

110
1

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 3-6
(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள்
(3) ஆதாரத் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

பெருநகர நகராட்சி

அரசாணை எண். 110 மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் : 13-2-1890	1890

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது.

ஆவண. கர. 1-18,006-60-90.

R. 5
8 I 15/2

$$\frac{113}{2}$$

G.O., DATED 13. 2. 90
No. 110.

When given for copying

[Despatch Abstract.]

Encipratens Licenses

Replying to certain questions
asked by the Collector of
Gangam in connection
with the licenses issued to
Contractors & Recruiters
under Section 7 & 8 Act
of 1866.

செய்யுதுவாள். உபயா

2021/12/27

செப்பலிடுவதற்காக எஞ்சின்கள்
தொள்ளப்பட்ட தூள் 12/0

செப்பாட்டு 2019/2020

27/10/08

505

இதற்குப் பதிலாக, உயர்நீதிமன்றம்
இதற்குப் பதிலாக, உயர்நீதிமன்றம்

Order 13th Feb/90. No: 110

None of the Collector's questions
 are answered in the negative.
 2. In regard to the first, the
 Govt considers it preferable
 that each Protector should
 have undivided authority
 in his own district ^{and that he}
~~should collect all fees for licensing~~
~~under the act for recruitment for his~~
~~district.~~

3. As to the second, the
 licenses hold good for one
 year only and the fact that
 they are not transferable
 from one man to another
 and may be cancelled by the
 Protector under Section 6 for
 misconduct, for a some
 guarantee that good and
 reliable men will be selected.

4. There is no objection
 to the adoption of a rule

similar
 to that of
 the Rules
 framed
 under
 Act XXI
 of 1883, &
 it will
 rest
 with the Protector to dispose
 of any application made
 under it.

13/2/90.

The Collr. of Ganjam

Vizag

RECEIVED
 INTERD
 IN M.S.

Sub. C. 102/6

GANJAM DISTRICT.

110/7

FROM

THE

Collector

GANJAM.

TO

THE

Chief Secretary
 to Government
 Public Dept
 Madras.

Dated

18

January

1890

Received

26

No.

607

SECRET
 26 JAN 4
 GOVERNMENT

Encls.

Subject,

Inquiring whether being
 issued to Contractors and
 Recruiters under Sec. 7 of
 Madras Act V of 1866
 in this District can be
 extended by him to the
 Vizagapatnam District
 without payment of a
 second fee & liability
 orders on several other
 points.

Ms 667 C 4-296

From N. W. B. Higgins Esq. Esq.
My Colleague of
Gangajon
To The Chief Secretary to the
Government
Public Department
Madras

Dated Chattrapur 18 January 1866

Sir, At the instance of a Contractor
I have the honour to solicit orders on the
following points.

(1) Whether licences issued to Contractors and
Recruiters under sections 4 and 8 Madras
Act I of 1866 in this District can be extended
to the Vizagapatam District with
payment of a second fee.

(2) Whether such licences are transferrable.

2. With regard to the first question, I think
separate licences should be taken out to
recruit in the Vizagapatam District, in
as much as a separate Protector has been
appointed for that District, unless Government
should under section 8 of the act, depute
the Protector of labourers under the act, or
as to include the Vizagapatam District
in consideration of the proximity of the
two Districts, or to allow the Protector of
labourers in Vizagapatam to issue licences
to persons licensed in this District without
the payment of fresh fees. I think the first
of these courses would be more in accordance
with the provisions of the act than the second.
As regards the second question the act

but, and I conclude that, licenses issued
to Contractors and see notes, are not transfe-
rable. It is however so, with hard that where
a Contractor is the Agent of a firm, the firm
should be put to the expense of paying for
a fresh license every time they may wish to
change their Agent, and the same may be said,
though with less force, as regards recruits employ-
ed by Contractors. I have therefore thought
it worth while to submit the question for the
orders of Government.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedt servt

Amstiggans
Acting Collector

Cmpt
or