

(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை

18 ①①

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள்

(3) ஆதாரம் குறிப்பவர் கருக்கொய்யம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.


தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

மேலு தலை

அரசாணை எண்.	60.3	அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.		பலவகை
நாள் :	12.7.1889	199

மடிக்கவோ தண்டப்படி கையாள்வோ கூடாது.

ORDINARY, MS.



~~When given for copying~~

[Despatch Abstract.]

Recording endorsement
from the Govt of India for
warding certain papers
re Indian immigrants
in Cayenne & Surinam.

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 188

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 188

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
188 , No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No. 2085/

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

CURRENT No. 5

[9]

DEPARTMENT.

13/7

From

The Govt of India
Rev. & Agent Dept

40.13th July / 89 No 603.

Recorded
11/7

No. 1078
3-8

Dated 27 June 1889

Received 6 July 1889

Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Forwarding for information
papers regarding Indian
participants in Calcutta
strikes

87

[9]

DEPARTMENT.

From

No.

Dated

Received


Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Ex. 1111-1111

No. ¹⁰⁷₃₋₈ S.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.
REVENUE AND AGRICULTURAL DEPARTMENT.
(Emigration)



Simla Dated the 27th June 1889.

COPY of the following papers forwarded to the *Government*
of Madras for information, with reference
to the Correspondence ending with its
letter No. 367 dated the 25th April 1889.

By order,

Muni Krishna Rao

Under-Secretary to the Government of India.

[Signature]

India (Emigration).

India office,
London, 16th May, 1889.

No. 115 20

His Excellency, the Most Honourable,
The Governor General of India
in Council.

My Lord Marquis,

In continuation of my Despatch,
No. 10, dated the 31st of January last, I trans-
mit, for the information of Your Excel-
lency in Council, copy of a Despatch from
6th April 1889, No. 13. } Her Majesty's Council for French
Guiana, stating that the Indian Im-
migrants in Cayenne who are entell-
ed to repatriation are not availing
themselves of the opportunity of returning
to India.

2. As stated in my foregoing Des-
patch, I duly received your letter, No. 22,
dated the 11th of December 1888, on the sub-
ject of the resumption of emigration
from India to Cayenne, but I have
not yet communicated it to the Foreign
Office as your Government had

not,

not, at that date, received the Consul's
special Report of the 8th of December,
Copy of which Mr. Wyndham forward-
ed direct to Your Excellency.

2. In these circumstances, I await
a reply, to my despatch of the 31st of
January, last.

4. Copy of a previous Despatch
from Mr. Consul Wyndham reporting
the completion of the Registers of British
Indian Emigrants in Cayenne
and Surinam, is also transmitted for
15 February 1889, No. 5. } your information.

I have &c.
(sd) Cross.

N^o 13.

British Consulate Cayenne & Surinam
Paramaribo April 5th 1889.

To

The Most Honourable,

The Marquis of Salisbury, K. G.

vs.

vs.

vs.

My Lord Marquis,

With reference to the statement made
in my despatch N^o 61 dated October 23rd 1888,
that a batch of time expired Indian immi-
grants would be repatriated from Cayenne
during this year, I have now the honour
to inform your Lordship, that I yesterday
received a despatch from the Protector of
Immigrants in Cayenne, stating that
notwithstanding the publication of a
notice in the official Gazette, that a
vessel would be despatched with returning
immigrants to India during this year,
& that this notice had been placarded by
the syndics of every district in French
Guiana, not a single immigrant
has come forward requesting his
return passage; and that in
consequence

consequence the French Colonial Government had decided to put off the despatch of a return Coolie ship until next year.

I take this occasion, with reference to the 3^d paragraph of the despatch No. 22 of 1888, to the Right Honourable Viscount Bras, from the Government of India, to inform your Lordship that I have urged the Government of Bayonne to endeavour to repatriate without delay all time expired immigrants, and others entitled to a Government free passage, and the Colony has endeavoured to meet the wishes of the Indian Government in this respect, but although there are nominally a large number of Coolies entitled to a return passage, nearly every one of them has been so long in the Colony, that they have become accustomed to the life there, and their children who have grown up in the Colony, and have been educated in the French schools, are more conversant with the French language, and hardly understand any Indian language

language, it is therefore not to be expected any large number of such Indian subjects will return home, especially, considering the inducements offered them to remain in Cayenne, where house servants, and laborers of all kinds are very scarce.

I have &c.

(sd) Wm Wyndham.

British Consulate Cayenne and Surinam
Paramaribo, 5th February 1889

To

The Most Honourable,

The Marquis of Salisbury, K. G.

My Lord Marquis,

I have the honour to inform Your Lordship that I have received the complete register of British Indian immigrants now residing in French Guiana, and that for the first time since immigration has been established, the consulate is in possession of a complete record of every Indian immigrant, both in Surinam and Cayenne.

These

J. v. l.
379
1889.

M. S.

These registers will for the future be kept up regularly, in this Consulate, from the quarterly returns received by me, from the Protector of immigrants in Dutch and French Guiana, and from time to time I will have them compared with those in the office, the Foreign Immigrant Agents.

I have to inform your Lordship that there is a difference in the total of immigrants in Guyana amounting to 44 between the total of 2691 shown in my report dated 8th December 1888 and the total 2542 recorded in the register up to the 31st January 1889, this has arisen through that number of immigrants being struck off the register, who have long since disappeared, and their names have only now been removed from the register, in consequence of no traces being found of them after careful enquiry.

I have &c.

(sd) Wm Wyndham.
