

- (1) பக்ஷங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 3-5  
(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் \_\_\_\_\_  
(3) ஆதாரங் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் \_\_\_\_\_

## தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

விவரத் துறை

|                                          |                  |
|------------------------------------------|------------------|
| அரசாணை எண். 998<br>மு. கோப்புத் தாள எண். | அச்சகம்<br>பலவகை |
| நாள்: 5-12-1889                          | 1989.            |

மடிக்கலோ சுண்டபடி கையாளலோ கூடாது.

ORDINARY, MS.

Public DEPARTMENT.  
G.O., DATED 5. 12. 89  
No. 998

When given for copying

[Despatch Abstract.]

Recording explanation of  
the Sany Comm. on certain  
points. Notice in the Review  
G.O.

Dec 5/12/89

ENCLOSURE

CURRENT No

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

## ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH  
IN ORIGINAL (NOT INDEXED)

N.B. -

A = Copy in full.  
B = Not to be copied.  
P = Copy abstract only  
Q = Copy heading only

Order, 5<sup>th</sup> Dec. 1889, No 998.

146  
28 Nov.

28th  
Recorded

2 Care should in future be taken that

2. ~~The Dy. Inspector:~~  
The figures in reports are correct nothing  
~~Explanations should~~  
checked and compared. 22 Apr  
~~be submitted for the~~  
~~impression of Govt.~~

3. If the statements embodied in the report for 1888/89 had been carefully compared with those in the report for the previous year before submission to Govt. the discrepancy would probably have attracted attention and led to the correction of the clerical error made in the report from the Mysore Range.

DESPATCHED

5 DEC 83

IN M.S.

30 The Sanitary Com<sup>tee</sup> }  
for all adms. }  
Surg. Genl. with }  
the Govt adms. } comp.

Public C. No 3663

Public Department

From

Surgeon Major J. A. Laing  
Sanitary Commissioner for  
Madras

7

The Chief Secretary  
to Government

Public Department

watered 21  
 Deepd 22  
 Reed

} November 1889

Nº 1879

22 NOV 1962  
CHIEF SECRETARY  
GOVERNMENT OF MALAYA

Subject

Forwarding explanation  
called for in G. O. N<sup>o</sup> 827 dated  
19 October 1889, Public, reviewing  
the Vaccination Report for 1888/89

From, *N<sup>o</sup> 2461*  
Surg Major C. J. McHally  
Ag. Inspector of Vacc. and  
Dy. Sanitary Comm. Madras

*C. No. 3663*

*N<sup>o</sup> 1879.*

To,  
The Sanitary Commissioner  
for Madras.

Forwarded to Government

Das Anderson *Raing.*  
Surg. Major  
Sanitary Commissioner  
for Madras

Dated 20<sup>th</sup>  
Despd "  
Recd 20<sup>th</sup> } November 1889  
—do—

Office of Sanitary Commr.  
Madras 4<sup>th</sup> Novr 89

*N<sup>o</sup> 926.*

Subject

Submitting explanation  
called for in G. O N<sup>o</sup> 827 dated  
9<sup>th</sup> October 1889 Public.

Inspector of Jeypore on the 19<sup>th</sup> October 1889, he shows ten (4 Government and 6 Zemindary) as the number of Vaccinators that were employed in his Range during 1888-89 and not twelve as shown in his Annual Statement N<sup>o</sup>. II. He has been called upon to explain the discrepancy. Four is the sanctioned number of Government Vaccinators.

2. Para 3. Epode was entered in the report for 1887-88 as one of the Municipalities in which Vaccination was made compulsory during the year and was therefore omitted from the list in the report for 1888-89.

3. Para 7. The omission pointed out has been noted. A quarterly return of the verification of the Service Registers of Deputy Inspectors has, during 1888, been regularly submitted to Government.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your Most Obedient Servant

Chris. Ingham

Surgeon Major

Ag. Inspector of Vaccination and

Hy. Sanitary Comm. Madras.

N<sup>o</sup> 926.

Office of Sanitary Commissioner  
Madras 20 November 1889

From,

Surgeon Major C. J. McHally M. D.  
Dy Inspector of Vaccination and  
Dy Sanitary Commissioner, Madras.

To,

The Sanitary Commissioner  
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to para 4 of G. O. N<sup>o</sup> 827, dated 19 October 1889 Public, I have the honor to state that the number of ~~primary~~ Vaccinators employed during 1888-89 was the same as in 1887-88. Viz ten - one in the North Arcot District and nine (six in Jeypore and three in Gunapur Range) in the Vizagapatam District. The number four shown in the report is a clerical error and the mistake is in the Jeypore Range - six of the twelve vaccinators shown against that Range should have been classed as Zemindary Vaccinators; but the Dy Inspector of that Range entered them all as Government Vaccinators in Statement N<sup>o</sup> II which accompanied his annual report for 1888-89, and so the clerk who tabulated the returns classed them as such.

In a subsequent return, however, which appears to be the correct one, submitted by the Dy Inspector