

ORDINARY, MS.

Public DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED 3rd April 90
No. 261

When given for copying

[Despatch Abstract.]

Statutory Civil Service
Examination - Sanctioning
payment of the honoraria
to the gentlemen who conduct
the late — and calling
upon D. P. I. to report what
assistance was rendered to
the Board of Examiners by
Messrs Pitt & Bedford and
whether they are eligible for
any remuneration for it. —

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 188

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 188

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
188, No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPER NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DEDUCTION
IN ORIGINAL (NOT PAGED)

S.D.

A - Copy in full.
B - Not to be copied.
C - Copy in part only.
D - Copy reading only.

Office of the Deputy Commr.
Salt and Alkali Rev.
Central Division
Madras
18th February 1890

From

W. S. Meyer Esq. C. S.

Secretary to the Board of Examiners
for the Statutory Civil Service
Competitive Examination

To

The Director of Public Instruction
Sir,

With reference to your endorsement
communicating Government Order
of 4th January 1890 No. 8 Public, I
am directed by the Board of
Examiners for the Statutory Civil
Service (Competitive Examination) to
make the following suggestions
in regard to the remuneration to be
granted to the Examiners appointed
in connection with the Examination
in question.

2. It will be observed from my
Circular

Circular of 18th January 1890, Copy of which is herewith forwarded, that the papers set are of at least M.A. standard. The Board is therefore of opinion that each written paper set and each answer paper corrected, should be paid for at the same rate as the University awards to its M.A. Examiners, viz. Rs 100 in the former case and Rs 2-8 in the latter. The Politico-Economic Essay and the Examination in Practical Chemistry to be counted as ordinary papers for the purpose of this valuation.

3. On the occasion of the last Examination of this kind in 1884, Mr. Gigg proposed a grant of Rs $7\frac{1}{2}$ for each Candidate examined Viva Voce in any subject. The Board considers this rate suitable in the present instance also.

4. These rates it will be observed apply equally to the members of the Board and to the auxiliary Examiners who set papers in the Optional subjects. But from Mr. Gigg's letter printed in Government order dated 7th January 1890 No. 8 Public, it will be seen that, besides being responsible for their own papers, the members of the Board have to examine the questions and scrutinize the marks awarded in every subject, and are also liable to supervise the Viva Voce examinations. For this additional labour, the members of the Board should, it is thought, receive an honorarium of Rs 100 each.

5. I am further to point out that a very considerable amount of work, in the shape of correspondence, preliminary arrangements, and settlement of matters which were

not of sufficient importance to come before the Board in Session, has devolved upon the Secretary and to a certain extent upon the Chairman also, while the latter officer, though not himself an examiner, has to be present at most, if not all, of the Viva Voce Examinations (vide para 4 of Mr. Grigg's letter above referred to). The Board therefore desires me to ask that, for these additional duties, its Chairman and Secretary should receive a further sum of Rs 100 each.

6. To sum up, the rates of remuneration proposed are as follows:-

For each paper of questions set	Rs 100
For each answer paper corrected	2 1/2
For every Candidate examined <u>Viva Voce</u> on each subject	7 1/2
For service on the Board of Examiners	100
For the Chairman and Secretary	100

I have &c
(D) W. L. Meyer
Secretary
(True copy)

J. Duncan

Ag. Director of Pub. Inst.

Pub. C. No. 874 ~~C. No. 327~~

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF
PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
Madras, 19 March 1890.

No. 2784

From J. Duncan Esq.,
H. B. GRIGG, Esq., M.A., &c.,
Acting Director of Public Instruction,
Madras,

To
The Honorable
the Chief Secretary to Government
Fort Saint George
Sir,

With reference to paras 3 of G. O. of 7th January 1890, No. 8 Public, Calling on the Director to submit a schedule of the honoraria he would propose for the gentlemen appointed to conduct the Statutory Civil Service Examination, I have the honor to inform you that Mr. Grigg invited the Board of Examiners to suggest what they would consider reasonable honoraria. The Board accordingly instructed the Secretary

100 874 874
100 874 874
100 874 874

to address the Director on the subject, and to inform him that inasmuch as the standard of the Examination was as high as the degree Examinations of the University, the remuneration should be the same. A copy of Mr Meyer's letter, which is enclosed, gives the particulars, the views of the Board being summarized in para. 2.

2. I think the rates proposed by the Board are fair, and the following statement of the amount due to each member and examiner is drawn up at the Board's rates

	Rs.	as	p
Rev. Dr. Miller	280	-	"
G. H. Stuart Esquire	382	8	"
J. B. Bilderbeck Esquire	280	-	"
W. G. Meyer Esquire	511	11	"
W. B. Morrow Esquire	102	8	"
A. Wilmer Duff Esquire	55	-	"
L. L. Jones Esquire	55	-	"
W. H. Wilson Esquire	55	-	"
Dr. Van Geyzel	55	-	"
J. H. Stone Esquire	131	4	"
Total	1907	8	"

3. The Board (see para 5 of Secretary's letter) recommended that the Chairman should receive £100 as remuneration. If I had been holding my permanent appointment as Principal of the Presidency College, I should have looked on myself as having as good a claim to a honorarium as the other members of the Board. But considering my present position as acting Head of the Educational Department, I would prefer not to receive any remuneration for my services as President.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

D. D. D. D.

Ag. Director of Public Instruction

10/11

20 Apr.

It was pointed out in the note for orders dated 31. x 11.89 that in accordance with G.O. No. 157. Educ. - d/ 4.3.85 only non-official examiners rec^d any remuneration ~~in~~ for conducting the last examⁿ for the Staty. C.S. On this the H.M. noted "I think all the examiners should be remunerated. The D.P. I. should submit in due course a schedule of fees". Para. 3 of G.O. No. 8 d/7.1.90 was accordingly drafted and the D.P. I. now submits the proposed schedule of fees.

Attention is invited to G.O. No. 96 & 16.3.1877 & especially to para 5 (2nd clause) of the Resolution therein. But against this may be quoted para 90(a) of

(2)

H.M.

of the same Resolution & may be quoted para 90(a) of

of

of the C. S. Regus under
which remuneration
may be given for super-
intending examinations
of candidates "for admission
to the Service", and in
which case the proviso
as to total salary specified
in article 89 does not apply.

The total amount
recommended by Dr.
Duncan is Rs. 1907.8.0
but by granting lump
sum honoraria the
total might be consider-
ably reduced. There
were only eight candidates.

Submitted that the exact
amount calculated by Dr.
Duncan should be given
26.3.90.

I would sanction Dr Duncan's list as
it stands. I don't say the candidates' Report
meant with object but if they do we must
take steps in Art 90 C.R. & get
Special sanction which is not likely
to be refused.

1660
24/3/20
I am - let me know 1/10 &
before middle of next month?
21/3/20 24/3

1
2
Honorable Mr. Secretary
of the War Department

~~Washington, D.C.~~

Honorable Mr. Secretary U.S.A.

Pub. C. No. 874
B. L. C. C. No. 1

Co. No. 329

1880

Educational Department.

From

THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,

Madras,

To

The Honorable

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Fort St. George.

No.

Dated 19th

Despatched 19th

Received 20th

No. 2784

ENCLOSURES.

Subject.

Forwarding a schedule
of honoraria for the gentlemen
appointed to conduct the
Madras Civil Service
Examination.

Order. 73. 4. 90 No. 261.

Payment of the
amounts specified in
para: 2 of the foregoing
letter from the Director
of Public Instr. is sanc-
tioned. (From orders).

2. Dr. Duncan will
report what assistance
was rendered to the
Board of Examiners by
Messrs Pitt & Bedford &
whether they are eligible
for any remuneration
for it.

2/4

The Director of Public Instruction
" Accounts Genl.
Note for Cl.

IN M.S.

Orders are requested in regard
to para: 2 of the draft, which
has been added with respect to
the Hon. Mr. Sarsfield's remark.
The gentlemen named
were holding no appts at the
time - they had only recently
come out & were under
Mr. White's orders. As they
did not join any appts and
were practically drawing pay
for doing nothing it is