

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 14 12
(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் _____
(3) ஆதாரங் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் _____

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

மேஜர் துறை

அரசாணை எண்.	445	அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.		பலவகை
நாள் :	22.5.1889	199

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது.

no. 2069C

Madras 26 April 1889

Memorandum

Office note from the Examiner
P.W. Accounts no. 485 of 15 April 1889

Referring to G.O. No. 609 W of 1st
March 1889. I beg to state that although
the delay in the printing of standing orders
for the Code and book of forms is often
and to a considerable extent due to delays
in this office in returning proofs to
press, these delays are in almost all cases
quite unavoidable.

2. It sometimes happens that whilst
a form or a standing order is going through
the press a change becomes necessary,
either because of the issue of some order of
Government directly or indirectly affecting
it, or for some other reason. To cite a
recent instance, in the case of the form
of mortgage deed to be taken in con-
nection with advances made under
appendix K of the Code, after the form had
been sent to press, the Govt. Solicitor
was instructed by Govt. to draw a form
of re-conveyance, and having drawn the
form he stated that it should be endorsed
upon the original mortgage deed, this in-
volved a considerable alteration of the
form, the notes also having to be modified
so as to fix the stamp duty chargeable
on

Sp. 25-2-89
185-186

... this had been done, it transpired quite casually, in the course of a correspondence with the East Solicitor that there was a serious defect in the form of re-conveyance as drawn by him; he had omitted all provision for describing the property in the manner required by the Registration Act, with the probable result, as he himself pointed out, that a re-conveyance drawn in this form would have been refused registration. The long and short of it is that, though copy of this form was first sent to the press on the 30 Oct 1888, it will very probably be another month before the final proof can be returned for striking off.

3. This is merely an instance of delay for which I am - or rather the press is not - responsible. But these delays are unavoidable and any course other than that actually adopted would result in great trouble and waste of money, a whole supply of some form being perhaps proved useless as soon as issued.

4. Apart altogether from delays such as those referred to above, however, frequent and considerable they may be, there is, I submit, unnecessary delay in the press, as witness the following statement of the date on which approved final proofs of recent batches of

of standing orders were sent to the press for striking off, and the dates which they were received back:

Standing Order Nos.	Date on which returned to Press to be struck off	Date on which as struck off copies were received
458 to 462	12 Oct 1888	8 Nov 1888
463 to 476	10 Nov 1888	9 Jan 1889
477 to 486	12 Oct 1888	8 Nov 1888
477 - 478 } 487	10 Nov 1888	9 Jan 1889
496 - 500 } 501 - 513	10 Nov 1888	9 Jan 1889
517 - 519	13 Nov 1888	9 Jan 1889
488 - 495 } 547 - 552 } 514 - 516	10 Jan 1889	12 Feb 1889

It will be seen that out of seven batches of standing orders four batches took about two months and three about one month to strike off.

5. It is impossible for me to mark particular standing orders as urgent. They have to be issued in proper sequence and must be issued in the order of their numbering and not in the order of receipt from the press. I venture to ask therefore that the Superintendent of the Press may be instructed to treat all standing orders as the Code and book of forms as urgent without any marking to that effect on the copy or on the proofs. They are all orders of Government which should be issued with the utmost practicable despatch

~~and~~ ask them
all as urgent, but the Superintendent
might object to this as an abuse of his
concession.

6 There is another way in which the
issue of certain batches is sometimes
unnecessarily delayed. It has always
been the practice to number and date
all standing orders according to the
date of passing by the Code Committee.
The result is that if one batch of orders
is delayed in any way, all later batches
is. all batches bearing higher numbers
have to be delayed too. I think it
would be much better to number them finally
only when sending them to the press for
striking off, giving them if necessary
a provisional number for reference
in the meanwhile. If there is no ob-
jection to this proposal, I shall
adopt it in future, and if the press can
at the same time be instructed to
treat all standing orders (whether to
the Code or to the book of forms) as
urgent, the issue of the standing order
will be very materially expedited.

The proposal in para 6 of the
foregoing note is approved -

2. A copy of the note will be for-
warded to the Public Department
for consideration with reference
to O.G. No 185 of 25th February 1889.

/ By order
W. B. Baddley
Under Secy. ^{Capt. R. A.} ~~Asst. Secy.~~ ^{Asst. Secy.}

To The Public Department
" Examiner M. A. A. B.

of 1889

15/2/89 Wd 185 bis

P. W. D.
Public C. No. 1806.

No. 2069 C

Dated 26th April 1889.



Subject

Regarding delay in
the printing of Standing
Orders and forms of the P. W.
Code at the Govt Press.

27th May 1889
Deferred to the Super-
intendent Govt Press
for report with reference
to the remarks in para
4th of the Examiner's Memo.
(By order)

L. Meyer
Assistant Secretary

No. 1434

Govt Press, Madras
11th May 1889

Office Note

x herewith returned

With reference to para-
4 and 5 of the Examiner of Public
Works Accounts memorandum
no. 2069 C of 26 April 1889,
the Supdt, Govt Press submits
the following explanation.

The delay of the
Standing Orders referred
to was caused by a
pressure of work in
getting out Reports and
other urgent papers,
and the heavy work
in hand in the Binding
Dept. between November
and January last -
Since the undersigned
has returned from leave
(early in April) the
Examiner's Standing Orders
have been treated as

Special work, and they
will continue to be so
treated in future.

Office
Superintendent

To

The Chief Secretary
to Government

23/5.

From
THE SUPERINTENDENT,
GOVERNMENT PRESS,

To
*The Chief Secretary
to Government.*

40. 22 May 189 N^o 445

Recorded

26 May 189

CHIEF SECRETARY
13 MAY 89
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Dated 11
Received 13 } May 1889.

ENCLOSURE. / *Enc. 1306, 1499*

Subject.

*Submitting explanation
regarding the delay in printing
the Standing orders of the
Examiner of P. W. Accounts*

DESPATCHED

30 MAY 89

IN HIS

*For P.W. D.
the Capt. Sub. Gen.*

14/5.

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, dated
188 , No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No. 1306
1499.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 183

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 183

*P. W. D.
Capt. Sub. Gen.*

*Copied
28/5*

*p b
a p.*

A A

[7]

ORDINARY, MS.

<i>Pub</i>	DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED <i>22/5/89</i>	
No. <i>445</i>	

When given for copying *22/5*

[Despatch Abstract.]

*Govt Press Supp'dts explanation
regarding the delay in printing
the standing orders of the Genl.
P.W. Supp'dts, recorded.*

[7]

ORDINARY, MS.

	DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED	
No.	

When given for copying

[Despatch Abstract.]