

(1) பத்தங்கலின் எண்ணிக்கை 11—17

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நான்

(3) ஆதாரங் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

பெண் துறை

அரண்மனை எண். 28	அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 17+1889	பலவகை
நாள் :	1957

மலர்ச்சிக்குரிய தண்டப்படி கையாளலோ கட்டாது.

ஆவண. கா. 1—18,006—6-9-90.

ORDINARY, MS.

<i>Public</i> DEPARTMENT. G.O., DATED <i>17.1.89</i> No. <i>48.</i>
--

I
19/1/89
 $\frac{2781}{2798} \mid \frac{3341}{RH} \mid 51$

7191/93

When given for copying

[Despatch Abstract.]

*Dental aid at the
 General Hospital.*

O.T

C.F. Old No. 74, New No. 24-2,00,705 - 24-2-66.

Paper taken out of the Records

Press

Miscellaneous No. _____, date

Routine

Date taken out.	FOR WHAT PURPOSE.		
	Department.	C. No.	Year.

Public Dept.

C.O. No. 48

17-1-1889

7 12 Nov 64 R.S. 16 Day

Post

15/10/66

17/93/334/5102/11
000000

NOTE FOR THE CH. ^{man.}

"Mad Standard" - 16 Nov.

Dental Surgeon at the
General Hospital

If this is true, it seems rather
bad. Perhaps the S.G. with Capt.
might be asked about it.
Capt. are just going to ^{offer} pay an
increased salary.

W.P.
16 Nov.



22/11/8
Office copy

My dear Sir,

I am directed to ask whether you have noticed a complaint made in the "Madras Standard" of the 16th instant by a correspondent signing himself "Tooth-Left", in regard to the Dental Surgical Ward

at the Madras General
Hospital; & if so, whether
you have any remarks
to make on the subject.

Yours sincerely

J. 20 Nov.

M.P.

19/11

D. O. No. 231

Office of
with the Governor.Fort St. George, 26th Nov.

My Dear Price,

I have got a copy
of the "Standard" of the 16th inst.
I perused the letter signed
"Toothless" & find it embodies
several misconceptions. In
the first place Mr. Gould
is not Dental Surgeon
to the General Hospital, in
the strict sense of the term,
nor is there a Dental Surgi-
cal ward in the hospital.
I have not seen his new
agreement with Government

one he
is engaged
in teaching of dentistry
the medical college,
& his attendance at the
General Hospital was
entirely optional, in order
that he might train his
students & not because
he was under any obliga-
tion to attend patients
there. He has no special
ward there but a small
room in the out-patient
department where he

patients
ethical work to.
For as I know pa-
tients there have no
right to expect to get
teeth stopped & other
operations or mechanical
dentistry performed,
& I hardly think it would
be fair to carry on
such work there at Govt.
expense, as it would be
costly & interfere with
the practice of dentists
in the town. "Toothless" is

thing to
is performed
with dental a
to is extraction. I am
this thing have to be done
+ from personal observation
at the hospital I can say,
that he takes every opportunity
to his chief + train his
students. In fact I think
we have every reason to be
grateful to him for giving
us so much work outside
his command, + I think it
probable that "Guthrie" when
he wrote his cupping letter was
still suffering from toothache,
having refused to have it out.
from dividend
Dedee

For Order.

Referring to the points taken by the S.G.
it may be noted:—

- (1) Mr Gould's engagement (in G.O. 6 Aug 88 No. 511) refers to him as "engaged to serve R.M. as Prof. of Dentistry at the Med. Coll. at Madras," and (para. 2) engages that he "shall perform all the duties which may from time to time be prescribed by Govt."

It is doubtful whether Govt. could not, under these words, prescribe the giving of relief to dental patients at the Hospital as a part of the Prof's duty. It is not clear that, as the S.G. assumes, Mr Gould has done more than he was bound to do.

(2)

From

Surgeon General G. Bidie M.B.
C.S.E.

No.

Dated 26-11-88.

Received

Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Informing that the article written by "Toothless" in the "Standard" of the 16th inst. contains several misconceptions.

Dear Bidie,

with ref: to G.O. No. 232 dated 26th, regarding "Toothless"'s complaint in the Madras Standard - will you kindly furnish me with a copy of the notification issued by ^{the S.G. with Govt.} under para. 1 of G.O. 10 Oct 83 No 680 E.O.

Yours sincerely

S
2 DecS.G.
30 Nov

S.G. G. Bidie. C.S.E. 27/11/88

O. No. 251

Office of Surgeon-General
with the Government of Madras,

Fort St. George, 11th Dec 1888.

My Dear Pric,

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
WASHINGTON, D. C.

In reply to your
Ov. of 5th inst. I write to say
that, so far as I can ascertain,
no notification was ever issued
by Dr. Cornish relative to Mr.
Gould's attendance at the
Hospital in London. The
only document bearing
on the subject, of which I
can find any trace, is the one
of which I enclose a copy.

Yours sincerely

Yours sincerely
J. M. Delany in reply to
I have been searching for
a Notepad

No 4752

Memorandum

The Surgeon General has made the following arrangement for the present, for the attendance of the Government Dentist for practice and clinical teaching of Government medical students, at the undermentioned Hospitals at 7 a.m. on the days indicated. —

General Hospital - Monday and Thursday
Native Infirmary - Wednesday
Egmore Dispensary - Saturday

(By Order)

Fort St George }
19th September 1888 }

Sgt. A. J. Stummer Surgeon
Secy Surgeon General
with the Govt of Madras

To H. J. Gould Esq.
Government Dentist.

" Senior Medical Officer General Hospital
" Surgeon 1st District
" Surgeon in charge of Egmore Dispensary

[9]

DEPARTMENT.

stated that he proposed to - tify the

ACK PAPER, NO.

100

note

Reference is requested
to para 3 of the Surgeon
Gent's letter in ~~para~~
G.O. 10th Oct. '83 no. 680
to para 5 of Mr
Gould's letter in G.O.
19-11-88. no. 665-66

29/11/

From

G. Bidie Esq. M.B. Esq.

Surgeon General with the
Govt of Madras.

No.

Dated

Received

Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Re Mr Gould's

attendance at the Hospital
in Madras.

8010 Oct 83
No 68020

ACK PAPER, No.

of 188

to the 2nd bank
get witness
certify Notifier

Please show the
Notifier

W. H. K.

30

P. I. O.

As a matter of fact, the
 in fact in the *Journal*
 or *Newspapers* of the *State*
 or *Province* of the *State*
 taken on the *10th* of *Oct.*

Dec. 10/83
 10/11/83

stated that he proposed to notify the
 hours of arrangement by public ad-
 vertisement. The Govt. approved (L.O.
 10. Oct. 83 No. 681), but for the S.G.'s 2nd O.O.,
 it seems that no "notification" was
 issued. Probably notice was posted up
 at the Hospitals.

The terms of the notice given to the public
 would be important as tending to show
 what "Toothless" had a right to expect.

Dr. Bidie goes on to say that -

- (1) other operations than tooth drawing
are performed by Mr. Gould
- (2) for personal observation, he believes
 Mr. Gould gives all relief he can to
 outpatients
- (3) stopping & similar operations wh.
 he lengthy & expensive & could not
 be done at the expense of the State
 without interfering with private
 practice.

The (3) statement seems true & to the
 point. It takes a long time to stop a
 tooth & Govt. ~~could~~ ^{not} undertake to pro-
 vide such a form of medl. attendance.
 Ob: it is also the case that Mr. Gould
 does other things besides pulling teeth
 & the complaint made by
 "Toothless" clearly refers to stopping.
 & therefore, submitted that no
 m. is called for & the papers may
 be closed; but the matter will not
 be lost sight of when Mr. Gould's
 agent, sanction form has
 applied for, comes to be finally

N.B. -

A =
 B =
 P =
 Q =
 17 Dec. 1902

Order. 17. 1. 89. No. 6

Recorded.

17/11/83

Alf.

8 Jan.

C. 7/1
 R. 8/1

For order.

D.O. Letters fr. the S.G. with Govt. -
dated 26 Nov. & 11 Dec.

These two letters refer to a Letter signed
Toothless. in the Madras Standard of
the 16. Nov. w. was sent to the S.G.
for report in D.O. no. 376. dated 22 Nov.
88.

D. Bidie first points out that there is
no Dental Surgical Ward at the General
Hospital & that Mr. Gould is not dental
Surgeon to the Hospital.

He then points out that Mr. Gould was
engaged by the Secy of State only as
Professor of Dentistry at the Medl College
& that his attendance at the General
Hospital is entirely optional - intended
to train his pupils - not to relieve
patients.

Reference to Mr. Gould's engagement in
G.O. 6 Aug 83 No 511. will show that the
preamble declares him to be engaged
as "Professor of Dentistry at the Medl
College". & it is prob. true that under the
agreement he could not be required
to give ^{gratuitous} assistance to the public at the
General Hospital. Para. 2 of the Agmt
indeed say that he "shall perform
all the duties w. may fr time to be
prescribed by Govt" - but those d
must be such as naturally belong
the position of a Professor.

On Mr. Gould's arrival in 1883,
Cornish informed Govt. that Mr.
would give dental aid at the
hospitals. on certain stated days.

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 188

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 188

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, dated

188 , No.

, MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not pagged).

N.B. -

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.