

(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 15-30

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள்

(3) ஆதாரம் குறிப்பவர் கருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

பெரிய துறை

அரசாணை எண். 302 மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் : 21-4-1990	1990 :

மடிக்கவேண்டிய கையாளவேண்டாம்.

Govt. of Madras.
PUBLIC.

1890.

Read.

Read.

G.O., 21st April 1890, No. 302.

APRIL.

செப்பாபெலர் பெயர்
செப்பாபெலர் பெயர்
செப்பாபெலர் பெயர் 12/07
செப்பாபெலர் பெயர் 2/08
செப்பாபெலர் பெயர்
செப்பாபெலர் பெயர்
செப்பாபெலர் பெயர்
செப்பாபெலர் பெயர்

Leper Hospital.

Declining, with remarks, to entertain Surgeon
Major Cook's application for an extra allowance
for the charge of the

Copy.

No 1579

Government of India
Department of Finance and Commerce
Accounts and Finance.
Rules and General Orders.

2/202

Resolution

Calcutta, the 26th March 1888.

Read -

Paragraphs 9 and 12 of Despatch from
Hon^{ble} Secretary of State for
India, No 257 (Financial), dated 27th
September 1888.

Resolution - ~~It~~ ^{It} ~~authorizes~~ ^{authorizes} the officers of audit
to, as a general rule, permit expenditure on the
authority of the Government of India, and with-
out requiring the formal sanction of the Secretary
of State for India, ~~except~~ ^{except} in cases in which it
is known that the sanction of the Secretary of State
is necessary. In the despatch read above, the
Secretary of State has ~~stated~~ ^{stated} that the duties
of audit officers in India in this respect shall
be extended, and that for future an audit of
state requires the sanction of the Secretary of
State for India before admitting any expenditure
charged against the ~~public revenue~~ ^{public revenue} which is not
within the ~~control~~ ^{control} of the Government of India
to sanction.

And the officers will accordingly charge
any order of the Government of India which

sanctions to any change for the following rules the authority of the Secretary of State is stated to be necessary.

- (1) "Salary includes, for all holders of these ranks, all fees, allowances, and rewards and honours, whether paid from public Revenue or from any local funds administered by Government, and also the value of free quarters or house rent."
- (2) "No permanent officer of which the salary exceeds Rs 2,000 a year may be made without the sanction of the Secretary of State. Temporary appointments of which the salary exceeds Rs 2,000 a year may be made by the Government of India, subject to the sanction of the Secretary of State for the duration of the appointment. The Government of India may, however, raise the salary of any officer of which the salary exceeds Rs 2,000 a year, without the sanction of the Secretary of State, provided that the salary does not exceed Rs 10,000 a year. The Government of India may also raise the salary of any officer of which the salary exceeds Rs 2,000 a year, without the sanction of the Secretary of State, provided that the salary does not exceed Rs 10,000 a year. The Government of India may also raise the salary of any officer of which the salary exceeds Rs 2,000 a year, without the sanction of the Secretary of State, provided that the salary does not exceed Rs 10,000 a year."
- (3) "The Government of India may, however, raise the salary of any officer of which the salary exceeds Rs 2,000 a year, without the sanction of the Secretary of State, provided that the salary does not exceed Rs 10,000 a year. The Government of India may also raise the salary of any officer of which the salary exceeds Rs 2,000 a year, without the sanction of the Secretary of State, provided that the salary does not exceed Rs 10,000 a year. The Government of India may also raise the salary of any officer of which the salary exceeds Rs 2,000 a year, without the sanction of the Secretary of State, provided that the salary does not exceed Rs 10,000 a year."
- (4) "The Government of India may, however, raise the salary of any officer of which the salary exceeds Rs 2,000 a year, without the sanction of the Secretary of State, provided that the salary does not exceed Rs 10,000 a year. The Government of India may also raise the salary of any officer of which the salary exceeds Rs 2,000 a year, without the sanction of the Secretary of State, provided that the salary does not exceed Rs 10,000 a year. The Government of India may also raise the salary of any officer of which the salary exceeds Rs 2,000 a year, without the sanction of the Secretary of State, provided that the salary does not exceed Rs 10,000 a year."
- (5) "The Government of India may, however, raise the salary of any officer of which the salary exceeds Rs 2,000 a year, without the sanction of the Secretary of State, provided that the salary does not exceed Rs 10,000 a year. The Government of India may also raise the salary of any officer of which the salary exceeds Rs 2,000 a year, without the sanction of the Secretary of State, provided that the salary does not exceed Rs 10,000 a year. The Government of India may also raise the salary of any officer of which the salary exceeds Rs 2,000 a year, without the sanction of the Secretary of State, provided that the salary does not exceed Rs 10,000 a year."

rules for the grant of pension on account of service in a Civil Department on the Army, as the case may be, can be granted without the sanction of the Secretary of State.

(1) "All payments in England, except those made for books and periodicals, or money of the public service in India must be made through the Secretary of State, whether the payment be chargeable to Imperial, Provincial, or Local Funds administered by Government; and direct transactions between officers of Government in India and persons or persons in England, which involve the payment of money from the public revenue to the latter, are prohibited. Special rules for Officers of the Public Works Department shall be charged to Loan Funds unless the Secretary of State has sanctioned the work as one which may be constructed from such funds. In the case of construction of works charged to Loans, the sanction of the Secretary of State is required for any work of which the estimate of cost exceeds—

- (a) Rs 10,00,000 when provision for establishment and tools and plant is not included; and
- (b) Rs 12,50,000 when it is included.

(2) "When the estimate for construction of a work either from Loan Funds or Revenue has been sanctioned by the Secretary of State, the Government of India may not incur any expenditure in excess of the estimate."

sanctioned estimate upto a limit of 10 per cent on the estimate of Rs 12,50,000 including Establishment and Tools and Plant, which ever is less, but any excess over a revised estimate sanctioned by the Secretary of State can be sanctioned only by the latter authority.

(10) For the purpose of determining whether the sanction of the Secretary of State is necessary under rules 8 and 9, a group of works which form one project shall be considered as one work, and the necessity for obtaining sanction to a project is not avoided by reason of the fact that the cost of each particular work in the project is within the powers of sanction of the Government of India.

(11) In the case of Railways the Government of India can only sanction, when the estimated cost is less than Rs 12,50,000 and charges to Government, a short addition to, or extension of, an existing line plainly required to supply useful conveniences to a line already established. The sanction of the Secretary of State is necessary when the line to be constructed, however short, involves a forcible extension. In the case when an estimate raises an objection to expenditure under the instructions now issued, which is not accepted by the Government of India, the correspondence will be forwarded for information to the Secretary of State for

India. It should, therefore, be understood in the Military and Public Works Departments that no such objection by an Auditor can be disposed of without a reference to the Finance Department.

Ordered, that this Resolution be communicated for information and guidance to all Departments of the Government of India; to the Comptroller and Auditor General; and to all Accountants General and Comptrollers.

1/3/36

(signed) E. J. Dickinson,
Offg Secy. to the Govt of India

(True Copy)

M. R. M.
Accountant General.

MLL

N^o. 80.

Royapuram - Madras.

16th January 1890

From

Surgeon Major H. D. Cook M. B.

Surgeon 1st District - Madras

To

The Secretary Surgeon General

with the Government of Madras

Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor to request that the Surgeon General may be pleased to recommend to Government that I should receive an allowance of Rs 50/- per mensem for the Lepus Hospital.

As this has been a charge of the Surgeon 1st District, for some years my reasons for now asking for a special allowance should be stated.

You are aware that the institutions attached to this District are the Native Infirmary, with 100 beds, the Superintendent of the Out-Patients' Department of the same with an over a daily attendance of 100, the

Sanitary

to be

Forwarded for the favorable consideration of your committee and recommended.

He is now in the hospital for the treatment of his leg. The Surgeon General has recommended that it be amputated. It is also a very serious matter.

With so many duties to perform at the command of the Medical Officer, the public must be very small so that he cannot add much to his involvement in this way.

Surgeon General with copy to the

For W. Page 22 Jan 1890

Mr. [Name] General To the Chief of Dept Public Dept

2255
The Surgeon General has submitted to Government the Hospital forms for the Surgeon General's Office. The charges of the Hospital are \$100 per month. The charges of the Hospital are \$100 per month. The charges of the Hospital are \$100 per month.

Surgeon General's Office
27 January 1890
Account of the

Chief Secretary to Government
Public Department

No 326 1890

100

(Public 1890)

720
B. ACCOUNTS GENERAL

Memo

To The Chief of Dept Public Dept

So. 25
6403020725-6-70
Dated 27 January 1890
Received

Submitting to Government
Endorsement of the Surgeon
General with the same as the
the Surgeon General's Office
of the charges of the Surgeon
General and that the grant of an
allowance of \$100 for the
charge of that Hospital again
the sanction of the Surgeon General

Gazetted
Audit

DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 468 5 meeting only

FORT ST. GEORGE,

Accountant-General's Office,

13 February 1890.

No. 482

From

W. A. Rawlinson Esq.
ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE *Chief Secretary to Government*
Public Department

SIR,

With reference to your
Memo. Public, C. No. 310, dated the
7th February 1890, I have the
honor to forward a copy of the
Government of India Resolution of
No. 1579 dated 26 March 1889, called for
therein.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Yours most obed^t servant

W. A. Rawlinson
Accountant General

rel

817

78

President's DEPARTMENT.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
Accountant-General's Office,
14th March 1890.

No. 894

From

J. W. Rawlinson

ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE *Chief Secy* Government,
Public Department,
Fort Saint George.

SIR,

With reference to the Memorandum, referred on the 7th March 90, I have the honor to state that the only additional charge sanctioned up to date on account of the Criminal Branch at the *Leprosy Hospital*, Madras, is the pay of three warders at Rs. 7 per month vide G. O. No. 144, Judicial, dated 24th August 1889.

2. The charge is included in the Estimate for the Leprosy Hospital, sanctioned by the *Sanctioning Officer*, and is debited to the Medical Hospitals and Dispensaries, Presidency Hospitals, in accordance with the principles laid down in rule 3, Chapter of the *Public Account Code*.

3. The charge is included in the

Yours faithfully,
J. W. Rawlinson

from my Hon. Col. of the Penitentiary
are paid to the allowance
of 2.

11/26/27

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Yours truly, &c. &c.

Wm. M. ...
Account General.

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten notes and scribbles on the left side of the page.]

The risk to human life involved
in the change of the Leprosy Hospital &
Jail are not greater than are ~~under~~
faced by medical officers in charge
of any hospital, and no one, in my
opinion, any ground for giving an
extra allowance. The only question is
whether the Leprosy Jail can be classed
as a separate jail. If it is, all the
charges of it must be kept separate
from those of the Leprosy Hospital, and
it must be put under the charge &
inspection of the Asst. Genl. of
Jails. If all this is done, the allowance
can be recommended as for the charge
of a distinct jail. But ~~perhaps~~
I do not think that the institution is
of sufficient importance to necessitate
this step; or that it should be treated
as anything but a ward of the Leprosy
Hospital in which patients are chiefly
segregated & confined. If we propose
to make it a separate jail, Asst. Genl.
will suggest that it be put under the
executive charge of the Asst. of Prisoners
whenever the Penitentiary is civilly dis-
posed with a corresponding allowance for
the extra medical officers of the
distinct would have to do the medical
duty without allowance, as Dr. H.
does for the Penitentiary.
Dr. Cook cannot have an allowance
for the Leprosy Jail, as proposed by
Hon. W. S. Austin, unless that insti-
tution is made an entirely separate one
thing and, I think, to be recommended
Wm. M. ...

Public (1390) DEPARTMENT.

From
THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,
Madras.

To
The Comptroller of the
Public Department

No. 87

Dated 13 March 1890.
Received 13

60. 302-721-4-3007

No. 300 15 MAR 1964

Subject:

Subject.

It being that the only additional
charge connected with the detention
Account for the Criminal Ward
at the Lep. Hospital has been
in the pay of three months at \$1.00
p. m.

[illegible]

2. 4. m.

Submitted, with a
copy of the civil account.
Code, with refce to the
Hon. Member's query
of 25.2.90.



2013. I am afraid there is not
18/2/90 a case. If all were
fine as for a jail it would, owing
to the low strength in the mini-
mum of Rs. 50. ~~the~~
now has been same time as
a place of confinement must under
the C.P.C. but it can hardly
be done as a jail house.

How: Mr. Garstin C.S.I.
H.E. the Governor.

H.E. the Hon.
Resubmitted with reference to
the foregoing note by the
Hon. Mr. H. H. H.
28, 573

2573

2013.
22.3.90. No. let it be made a good deal
of his own private life. - a tough
exceptional character. - a tough
the existing changes are debited to
"the ideal" I do not see why
an allowance of 50 N. a week
may not be given to Dr. Cook &
debited to "the ideal" - J. J.

valle

the other one that the
 full - has a copy

~~From~~ the Surg. Genl. W. 64, dated 22. 1. 90.
 Quoted " Acct. Genl. " 255, " 29. 1. 90.
 " ———— " 894, " 14. 3. 90.

$\frac{12}{152}$
 $\frac{12}{302}$
Order 28 April / 20 N^o 302

In the opinion of Govt: the charge of the Leper Hospital is not any ground for the grant of an extra allowance. If there were a separate Leper Sail Dr. Cook's application for a charge allowance would be entitled to support, but in the opinion of H.E. the Govt. in Council the existing institution is not of sufficient importance to necessitate steps being taken for constituting it a separate Sail or for treating it as anything but a ward of the Leper Hospital in which patients are

are strictly segregated
and confined.

2. In these circum-
stances the Govt. is
unable to entertain
Dr. Cook's application.

(From orders)

OB.
6/4/90.

To the Surgeon General.
Acct. General. 15/90

13/08
The Surgeon General
Washington D.C.

From orders.

21st APRIL 1890, No. 302, PUBLIC.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

From Surgeon-Major H. D. COOK, M.B., Surgeon, 1st District, Madras, to the Secretary, Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras, dated Ráya-puram, 16th January 1890, No. 480.

I have the honor to request that the Surgeon-General may be pleased to recommend to Government that I should receive an allowance of Rs. 50 per mensem for the Leper Hospital.

2. As this has been a charge of the Surgeon, 1st District, for some years, my reasons for now asking for a special allowance should be stated.

3. You are aware that the institutions attached to this district are the Native Infirmary with 100 beds, the superintendence of the out-patients' department of the same with an average daily attendance of 100, the sanitary supervision of the Monegar Choultry wards and grounds, the Lying-in Hospital with 28 beds, the medical charge of the Civil Debtors' and Justice Jails (the former having all the returns of an ordinary district jail to be duly submitted), and the Government Leper Hospital with 160 beds.

4. For the above institutions, I draw the consolidated salary of Rs. 850. The Civil Surgeons of Calicut and Vizagapatam do each draw a separate allowance for the medical charge of the Government Lunatic Asylums in their stations. The Surgeon of the 2nd District, Madras, draws a special allowance of Rs. 100 per mensem for the medical charge of the Lock Hospital.

5. The duties of the Government Leper Hospital have very much increased of late, inasmuch as a jail has been established in the grounds, and prisoners affected with leprosy are in future to be sent to it. At present there are 5 prisoners, but more are expected. I have now to visit these prisoners regularly every morning agreeably to the duties of a medical officer of a jail, and am responsible for their safe custody.

6. I have to request, therefore, that the Surgeon-General may be pleased to forward to Government this my application for a special allowance of Rs. 50 per mensem for the charge of the Government Leper Hospital with the Leper Jail.

No. 64.

MEMORANDUM.

Forwarded for the favorable consideration of Government, and recommended.

2. The collective duties of the Surgeon, 1st District, are now heavy and responsible. As regards the Leper Hospital, it is a very serious charge, more especially as I believe that it involves a certain amount—although perhaps small—of personal risk. It also contains prisoners.

3. With so many duties, the spare time at the command of the medical officer for private practice must be very small, so that he cannot add much to his emoluments in this way.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
22nd January 1890.

(Signed) G. BIDIE, M.B., C.I.E.,
Surgeon-General with Government of Madras.

To the Chief Secretary to Government, Public Department,
through the Accountant-General.

No. 255.

Submitted to Government.

2. The Leper Hospital forms one of the charges of the Surgeon, 1st District, and the grant of an allowance of Rs. 50 for the charge of that hospital requires the sanction of the Secretary of State, as his pay exceeds Rs. 5,000 a year—*Vide* Government of India Resolution, No. 1579, Financial, dated 25th March 1889.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
29th January 1890.

(Signed) T. W. RAWLINS,
Accountant-General.

To the Chief Secretary to Government, Public Department.

From the Accountant-General, dated Fort St. George, 13th February 1890, No. 482.

Reference, dated 7th March 1890.

The Accountant-General is requested to report how the charges of the *Leper Jail* are debited.

(Signed) J. GROSE,
Ag. Chief Secretary.

To the Accountant-General.

From T. W. RAWLINS, Esq., Accountant-General, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 14th March 1890, No. 894.

With reference to Assistant Secretary's memorandum, reference on Nos. 340 and 468, dated the 7th March 1890, I have the honor to state that the only additional charge sanctioned up to date on account of the criminal ward at the Leper Hospital, Madras, is the pay of three warders at Rs. 7 per mensem—*vide* G.O., No. 1464, Judicial, dated 24th August 1889.

2. The charge is included in the establishment pay bill of the Leper Hospital, drawn by the Surgeon, 1st District, and is debited to "24, Medical—Hospitals and Dispensaries—Presidency Hospitals," in accordance with the principle laid down in Rule 3, Chapter 48, of the Civil Account Code.

3. The charges incurred on account of diet, &c., of the criminal lepers are not separately shown in the contingent bills of the hospital.

ORDER—dated 21st April 1890, No. 302, Public.

In the opinion of Government, the charge of the Leper Hospital is not any ground for the grant of an extra allowance. If there were a separate Leper Jail, Dr. Cook's application for a charge allowance would be entitled to support, but in the opinion of His Excellency the Governor in Council, the existing institution is not of sufficient importance to necessitate steps being taken for constituting it a separate jail, or for treating it as anything but a ward of the Leper Hospital in which patients are strictly segregated and confined.

2. In these circumstances, the Government is unable to entertain Dr. Cook's application.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.
" Accountant-General.

Encl. A. Raitt.

ORDINARY PRINTED

WAC DEPARTMENT

ED. 2-4-70

No. 200

(B) 11/18
11/18

First copy sent to Press

Returned for signature

204

Despatched in print

1/5

1/17