

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 3-5
(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் _____
(3) ஆதாரங் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் _____

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

யோசு துறை

அரசாணை எண். 954 மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் : 26.11.1889	1989

மடிக்கலோ கண்டபடி கையாளலோ கூடாது.

[7]

ORDINARY, MS.

<i>Public</i> DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED <i>26. 11. 89</i>
No. <i>954</i>

When given for copying

[Despatch Abstract.]

*Forms of non-bailable
warrants.*

Ed. 954

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 188

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
188 , No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER CP GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

Transferred to the Public Department.

A. G. Cardew
under Secretary

Mertha,
18 Nov. 189.

In CS's orders

It wd. no doubt be more economical
to have the servants struck off
at the first Press, but it is probable
that some Dist. Agents have
already taken measures to
print locally

Ex. d/26 Nov 189. No 954. 18/11

[illegible]

Aug 2 1922

The left foot ^{was} ~~was~~ ^{not} ~~not~~ } Days
 " just dept. ^{17/11/19} } 26/11/19

1809

1800

The District Magistrate
Gosawan;

Lo.

The Chief Secretary
to Government
Madras.

Dated 28

Despatches

Revised.

October 189.

UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
3 NOV 89
PUBLIC AFFAIRS

Incl.

Subject

Inquiring whether
forms of non-bailable
warrants on yellow
paper referred to in
G.O. No 1717 Judicial
dated the 7th instant
will be supplied from
the Central office Madras
or will have to be
printed locally.

No 38

Godavari District
Magistrate's office
Cocoanada Bletch

From

The District Magistrate,
Godavari

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to enquire
whether form of non-bailable
warrants on yellow paper referred
to in G.O. No 1717 Judicial dated
the 7th Instant will be supplied
from the Central office Madras
or will have to be printed
locally.

I have the honor to,
Sir,

Yours most obedient servant

By District Magistrate

This paper is dated, not the
It is a question affecting the
G.O. No 1717

I don't agree with the U.S.
It might be well to send that
it was for "Public" & determine
whether a particular judicial
form should be printed or not.

The rule is for each Dept. to
issue its own instructions in
regard to printing, the principle
being that where a large number
of forms have to be distributed

to all districts it is cheaper to
have them struck off at the Govt.
press. The present case is
simple, but it is known that
in most instances only the Dept.
concerned can decide whether it
is worth while to put the work
on the Govt. Press - or not.

Learn
7/11

For the U.S.

As the printing at a
Central press is probably
an economical measure,
while at the same time
it will have, it seems, the
effect of transferring charges
from the district press to the
Central press, the Third Dept.
may be considerably interested!
If it is so, the paper can be
sent to it - still with

For us

No order on the subject can be
traced and the A.S. is perhaps referring
to a rule in practice - not to a rule
laid down by Govt.

Papers put up show that the
Public Department dealt with the
question of printing.

Q
S. 11.

presumably because it was found that
a central control produced economy &
prevented wasteful divergences in practice.
For the same reasons it is submitted that
the determination of questions
whether Forms, Notifications, Gazette
reprints etc should be printed in one
way or another should be dealt with by
one Dept. That course only will secure
uniform action, & promote economy in
printing & stationery. ~~It is for the Dept. which~~
~~controls the Govt. Press' establishment &~~
~~reviews its work or annual Rept. to judge~~
~~whether addl. work of this kind shall be~~
~~thrown on it. That Public does supervise~~
~~the Press is shown by some papers the~~
~~Office has put up - e.g.~~

G.O. 25 Nov. 84. No 2527 Public
~~Advocate No 2527~~
15 April 85. No 900. etc.

Alp
11 Nov.

I think that the view taken by
the U.S. is the correct one.
The only question involved is whether
a certain form is to be printed
at District Presses or to be struck
off at the Central Press and
thence distributed to the various Districts.
This is simply a Press question.
The Judicial Dept. has done with
the matter. It may be a question
whether Financial or Public
should now deal with it. I
don't care which does.

Alp
16 Nov.

NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SECRETARY.

The attached slip is submitted to the Chief Secy for him to decide in what Dept the paper in question - fr. D^r Mag^t Godavari, 28 Oct. N^o 38 - ought to be disposed of.

Jud^l G.O. 7 Oct 1889. N^o 1717 decided that non-bailable warrants shall be printed on yellow paper. The question asked by the D^r Mag^t Godavari is whether these Forms will be supplied fr. the Central Press or must be printed locally.

The point, therefore, is merely whether certain Forms can be more economically provided by printing them ~~here~~ here or by printing in each D^r. No matter affecting the Jud^l Dept is involved - but only considerations of cost & convenience in printing. The Public Dept doubtless has experience & precedents to guide for it has to deal habitually with the working of the Gov^t Press, but Jud^l has absolutely no information.

The asst^d Secy for 2 reasons thinks that Jud^l should dispose of the paper - The first reason is obviously a false analogy: in deciding who ther ad^r is to be printed or not, many considerations come in w^h can be only known to the Dept issuing the order, such as the N^o of addresses, importance of subject & probability of reference. In the present case - the papers are mere Forms & no such points are raised. The second reason seems to be that Jud^l only can tell the N^o of Forms that will be required. But, in point of fact, Jud^l has no informⁿ at all on this point: no returns relating to ^{the number of} non-bailable warrants issued are received. The supply would be for the entire Presidency, beyond that nothing is known, but the N^o w^{ld} of course be large.

The matter is submitted to the C.S. for orders because it seems to raise a general point of some importance. It was ordered the other day that the purchase of books & newspapers should be dealt with in one Dept. That was

Submitted for the
Perusal of the
Chief Secy

27/10/89
The matter is as per
order
Sd/-
28/10/89