fully attained as ~~it~~ ^{it} might be.

3. The Syndicate of the Madras University will be asked whether it will undertake the adjudication of the prize in accordance with the scheme which is sketched in the following paragraph, and if so, to favor the Govt. with a draft of the Regulations proposed to govern the grant of the prize.

4. The prize to be dissociated from the M.A. degree examination and offered for the best Essay on a subject closely connected with Indian Palaeography. The subject of the essay to be published in the Fort St. George Gazette by the University

at a reasonable time
before the date - to be
fixed - for the submiss-
ion of the competing
essays. The prize to be
open for competition
by graduates of every
Faculty of not more
than ten years' standing.
The essays to be consid-
ered by adjudicators
to be nominated by
the Syndicate and
~~approved by the Govt.~~
The grant of the prize
to be recommended
by the adjudicators only
in the case of an essay
of decided merit, which
must contain clear
evidence that the
author has made a
real study of his subject.
The essay considered
worthy of the prize to
be published at the
cost of the Govt.
5. The Syndicate
will be asked whether

There should be no fee.
Nothing has been said about
fee hitherto.

H. H. S.

in its opinion any can-
didate who may secure
the prize should be
debarred from future
competitions, and
whether any - and, if
so, what - fee should
be prescribed for pay-
ment by candidates.

J. J. 2/4

J. J. B.
1.4.90.

to The Dir: of Pub: Instr: }
" Reg^r of the Uni. }
" -versity of Madras }
" Educational Dept 1 }

Desp^d
20/4/90.

11TH APRIL 1890, No. 279, PUBLIC.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

From W. H. WILSON, Esq., PH.D., Registrar of the University of Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 7th November 1889, No. 919.

I am directed by the Syndicate to inform you that, in their opinion, the value of the prize instituted in G.O., dated 13th May 1886, No. 979, Public, for the encouragement of Indian Palæography is unnecessarily large, and that the object which Government have in view in offering the prize would be attained to an equal extent were its value reduced from Rs. 500 to Rs. 200.

No. 3528-C.

Referred to the Director of Public Instruction for remarks.

30th November 1889.

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

From D. DUNCAN, Esq., M.A., D.Sc., Acting Director of Public Instruction, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 21st January 1890, No. 58—B.B.

With reference to Reference on No. 3528 of 1889, dated 30th November 1889, referring to me, for remarks, the proposal of the Syndicate of the Madras University that the prize for the encouragement of the study of Indian Palæography be reduced from Rs. 500 to Rs. 200, I have the honor to inform you that I was present at the meeting of the Syndicate at which this conclusion was arrived at and that I fully concurred with the other members of the Syndicate in this decision.

Reference, dated 29th January 1890.

With reference to his No. 58—B.B., dated 21st January 1890, the Director of Public Instruction is requested to intimate his opinion on a suggestion that has been made that the prize offered for the encouragement of the study of Indian Palæography should be dissociated from the M.A. examination and given for a separate dissertation by any graduate of the University—the Syndicate having the power, in the event of no essay being considered worthy of Rs. 500, to recommend the grant of such minor reward as they think fit.

(Signed) J. GROSE,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

From D. DUNCAN, Esq., M.A., D.Sc., Acting Director of Public Instruction, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 5th February 1890, No. 121—B.B.

With reference to No. 254 of 1890, dated 29th ultimo, I have the honor to reply that I approve of the suggestion that the large prize offered by Government for the

2/11
21/11

encouragement of the study of Indian Palæography should be thrown open to all graduates of the University, instead of being restricted to candidates for the M.A. degree in the History branch.

2. Instead, however, of throwing it open to all graduates without exception, I think it would be advisable to restrict it to graduates of not more than ten years' standing. This would tend to put the competitors on a more equal footing than they would be if the prize were open to graduates without exception. The competition should be open on equal terms to graduates of every Faculty.

3. If the prize is to be open to all graduates of not more than ten years' standing, I would not be in favor of giving the Syndicate the power, "in the event of no essay being considered worthy of Rs. 500, to recommend the grant of such minor reward as they think fit." It is true that in my letter No. 58—B.B., dated 21st ultimo, I recommended the reduction of the prize, but that was on the understanding that it was to continue to be awarded in connection with the M.A. degree examination. But if the prize is to be awarded in accordance with the suggestion referred to me for remarks, I am decidedly of opinion that it should in no case be reduced, but should be withheld altogether if none of the essays is of a very high order of merit.

4. I would further suggest that the essay deemed worthy of the prize should be published at the cost of Government. This would not involve much expense; but it would be a spur to competitors to do their best, knowing that they were writing for a wider public than a Board of University Examiners. The honor of having the essay published would probably be in some cases more highly valued than the money prize.

ORDER—dated 11th April 1890, No. 279, Public.

In paragraph 3 of its Resolution recorded in G.O., No. 2053, dated 2nd September 1885, the Government of India suggested that, in order to encourage the education and training of Natives of India in Epigraphy, a special prize of Rs. 500 should be offered annually at each University to the student doing best in that subject, provided he was recommended for the prize; and in their Resolution embodied in G.O., No. 979, dated 13th May 1886, the Syndicate of the Madras University expressed its willingness to continue the subject of Epigraphy as the "Science Auxiliary to History" laid down in the M.A. course, and recommended that the prize of Rs. 500 should be offered for a dissertation of decided merit on the subject of Palæography. By the later of the Government orders above quoted, the proposal of the Syndicate was approved and the offer of the prize sanctioned.

2. It will be seen from the above that the prize is at present open only to candidates for the M.A. degree in the History branch, and the Government, on reconsideration, is of opinion that the object for which the prize was offered, viz., the encouragement of the study of Epigraphy, is, under existing circumstances, not so likely to be as fully attained as it might be.

3. The Syndicate of the Madras University will be asked whether it will undertake the adjudication of the prize in accordance with the scheme which is sketched in the following paragraph, and, if so, to favor the Government with a draft of the regulations proposed to govern the grant of the prize.

4. The prize to be dissociated from the M.A. degree examination and offered for the best essay on a subject closely connected with Indian Palæography. The subject of the essay to be published in the *Fort St. George Gazette* by the University at a reasonable time before the date—to be fixed—for the submission of the competing essays. The prize to be open for competition by graduates of every Faculty of not more than ten years' standing. The essays to be considered by adjudicators to be nominated by the Syndicate. The grant of the prize to be recommended by the adjudicators only in the case of an essay of decided merit, which must contain clear evidence that the author has made a real study of his subject. The essay considered worthy of the prize to be published at the cost of the Government.

5. The Syndicate will be asked whether, in its opinion, any candidate who may secure the prize should be debarred from future competitions.

(True Extract.)

Ag. Chief Secretary.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
 „ Registrar of the University of Madras.
 „ Educational Department.

Exd. P. L. Pereira.

Govt. of {1890} Madras.
PUBLIC.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 11th April 1890, No. 279.

APRIL.

Indian Palæography.

Making certain inquiries of the Syndicate of the Madras University regarding the prize to be awarded for the best essay on —.

DATE PAID: No.

PRINTED: No.

2738

95

RECEIVED: ORDER OF GOVERNMENT: DATED: 11th April 1890.

RECEIVED: No.

RECEIVED: No. 3528 — a

Ref 87 30/11/89 — a

254 — a

Ref 87 29/1/90 — a

401 — a

RECEIVED: No.

RECEIVED: No. of GOVERNMENT: A.

RECEIVED: No. of FILE FOR DEPARTMENT: ORIGINAL (not paid).

RECEIVED: No. 80

RECEIVED: No. of copies: 100 only.

RECEIVED: No. of copies: 100 only. (not paid).

RECEIVED: No.

RECEIVED: No. of copies: 100 only.

RECEIVED: No. of copies: 100 only.

RECEIVED: No. of copies: 100 only.

RECEIVED: No. of copies: 100 only.

RECEIVED: No. of copies: 100 only. (not paid).

Star

57

No. 949 OF 1889 — 1890

UNIVERSITY OF MADRAS,

The 7th November 1889.

FROM

THE REGISTRAR OF THE
UNIVERSITY OF MADRAS.

TO

The Hon. the Chief Secretary
to the Government of
Fort St. George

SIR,

I am directed by the Syndicate
to inform you that, in their opinion, the
value of the prize instituted in G. O.
dated 13th May 1886, No. 979, Public, for
the encouragement of Indian Palaeography
is unnecessarily large, and that the
object which Government have in view
in offering the prize would be attained
to an equal extent were its value
reduced from Rs 500/- to Rs 200/-

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedt. Servt.,

W. M. Milson
Registrar

2/9/88 Re 2053 Pub. No. 8.

(1889.)

FROM

THE REGISTRAR OF THE
UNIVERSITY OF MADRAS.

For orders.

From the University
Calendar for 89-90, it appears
that excluding the Govt prize for
Indian Palaeography there are 2 more
prizes open to one passing the M.A.
Degree Exam. & their value is only Rs
100 and £ 6 respectively -

1. The Norton Prize for highest
marks in } Pol. Economy } Rs
100
2. The P. Munisami }
Chellu Gold medal } Sanskrit £ 6.

Compared with the above the value
of the Govt prize is not doubt large.
but it is to be noted that nobody has
succeeded in getting it since it was
instituted. The Regt. does not state
whether anyone competed for it at all.

The question whether the prize is sufficiently
attractive or not ^{can be judged only with ref.}
to the manner in which it is competed for.

The amount (Rs 500) was fixed
at the suggestion of India Co. 24/8/88
2053.

This year there was only one
candidate for the M.A. in the history
branch & no one competitor for
the prize. This is a situation (in the
viewing the Tamil alphabet) which
need not be a member of the board
& examine in this way, as a kind of


No. 279811-4-90 and

No. 919

Dated

Received

7th November



SUBJECT.

Stating that the Syndicate
are of opinion that the value
of the prize instituted for
the encouragement of
Indian Palaeography
may be reduced from
Rs 500/- to Rs 200/-

ONE

but with Rs 500, & the M.A. &
Studies in Dravidian languages,
& then the Regt. was also agreed,
have agreed on this point with the
History Exam. I understand
however & from his letter that
the purpose of the present
proposal is to be to recommend
him for the reduced prize.
The conditions under which the
prize is now awarded - & the
best candidate for the M.A. in the
History branch in each year. under
limit the field of selection, the
number of candidates in this branch
being only one or two

The prize has been offered as yet
only at once examination and it
seems to me rather too low to
attract the candidates. It cannot
be expected yet to have produced
much effect in stimulating the
study of palaeography - a thing
which does not grow like mushrooms.
The standard aimed at is high &
only to be looked for among the highest
graduates. Then would have to be
expected even if thrown open to all
graduates. I think however that
Mr. May's last suggestion might
be adopted. Otherwise I would let
things remain as they are. When
the Director's opinion before passing
final orders. Met 22 Nov

of the prize were dissociated from
the M.A. & given for a
separate dissertation by any
graduate of the University it
would be much more likely to
encourage archaeological research
than is the case at present.
If this were done, & a prize is inserted
permitting the Syndicate, in
the event of no essay being found
worthy of Rs 500, to recommend the
grant of such minor reward as
they might deem fit, the prize
might it is submitted be retained at
its present figure

For 21 Nov

C No 254

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF
PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
Madras, 21 January 1890.

No. B.B.
58

From D. Dunscombe Esq. M.A., D.Sc.,
H. B. GRIGG, Esq., M.A.,
By Director of Public Instruction,
Madras,

To
The Honble the Chief Secretary
to Government
Fort St George

SIR,
With reference to Reference on
No 3528 of 1889, dated 30th November
1889, referring to me for remarks the
proposal of the Syndicate of the
Madras University that the prize
for the encouragement of the study
of Indian Palaeography be reduced
from Rs 500 to Rs 200, I have the
honor to inform you that I was
present at the meeting of the
Syndicate at which this conclu-
sion was arrived at and that
I fully concurred with the other
members

Pubbli

For: Prize of Rs 500 for
Palaeography & Epigraphy

28
C 3528
Ref. 27 30 November 89
Referred to the D.D. for
remarks

(2 orders)
27/11/89
26/11

the D.D. / 29/11
MAILED
1889
M.S.

members of the Syndicate in
this decision.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Yours most obedt servt

H. Duncan
Ag Director of Public Instruction

20th

Pub. 13-5-86: 979/80 Pros
2-7-85: 2053
20-4-85: 966/87

Reorder. 2/29 Jan'y 90.

With ref. to his No. 2548
21/1/90, the D.P.S. is requested to
intimate his opinion on a
suggestion that has been
made that the prize offered
for the encouragement of
the study of Indian Palaeo-
graphy should be dissociated
from the M.A. exam. &
given for a separate dis-
sertation by any graduate
of the University - the Syndicate
having the power, in the
event of no essay being
considered worthy of Rs. 500
to recommend the grant
of such minor reward as
they think fit.

J.B.
23.1.90.
24/1

To the D.P.S.
23.1.90.

Pub. C. 12 254
Educational

1880.
Educational Department.

From
THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
Madras,
To
Honble the
THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Fort St. George.

No. 279 of 11-1-90 and
No. B.B.
58

Dated 21st
Despatched 21st
Received 22nd } January 1890

No.
ENCLOSURES.
Subject.
22 JAN 90

Stating that the Acting
Director of Public Instruction
is in favor of the reduction
of the value of the Prize
instituted for the encourage-
ment of the study of Indian
Palaeography from Rs 500 to
Rs 200.

C. No 401

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF
PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
Madras, 5 February 1890.

No. B.B.
121

From
D. Duncan Esq. M.A., D.Sc.,
H. B. GRIGG, Esq., M.A.,
Ag. DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
Madras,

To
The Honorable the Chief Secretary
to Government,
Fort St. George.

SIR,
With reference to No. 254 of 1890
dated 29th ultimo, I have the honor to
reply that I approve of the suggestion
that the large Prize offered by Govern-
ment for the encouragement of the
study of Indian Palaeography should be
thrown open to all graduates of the Univer-
sity, instead of being restricted to Can-
didates for the M.A. degree in the History
Branch.

2. Instead, however, of throwing it
open to all graduates without exception, I
think it would be advisable to restrict
it to graduates of not more than 10 years
standing. This would tend to put the
competitors

competitors on a more equal footing than they would be if the prize were open to graduates without exception. The competition should be open on equal terms to graduates of every Faculty.

3. If the prize is to be open to all graduates of not more than 10 years standing I would not be in favor of giving the Syndicate the power, "in the event of no essay being considered worthy of Rs 500, to recommend the grant of such minor reward as they think fit."

It is true that in my letter No. $\frac{B.B}{58}$ dated 21st ultimo, I recommended the reduction of the prize, but that was on the understanding that it was to continue to be awarded in connection with the M. A. degree Examination. But if the prize is to be awarded in accordance with the suggestion referred to me for remarks, I am decidedly of opinion that it should in no case be reduced, but should be withheld altogether if none of the essays is of a very high order of merit.

4. I would further suggest that the essay deemed worthy of the prize should

6P
should be published at the cost of Government. This would not involve much expense; but it would be a spur to competitors to do their best, knowing that they were writing for a wider public than a Board of University Examiners. The honor of having the essay published would probably be in some cases more highly valued than the money prize.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obed^t serv^t

D. Orman
Ag Director of Public Instruction

Encl.

H. B. Mr. Garstin
Hon: Mr. Stokes C.S.I.
Hon: Mr. Garstin C.S.I.

For orders with refer-
ence to the Hon: Mr.
Stokes' note dated 22nd
November 1889.

10/2

2013.
7.2.90.

I agree with Mr. Duncan's
but if the prize is dissociated from
the M.A. Exam. a special exam-
will have to be instituted with
this will meet some regulations
which the Syndicate might be asked
to frame. Mr. Garstin

11/2

I agree with Mr. Duncan's
Case 3 & 4 but if the
is dissociated from the M.A.
Exam. in history I don't see
why any fresh exam. - M.A.
instituted for it. Let it be
known to all graduates
of 10 years standing as such

11/2

I do not understand how
the prize can be given without
a special examination
but if it can be done
it can be done

11/2
11/2
11/2

Pub. Cts 401
Educational


1889.
Educational Department.

From
THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
Madras,
To
Honorable the
THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Fort St. George.

60-279711-4-90 and.

B.B.
No. 121

Dated 5th
Despatched 5th February 1890
Received 6th



ENCLOSURES 36

Subject.

Submitting his opinion
on a suggestion that the prize
offered for the encouragement
of the study of Indian Palaeogra-
phy should be dissociated
from the M.A. Examination
and given for a separate
dissertation by any graduate
of the University.

NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SECRETARY.

From the notes put up
with this file it will be
seen that one of the points
is whether Dr. Hultzsch
assistant is a graduate
of more or less than 10
years' standing. From
page 307^{of the calendar} it will be seen
that "Valaiyattur Venkay-
ya" took the B.A. degree
in 1889. He is shown as
"asst to the Epigraphist".
No such name as
"Venkayya" appears in
the list of B.A.s, but at
page 298 of the calendar
is the name "Valaiyattur
Venkayya" who took his
B.A. in 1885, his optional
subject being Ph. Science.
There seems no reason-
able doubt that the
two are the same and
if it can be placed beyond
doubt that Dr. Hultzsch's
assistant is a graduate
of less than 10 years'
standing perhaps the
Hon: Mr. Garstin will
withdraw his objection

to the 10 years restriction.
a memo: to Dr. H.
is submitted for approval.
al -

J.D.B.
18/3/90

I have found the memo

JG
19/3

Memo No 54 d/18/3/90

Dr. Hultsach is
requested to report
without delay in
what year his assist-
ant - V. Venkayya
M.A. - took his B.A.
degree.

JG
19/3

Dr. Hultsach despd
20/3/90

note for the
editor

No. 129.

From
Dr E. Hultzsch,
Epigraphist,
Archaeological Survey of Southern India,

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Madras.

Sir,

In reply to your letter C No. 401, dated
20th instant, I have the honour to report that
my assistant, V. Venkayya, M. A., took his
B. A. degree in 1885.

I have the honour to be, Sir,
your most obedient servant,

E. Hultzsch
Epigraphist.

C. No. 920

Camp Tanjore,
23rd March 1890.

It is
placed in the
book
120 B
19/3

Pub. C. No 920

Go 279 of 11-4-90 and.

From

Dr. C. Hultsch
Epigraphist

129

Dated 23^d }
Recd 25th } March 90.

Subject

Reporting that his asstth
J. Sunkayya M. A. took his
B. A. Degree in 1905.

Note for the Hon'ble
Mr. Garstin C.S.I.

With reference to the notes on the back of No. 401, will the H.M. kindly indicate the steps he would propose should be taken in view to awarding the prize of Rs. 500?

Feb. 90.

The prize is a sum of £100 500
 to be given to the writer of the
 best essay on a subject closely
 connected with ^{history} palaeography.
 At present, as I understand
 the case, only persons who have
 taken the degree of M.A. in
 history can compete. My
 proposal has intended to
 what Mr. Duncan suggests -
 viz: that instead of submitting
 the composition ~~to~~ ^{the} all products of 10 years
 of study should be allowed to compete for it.
 No examⁿ is given to the winner.
 all that is required is that
 of the degree to publish the subject
 of the essay in the Gazette a
 certain time before the essays
 are to be sent in. I shall write
 on any subject in the
 subject of the essay. 3/3/90
 W. H. St. John

You: all^{rs} Stokes. c. s. I.
H. E. the Governor.

The attached note by
the Hon: Mr. Garstin is
submitted with reference
to previous notes on the
subject.

The prize of Rs. 500 is not now restricted to persons who have passed the M.A. degree examⁿ. It will be seen from page 2 of G.O. No. 979.980, dt 13. May. 06, (in the file) that a candidate for the M.A. degree in the History Branch has to send in a dissertation with his application for the examⁿ. Advantage was taken of this opportunity to offer the prize "for a dissertation of decided merit on the subject of Palæography, a condition being that it shall contain evidence of a real study of inscriptions".

[Handwritten signature]

If the Hon. Mr. Garstin's suggestion be adopted it will be very necessary that the condition above quoted be not lost sight of, for with the help of books and friends it might be possible for the candidate who actually knew least about the subject to send in the best essay. If the winning essay is to be published it will serve as an excellent guide for future competitors. It should perhaps be ruled that the prize cannot be taken more than once by the same man.

It is pointed out that if the prize is thrown open to all graduates of not more than 10 years' standing Dr. Hultsch's assistant will be eligible to compete for it. His name is Venka Aiyer and he

my hon. colleagues seems to have misapprehended the matter. The competition is not confined to persons who have taken the M.A. degree, but Indian Epigraphy is prescribed as a "science ancillary to History" under the V. th (or 11th) Branch of the Exam. ^{the prize is} for the M.A. degree. ^{the prize is} awarded on the results of the M.A. Exam. & the competition is confined to candidates for the M.A. degree in the History Branch. I was therefore correct in saying that if the competition is dissociated from the M.A. degree a separate Exam. — even though it could only of adjudication on an essay — will be necessary.

If the competition is restricted to persons who have taken the M.A. degree, persons of not more than 10 years' standing Venka Aiyer will be excluded as he is a graduate of 15 years. I am sorry for it, but I am of opinion that the prize, which is intended to encourage the study of Epigraphy

rather than to stimulate the production of results of value to science should be confined to graduates who may still be held to be studying & therefore to graduates of not more than 10 years' standing. If the University will undertake the Exam., well & good.

Mrs
7 Mar

My hon. colleagues consider that a competition for an essay prize & the adjudication thereon is the same as the adjudication on the exam. I doubt whether longer the competition & longer the adjudication I do not mind to be protracted to the limit of 10 years. I will not adopt the limit of 10 years from graduation proposed by Dr. Deneen if it will exclude Venka Aiyer. Let us propose to compete. 16/3 LHS

Vote for the Hon. Mr. Garstin C.S.D.
With refce. to Dr. Hultsch's letter No. 129, of 23.3.90 (put up) indicating that his assistant took his B.A. degree in 1885 will the Hon. withdraw his objection to the adoption of the proposed 10 years limit?

3/3/90
3/3/90
26/3

took his B.A. degree in 1885. It is submitted that he possesses exceptional advantages as he has been working with the Dr. for some time and his work is well spoken of by him.

For orders.

It will be necessary to designate the adjudicators & to say how & when the essays are to be sent in. I see no objection to Venka Aiyer competing, or getting the prize more than once. The examination I suppose, with entire integrity of the adjudication. Similar prizes are offered at pages of x & xv & x & v of the Cambridge Calendar which is put up. Perhaps the Lyndebank might be held to frame the notice

JG
3

Grave & Porcupine Canyon
Indian Palace map

General
St. B. the Governor
Hon. Mr. Garret

Pub 80. 279

4/4/90

C. 6/3
R. 10/2

C. 10/3
R. 10/2