

23/5/58 ✓
26/5/58 ✓

ORDINARY, MS.

Publ. DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED 8th April 90
No. 269

24-5-05 ✓

2-2-79
3-10-88
24-1-00
17/9/03

9-1-05 ✓
10-2-05 ✓
21-3-08 ✓
23-6-17

When given for copying 10/4

[Despatch Abstract.]

Regretting inability
to accede to D. Probst's request
for the loan of certain
Sanskrit MSS. to D. R.
Simon.

5.3.90
JH

C. L. 680

London,

INDIA OFFICE

WHITEHALL

The Chief Secretary, Govt of Madras.

Febr. 14, 1890.

Sir,

Dr. R. Simon, of the University of Halle, who is coming to London early in June this year, has asked me to obtain for him the loan, for a period of six months, of the Sanskrit MSS. specified in Dr. W. Taylor's "Catalogue raisonné" as follows:-
Vol. I, p. 343, No. 1440; p. 345, No. 1627;
Vol. II, p. 57, No. 742; p. 368, No. 522; p. 55, No. 713;
p. 56, No. 737; p. 58, No. 774; p. 201, No. 660, 6;
p. 766, No. 192, 3.

The MSS. will collate these MSS. in the Reading Room of the Library of this Office where they will remain in my custody.

Yours very faithfully
R. Rost,
Librarian.

! All the numbers referred to
in the letter do exist in the Library

S. Hidyman

13/3/90.

Pub. DEPARTMENT.

From

The Librarian
India Office
London.

No.

Dated 14th July }
Received 5th March } 90

Enclosures —

SUBJECT.

Asking for certain manus-
cripts specified in Dr.
W. Taylor's "Catalogue
Raisonne" for the use of
Dr. R. Simon.

8/8/88 No. 82
30/1/86 No. 223/4
20/6/86 No. 1039/4
11/10/87 No. 1429
3/3/85 No. 543
28/5/85 No. 1245
3/3/85 No. 545
11/5/86 No. 119

To Mr.

For orders. Attention is
invited to letter No. 782 of
8.8.88 in the file in which it
is stated that there is a
standing rule - which is
strictly observed - that no
books shall be allowed to
go out of the libraries in
the Madras Presidency.

10/3
18/3/90. Previous experience
shows the necessity of observing
the standing rule to which I have
drawn no exception. Dr. Rost
must be informed that the Lib.
is constrained to maintain it.

W.S.
12 Mar
J.H. 22/3

all the books asked for are
of a nature & of an interest
character.

W. H. Miller
Librarian

10/3 10/12/2 10/12/3
10/12/3 10/12/3

8/4

8 April /90 No 269

The Govt is con-
strained to maintain the
standing rule that no
M.P. shall be allowed
to go out of the libraries
in the Madras Presidency,
and Dr. Rast will therefore
be informed that in C.
respects his inability
to accede to the request
made in behalf of
Dr. R. Simon.

from order

11/8
3/4

8/4

Dr. R. Rast,
Librarian, India
Office M.S.
10/4

PAPER, No.

PAPER, No. 18067

OF 18890

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
188 , No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No. 680

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DEBATOR
IN ORIGINAL (not paged)

N.B. -

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.

Dep 12/4 Dr. R. Rast, Librarian, India Office

ORDINARY, MS.

Pub. DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED 9th April 90
No. 270

When given for copying 11/4

[Despatch Abstract.]

Dismissing the remittance.
in the request
of S. Krishnappa
late Head Reeper, No. 100
Madras Survey with sub's
leave allowance for six
months.

C. No 828.

TO

HIS EXCELLENCY THE RIGHT HONORABLE,
LORD CONNEMARA G. C. I. E.
Governor in Council Fort Saint George.

*The humble Petition of S. KRISTNIAH Late Record
Keeper No. V. Party, Madras Survey, now residing in
Door No. 30, Nallatamby Moodaly Street, Triplicane.*

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH,

That in G. O. No. 7738 of 29th November 1889 Rev : the services of Your Excellency's humble Petitioner were ordered to be dispensed with from date of his suspension—15. 2. 88—and the reasons stated are.—

- I. "That the Petitioner has been for years engaged in large transactions which must have seriously prejudiced his efficiency as a public servant."
- II. "And he has made false returns of his lands."

The Petitioner now begs to answer the above charges seriatim—and in this he begs to split the first charge into 3 parts for convenience of reference.—

- I. a. "Largness of transactions"

Petitioner lost his father when he was 7 years old and he and his brother were left under the care of their brother-in-law who managed their paternal property and who had been all along endeavouring to improve it in various ways—In the course of his exertions he purchased coffee plantations on the Nilgiris in 1875 and in 1881 and in order to pay a portion of the price, Petitioner's brother-in-law borrowed Rupees 3,000 from one Peria Sappani Pillay.—This amount he was unable to repay and in 1883 the above Peria Sappani Pillay sued him in Court and obtained a decree against him—When he was at the point of executing it Petitioner's brother-in-law requested Petitioner for help and

* Exhibit A—Statement of assets and liabilities. under the pressing circumstances there was no alternative but to execute a joint promissory note in favour of the decree holder for the decree amount with interest aggregating to Rupees 3136/.—This amount subsequently came up to Rupees 3500/—as shewn in the statement Exhibit A.

In connection with the aforesaid coffee plantations Petitioner's brother-in-law received from time to time advances from Messrs. Stanes & Co. from 1881—In October 1883 these advances aggregated to Rupees 10,000—Messrs. Stanes & Co. wanted security for this amount and the Petitioner's brother-in-law accordingly hypothecated all his property, as well as that of the Petitioner and his brother, and all the three had to execute a joint hypothecation deed—This amount has since swollen to Rupees 22,845-4-3 as shewn in the statement Exhibit A—Out of this, Petitioner actually received only Rupees 2199-0-6, of which Rupees 1600 have already been adjusted in the shape of coffee delivered to Messrs Stanes & Co. and the balance actually due to them by the Petitioner is only rupees 599-0-6 as shewn in the first column of the statement Exhibit A.—

It will thus appear that so far as Petitioner is concerned in the matter, the afore-said decree amount is merely nominal and is in fact tacitly admitted as such by Messrs Dymes & Co., Trustees of Stanes & Co. themselves in their letter dated 23rd July 1889 *vide*

Exhibit C. is Messrs Dymes & Co's. letter dated 23-7-89.

Exhibit C—in which they clearly consent to make over the entire decree on payment of Rupees 1500/—The first item of Rupees 3500/. afore-said has also been admitted in a court of Law to be without consideration as far as the Petitioner is concerned *vide* Exhibit D (Judgment of the Nilgiri Sub-Judge dated 30-9-86). There are also two other items without consideration aggregating to Rupees 366/ as shewn in the Exhibit A.

As regards other loans Petitioner begs to submit that they had to be raised in consequence of Messrs Stanes & Co., not making the promised advance since 1883 and that if not for these loans the property managed by his brother-in-law in which Petitioner had also an interest would have been ruined just as many estates in Wynaad and the Nilgiris were.—

The Petitioner further begs to submit that the failure to repay all these loans was due *first*, to the sudden fall in price and yield of coffee from about 1882 to 1885—This can be verified by Government records of Nilgiri and Malabar Collectorates—The decline of Messrs Stanes & Co., and Nilgiri and Southern India Lands Investment Company who had largely invested on coffee concern may also be cited as an additional proof and *secondly*, to Petitioner's brother-in-law's treachery who since 1886 is in sole enjoyment of the whole estate without paying a pie of the debt, which was all raised with Petitioner's help for the improvement of the property *vide* Exhibit E—(District Munsiff's order dated 29th June 1889 granting the benefit of the Insolvent act to the Petitioner.)

On the whole the property of the Petitioner, his brother and his brother-in-law valued at rupees 45,293 is held answerable for the liabilities of Rupees 39,512-7-5; of which Rupees 26,933-8-0 is principal and the balance Rupees 12,578-15-5 is interest, Court costs &c. as explained in the statement Exhibit F—So far, however, as Petitioner is concerned the District Munsiff has found that his assets were Rupees 19,418 and liabilities Rs. 9,584-3-8 *including interest and Court costs*—so the transactions can hardly be characterized as large—

Exhibit F is the statement showing the amount borrowed jointly and interests calculated thereon.

I. b.—“Petitioner's engagement in transactions for years”——

Petitioner begs to submit that the transaction was in his name only. Though the transaction alluded to in the G. O. stood in his name jointly with his brother and his brother-in-law still the transaction was really all the while conducted by his brother-in-law alone and the Petitioner had no personal concern in it—The Petitioner was employed at Madras from 1882 to 1885, when it is, it may be said, that the transactions took place—During this time the Petitioner had no hand in the transactions except that he helped his brother-in-law in times of need by lending his signature to raising certain loans, confiding, however, all the while

in his brother-in-law for proper management and due return of loans raised—In November 1885 Petitioner was transferred to the party office at Coimbatore and in that year he came to understand through his brother that affairs were not going on rightly with the estates and that their brother-in-law was cheating them outright but Petitioner did not even then trouble himself directly with the transaction—until at last he found in October 1887 that his brother-in-law had

Exhibits G. and H. are the orders of the Deputy Supt. dated 6-1-88 and 13-2-88. In G. Deputy Supt. says that the Petitioner has been hopelessly involved in debts and that he can therefore have 6 week's leave to get a discharge in the Insolvent Court and in H. reminds the Petitioner to have the petition filed at once.—

completely cheated him and that his position was really rendered quite irremediable—As soon as he learnt this he brought it to the notice of his official superior Mr. H. Gompertz the then Deputy Superintendent of No. V Party Madras Survey with a view to taking leave from office and extricating himself from the difficulties. *vide* Exhibits G. and H.—It would thus appear that the Petitioner had never for years engaged himself in the transactions alluded to.

Even the little he has had at intervals was for the sake of and through his brother-in-law who was from almost his childhood in charge and immediate management of the estates, himself being the chief interested partner.

I. c.—“Prejudiced Petitioner's efficiency as a public Servant”——

Petitioner begs to submit that as has already been stated, he had really

1 Peria Sappani Pillay referred to in Nilgiri Sub-judges order Exhibit D—	RS. A. P.
in July 1883—	3500-0-0
2 Messrs Stanes & Co. in October 1883 referred to in Exhibit C—	22845-4-3
3 Coimbatore Swadasa Nidhi February 1884.	7752-0-0
4 V. Narayana Rao in June 1885	3500-0-0
Total Rs—	37597-4-3

Exhibit I is the Deputy Superintendents order dated 13-7-86 appointing the petitioner to be officer in charge of Ootacamund Branch office.

no personal concern in the transaction alluded to in the margin though they stood in his name jointly with his brother and his brother-in-law from 1883—If the petitioner's debts had really impaired his efficiency at office he could certainly not have been able to earn the good opinion of his superiors in the way he has done as will appear from Exhibit I—promoting him to be officer in charge of Ootacamund Branch Office—At this time, i. e. 1886, the important work of South East Wynaad settlement was going on under Mr. Castle Stewart Stewart and the Petitioner's promotion to be officer in charge, who at the time had to answer satisfactorily the demands of the Settlement officer, would, petitioner begs to submit, shew the amount of confidence placed by the Deputy superintendent in Petitioner's efficiency.—Petitioner further begs to state that he was merely a subordinate ministerial official so that his monetary transactions could in no way interfere with his official duties.—In this connection petitioner most submissively invites attention to the recent Government order on the subject of indebtedness of public servants in which Government has been pleased to concede that the cases in which pecuniary transactions will not detract from the officer's worth as a public servant may be treated as venial and solicits that the petitioners case may be viewed indulgently in the light of this order—Recently there have been many such cases in point in which Government has been pleased to allow this concession—Petitioner may also submit that the fact of the Superintendent Madras Survey having recommended his reinstatement is also in support of the petitioner's prayer for the aforesaid indulgent treatment of his case—Here petitioner most submissively begs to draw the particular attention of Your Excellency's Government to the enclosed certified copy of explanation Exhibit J, submitted by the petitioner to the Superintendent Madras Survey from which Your Excellency's Government would be pleased

Exhibit J is an explanation of the petitioner to the Superintendent Madras Survey dated 12-10-89

134
3-2-88

to see that the Superintendent Madras Survey had held a thorough enquiry into Petitioner's case and satisfied himself on all points before he recommended his reinstatement—Further the petitioner continued in office so long as he was sure that his pecuniary embarrassment would in no way interfere with his work and the moment he apprehended any such interference, he brought his difficulties to the notice of his superior in the month of December 1887 (vide Exhibits G and H.) and obtained leave with view to settle them as early as possible—Petitioner tried his best to close with his creditors but could not succeed in effecting any satisfactory arrangement and was therefore compelled to apply for relief under Insolvency act and finally obtained clear and complete discharge—

II. "Alleged false returns of his lands"

Petitioner begs to submit that the landed property statement which he returned in 1882 showed the lands that stood in his own name. He had returned in the above statement 28 and odd acres as his own and that extent alone remained in his name till 1886 and was subsequently reduced to 23 and odd acres the remainder having been sold for arrears of revenue in the interval—but he had interests in the estates on the Nilgiris jointly with his brother and his brother-in-law which estates he could not show as his own for the following reasons.—

- (1) that the estates stand in the name of his brother-in-law.—
- (2) that his brother-in-law had returned them in his landed property statement as his own, while in the Government Service.—
- (3) that the Petitioner's returning them as his own lands would make a double and apparently a false entry though he had interest in them but without any documents.—
- (4) that there are no separate title deeds in the Petitioner's name nor has he any access to them—In proof of which he begs to enclose a copy of the letter of Mr. Cotterill agent of Messrs Pierce Lesley & Co., to the Petitioner's brother on the subject vide Exhibit K—A Claim petition was put in, in 1886, in the Sub-Court Nilgiris in respect of these Estates but it was dismissed probably on technical grounds—not on merits of the question—But according to the Insolvent Court's order, the Petitioner when he filed the Insolvent

K is the letter of Mr. Cotterill agent of Messrs Pierce Lesley & Co., in which he says that our interference with his representative will be followed by Criminal Proceedings. The original is in the Sub-Court Nilgiris.

petition had to show all the property to which he had a right (whether mortgaged, settled or unsettled) Vide Courts Schedule No. 1 part 2, noting, however, in the remark column of the statement that he has got a right to $\frac{1}{3}$ Share but the whole property is being enjoyed by his brother-in-law on whose name the estates stand—Exhibit A submitted with the petition is nothing but a clear & detailed Statement of the above Schedule, specially prepared for the information of the Superintendent Madras Survey—As the same does not show certain pencil remarks made at the time by the Petitioner he begs to enclose Exhibit B copy of Exhibit A with remarks from which it would be seen that out of

323. 18 acres of lands shown in the statement exhibit A, 248. 79 stand on the names of his brother-in-law and brother, 23. 98 in the Petitioner's and 50. 41 in one Bhimachari's name who has simply mortgaged his lands to the Petitioner

on a mortgage bond of Rupees 600—the mortgage bond is with Messrs. Dymes and Co., trustees to Messrs. Stanes and Co.—Hence the Petitioner thought that he had sufficient reasons to omit all but 23 and odd acres from his landed property statement and had thus no motive in omitting them and if he had had any such motive he would not have shown them in the schedule filed by him in the Insolvent Court—Should Your Excellency's Government be however still of opinion that the omission was wrong, Petitioner humbly begs to hope that it may be attributed to his ignorance and misapprehension of the matter and not to any had motive of his, for, he solemnly declares that he had none.—

From the foregoing facts it will be clear to Your Excellency's benign Government that the petitioner's pecuniary transactions were incurred solely for the benefit of his brother-in-law who was his guardian from almost his childhood and whom he was therefore morally bound to assist; that these transactions were in no way large or incommensurate with the petitioner's assets and could have been easily settled but for the treachery of his guardian of which he had no knowledge and circumstances over which he had no control; that these transactions were merely benami and the Petitioner began to have any thing to do with them directly only very recently; that they in no way interfered with his official duties as testified to by the good opinion of all his superiors and by the promotions awarded to him from time to time; and that the statement of lands furnished by him was to his knowledge not false as the omission was merely of certain *unsettled* claims and mortgages.

In conclusion your Excellency's humble Petitioner most respectfully begs to request that Your Excellency's benign Government will, in its never failing course of awarding mercy, justice and redress be pleased to take into its kind consideration the foregoing facts and your humble Petitioner's long and unblemished service of nearly 18 years in the survey Department most of which he has successfully passed in Wynaad and like unhealthy tracts and be so gracious as to bestow its further consideration on the order dated 29—11—89 No. 7,738 Revenue, in which petitioner's services are dispensed with for Insolvency, making a reference if necessary to the Superintendent Madras Survey, who has already recommended his case to the favourable consideration of Your Excellency's Government in his letter No. ²⁰¹/_G dated 24-10-89 and reinstate

the Petitioner in his appointment of Record Keeper, No. V. Party, Madras Survey, with subsistence allowance for the period of his suspension from 15th February 1888, as allowed by. Art 215 Chapter X of the Civil Service Regulation.

For which act of charity and kindness the Petitioner shall, as in duty bound, ever pray for your Excellency's long life and continued prosperity.

The Petitioner begs to Remain,

Your Excellency's most obedient and humble servant.

Late Record-Keeper of No. V party,

Madras Survey.

Vide Major Freeeths remarks in the Service register of the Petitioner which is in the Office of the Superintendent Madras Survey.

6th Dec 1890

Lab No. 270 79-4-90

Pub. C. No 828

(1890.)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

No. 1975.

From S. Krishnaiah
Late Record Keeper
No II Party.
Madras Survey

(Address
existing)

No. 270 77-4-90

Dated 5 March

Received 10 90.

Enclosures 11 -

No. -

SUBJECT.

Praying for restoration
to his post with sub-
sistence allowance for
the period of his suspension.

G.O. of 29-11-89 No 7738

ABSTRACT.

Pub. C. No 828



Endorsement No 1772

Transferred to the Public
Department with reference to
its order dated the 25th November
1889, No 950.

Recd

Ag. Under Secretary

14.8.90.

Fort St. George
14 March 1890

Enc. 11

Recd for reg 17-3-90.

Public C. 828.

Petition from S.
Krishnaiah, late Record
Keeper, No II Party,
Madras Survey,
who was dismissed
for indebtedness.

To H.M.

For orders with reference to
Go. 950
25.11.89. The man was
previously recorded
by the head of his dept.
for reinstatement
with subsistence
allowance for 6 mos

The present petition leaves the
matter precisely where it was.
But I should be glad if my hon.
Colleague would look at the case.

W.S.
20 Mar

I don't think the man's
insolvency is the result of his
extraneous. The Chief
franked him his discharge
and of the same opinion.
I let him be reinstated
with subsistence allowance
for 6 months - as recommended
by the Minister

20 B.
18.3.90.

27/3/90

The point is not only whether the
man's insolvency is the result of extra-
neous or impecunious, but whether
it is such as to prejudice his usefulness
as a public servant. ~~Insolvency~~
the present case the man is a record keeper
about the only post in the Survey Department
where indebtedness is really dangerous.

I think an ascertainment
that this man's indebtedness
is the result of misfortune
rather than extravagance
an impecunious - we may
adopt the recommendation
of the Minister

April 2nd
90

Seen
Jes
7 Apr.

9th April 1901 No. 270

Order :-

Having reconsidered the
case of the Memnoli, S.
Krishnappa, the Govt.
resolves to cancel the
order of dismissal passed
in Pro: No. 950, Nov. 25th 1899
and to reinstate him
in the Service, with
subsistence allowance
for six months.

from orders

JG

8/4

J.P.

8/4

Gen. Dept. with 10/10/01

Acct. Genl.

RECEIVED
12 APR 1901

M.S.

I
9/4

Order of Government
188, No. 188

~~Order of Government~~

~~Order of Government~~

~~Order of Government~~

H. E. the Governor

30/3/90

Order of Government

3/4/90

C. 19/3

R. 28/3

R. 29/3

C. 24/3

R. 29/3

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
188, No. 188, MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No. 828.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DISPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (NOT PAGED).

1) with 10 origl. enclos.
ret'd. to the Rev. Sept.

N.B.-

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- C = Copy abstract only.
- D = Copy heading only.

(11/4)

Rev. Sept.
Asst. Secy.

Copied 11/4

p p

A A

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 188

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 188

Transferring petition from
S. Kristiah, Late Record Keeper
N. I. Party, Madras Survey,
now residing at 30, Kallatambly
Moodahy Street, Triplicane,
praying for restoration this post
with subsistence allowance
for the period of his suspension.