

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 6-10
(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் _____
(3) ஆதாரம் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் _____

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

பெருது துறை.

அரசாணை எண். 972	அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	பலவகை
நாள்: R 12-1289	1989.

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது.

ORDINARY, MS.

Public DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED 2. 12. 89
No. 972

When given for copying

[Despatch Abstract.]

*Appointments for Travancore
under the Xian Marriage Act.*

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
188 , No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

Sept 2/12/89

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

10/12/89
ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING WANT FOR DISPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- F = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.

JP 525-26

20674

Sub Sep

Ch. 3448.

*Appt. for Travancore under
the Xian Marriage Act.*

(From order.)

Sub Dept.

Ct. 3448 from the Govt. of India

Letter of 2^d Dec. 1889 No 972.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of India
Home Deptt.

Sir.

I am directed to acknowledge receipt of your letter No. 409 of 3^d October 1889, and in reply to append a list of the appointments which have been made by this Govt. for Travancore under Secs. 8 & 9 of the Indian Christian Marriage Act of 1872 and to request that opportunity

DETACHED

2 DEC 89

IN M.S

may be taken of legalising

them ^{when} ~~where~~ the ^{legislation} ~~proposed~~ bill
ref. to in your letter ^{under reply} is underwritten
passes into law.

J. (2 copies)
27 Nov 1911

W.D.
27/11

might be simply

Recorded

has
13/11

I think that a list of these affs.
should be sent to India & attention
called to the necessity of legalising
them in the proposed bill.

J.H.F. 11/11
19/11

Public

FOR ORDERS.

See list of these affs.
in copy sent to India

Appts for Government under
rec. 8 & 9 of the Ind. & Xtrans
Memorandum

C.15/11
R. 10/11

12/8/89 & 6/79 his Pub.

Public DEPARTMENT.

C No. 3448

From The govt of India

Dated 188

FOR ORDERS.

The following is a list of the
appts which have been
made by this govt for Transcunt
under sec 8 & 9 Act XX of 1872
to be Marriage Registrars under sec. 8.
Act XX of 1872.

1. Mr John Ross - Principal, Marancon
Hyp School - G.O. 46 of 7th March 74 (re-appoint under
Act XX of 72)
2. Mr Robert Harvey - Principal - Do
G.O. 73 of 23 March 76
3. Mr John Ross - G.O. 80 of 1 April 77
4. Mr Robert Harvey - G.O. 110 of 23 May 80
G.O. 3 of 5
5. Mr J. H. Prince - Head of Karakul
G.O. 56 of 1 July 87 + 3 of 5 Jan 88

Licensed to grant certificates to
native Christians under sec. 9.

1. Catholic K. Solomon - G.O. 143 of 20 July 88
2. Rev. J. Duthie (also known as Rev. J. Duthie) - G.O. 26 of 28 Jan 79
3. Rev. A. Thompson (also known as Rev. A. Thompson) - G.O. 678 of 12 Aug. 87

As the new Bill referred to in
India's letter will presumably
legitimize any inequities there
may have been in regard to these
appts, the present current

From

The govt. of India
Home Dept

No. 409

Dated 23 }
Received 31 } September 1889

Orders on questions referred as
to whether the Madras govt. is
competent under Section 86 cl. 2.
of the I.C. Act 1872 to make
appointments under sec. 8 & 9
of the Act for Native States
over which it exercises no
control.

2. vice Mr Ross resigned.
3. vice Harvey resigned.
4. During Mr Ross' absence
on furlough
5. During Mr Harvey's
absence on furlough
Finally Harvey resigned
& Prince confirmed
GO. 3 & 5/1/88

Still alive - vide 1889
L. A. P. Alencara C

Absent in Europe but
still was present.

Recently apptd in acct of
Mr Duthie's absence
FOR

care may be, by the Governor General in Council.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most Obedt Servant,

C. D. D. M.

Offg: Secy to the Govt of India.

Dis-
cuss

31 OCT 89
HOME DEPARTMENT

No. 409. *Ch. 2980*

FROM C. J. Lyall Esq., C.S.,
Offg. Secretary to the Government of India,

To The Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras
Ecclesiastical Department.

Dated the 23rd October 1889.

Home Department,
Ecclesiastical }
SIR,

31 OCT 89
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of 6th 9, dated the 12th August, 1889, referring for the orders of the Government of India the question whether the Madras Government is competent under section 86, clause 2, of the Indian Christian Marriage Act, 1842, to make appointments under sections 8 and 9 of the Act for Native States over which it exercises political control.

2. In reply I am to state that in the opinion of the Government of India, the Government of Madras is not competent to make appointments such as those referred to above unless the Native States are completely surrounded by British territory within the Madras Presidency. I am to say that pending the passing into law of a Bill shortly to be introduced into the Legislative Council of the Governor-General on the subject, all appointments in Travancore and Cochin should be submitted for notification under section 8, or for grant of license under section 9 of the Act, as the

act II of 1891