

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 10 9
(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் _____
(3) ஆதாரங் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் _____

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

பெரு துறை

அரசாணை எண்.	4-16-17	அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.		பலவகை
நாள் :	9.5.1889	199

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது.

[7.]

ORDINARY, MS.

Pub
DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED *9/5/89*
No. *4416/7.*

When given for copying *11/5*

[Despatch Abstract.]

*Forwarding to the folk of
India letter from the
Director, Archaeological Survey,
recommending that the area
be gazetted as "Sanctuary"
the survey of Madras.*

22.1.04 ✓

Feb 18/89

ORDINARY, MS.

26.3.06 ✓

DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED
No.

25.5.11 ✓

12.5.19 ✓

12.6.25 *II* ✓

When given for copying

[Despatch Abstract.]

2

15/5

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 188

FURTHER PAPER, No.

Final 2645

OF 188

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, dated
188 , No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

1188

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.


ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.:-

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.



No. 347 of 1889.

C. No. 1188

From James Burgess Esq LL.D. C.I.E.
Director-General,
Archaeological Survey

To The Chief Secretary
to the Government of Madras

Porna,
13 April 1889.

Sir,

In continuation of my no. 345
of this date I have the honour to submit the
following as suggesting what might be best
for the future conduct of the Archaeological
Survey.

2. Mr. Rea has hitherto been styled
First Assistant in the Archaeological Survey
of Southern India, but in the event of my
retiring this summer he would no longer
have anyone over him to be Assistant to -
I am not able to comprehend the policy of
the Government of India in gazetting men
like Messrs Neglar, Rodgers, and Keith
and refusing the same privilege to one of so
much higher professional ability as Mr. Rea.
I know he has felt it as a slur upon him,
and as it costs Government nothing,

I would respectfully solicit the Government of Madras to ask that the Supreme Government be pleased to gazette him with the title of "Superintendent" of the Archaeological Survey of Madras.

3. His responsibilities will be increased as he will become adviser to Government in every place in all matters of conservation. His long and thorough training as an architect and his seven seasons' experience in India fully qualify him for this very responsible duty.

4. I have asked the Government of India to grant him first class travelling allowances and fully expect that this will be sanctioned at once. Mr. Rea's salary is far too little for a man of his abilities and I would be glad if either his annual increments were increased to Rs 50/- or he got an addition at once raising it to Rs 500/- with the present yearly increments of Rs 25/- in future, making it for 5 years Rs 500 - 25 - 600/-.

5. Like Dr. Hultzsch Mr. Rea would, at any future date, be available to conduct the Survey of other provinces, but Madras is so rich in magnificent buildings but little known to the outside world, that it would be better to retain him for the Madras Presidency for at least five years — any less period being inadequate to overtake the survey of even a majority

of the more important monuments — and nine or ten would hardly suffice for anything approaching a complete survey. He supplies Dr. Hultzsch with facsimile impressions of all inscriptions that he meets with, and thus saves the former from visiting places which he surveys.

6. He has prepared reports, illustrated by numerous drawings etc., on Kanchi, Seven Pagodas, etc., which I expect to carry through the press shortly, and others are in an advanced state of preparation and will follow. Next season he will take up Madura with its temples and fine architectural buildings.

7. I estimate the expense of the Survey of Southern India under Mr. Rea at about Rs 12,000 per annum, even with his salary raised to 500/- per annum.

Trusting H.E. the Governor in Council will be pleased to give his most favourable consideration to the suggestions made in paras 2, 4 & 5 above.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your Most Obedient Servant
J. S. Burgess.
Director-General
Archaeological Survey.

CURRENT No.

[9]

DEPARTMENT.

From

No.

Dated

Received

Enclosures

SUBJECT.

CURRENT No. 1188

[9]

Public DEPARTMENT.

26/11/89 Dec 86 Dis Pub.
29/3/89 Dec 293/4 Pub. in

C 1188

In order

From Do Burgess,
Dir. Genl.,
S.S. of India.

These are notes for 12-8-87
1188,

which have been
revised, & note therein
Mr. Rees's present pay is Rs 425

See also for 15-11-87
1520 issue
3/5

7 (from 14 April last. vide D. Burgess's letter
in for 15-11-87)

This should be forwarded to the
Govt of India for their consideration, with
support of the proposals made.
They will probably give it to B.
on his part.

W.S.
Burgess

SUBJECT.

Req^d to gazette Mr. Rees as
"Superintendent" of the Archaeo-
logical Survey of Madras &
recommending certain concessions
to him.

Public DEPARTMENT.

[6]

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated

188 , No.

Again G.O., dated

188 , No

Current No. 1188

of 188 5, dated 13 April from The D. G. Archaeological Survey

Current No.

of 188 , dated

from

order of May/89 No 416.
The Govt of India will be
addressed

Issue
7/5

DESPATCHED

14 MAY 89

III M.S.

To The D. G. A. S.

DESPATCHED

14 MAY 89

III M.S.

with copy of this in
to the Secy to the Govt of India

Letter No 417. dt 9 May/89.

To The Secy to the Govt of India,
Home Dept.

I am directed to forward
for the consideration of
H.E. the Govt of India in C. copy 2 a
letter dt 13th April 1889 from
The D. G. Archaeological Survey, & to state
that the Madras Govt. are in
support of the proposals therein
made in regard to Mr. Rees

9/5

Ch.
Letter to India in regard
Mrs. Ren
(From order)