

(1) பக்தவத்சலின் எண்ணிக்கை

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள்

(3) ஆதாரம் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

மேலுதர

அரசாணை எண். 293-294-	அச்சம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	பலவகை
நாள் : 29. 3. 1889	199

மடிக்கவோ கனப்புடி கையாளவோ கூடாது.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

From J. BURGESS, Esq., M.D., C.I.E., Director-General of the Archaeological Survey of India, to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Calcutta, the 7th February 1889, No. 134.

With reference to your letter of 13th December last, submitted through me to Dr. Hultsch with the accompanying papers returned herewith, I have the honor to forward Dr. Hultsch's reply, No. 52, dated Hespel, 28th January.

2. All scholars interested in Sanskrit studies will be ready to endorse the opinions of Dr. Oppert and Dr. Hultzsch that it is most desirable to continue the search for, and cataloguing of, Sanskrit manuscripts in the Madras Presidency for at least another three years.

It is also most desirable that the cataloguing should not be confined to mere registration of titles, &c., but that the manuscripts should be described in such detail, with such brief outlines of contents, that their true character may be known; also that copies of unique manuscripts should be made for Government.

4. The want of an adequate grant at present hinders this being done in Madras, and Dr. Hultzsch suggests that the grant should be increased to the same amount as in Bombay, viz., Rs. 8,200 a year. As about Rs. 1,500 of the Bombay grant, however, is applied as the salary of a librarian, it might be possible in Madras, where Dr. Hultzsch's travelling expenses have to be otherwise met, to work with a total grant of Rs. 6,600, as in Bengal.

5. Dr. Hultzsch, in copying inscriptions, has been really collecting manuscript documents, each of which is unique; but if he had the aid of two young scholars to work under him in this larger department, he could arrange his epigraphical tours so as to visit libraries and private collections and do good service.

6. I have a strong conviction, shared with Directors of Public Instruction and other Educational officers best able to judge, that the attempt to teach epigraphy in our colleges must cost money and prove a failure, while, on the other hand, the suggestion I originally made appears only to require a fair trial to succeed, viz., that epigraphy can only be efficiently taught by a practical epigraphist engaged in the work as Dr. Hultzsch is. If Dr. Hultzsch had three (or more) graduates from our universities under him, they would greatly expedite his work, and at the same time learn to be epigraphists and palaeographers, who might afterwards do good work in this line; and in aiding in the search for manuscript they would acquire a knowledge of Sanskrit literature that would be an invaluable qualification if they should become Professors of Sanskrit in any of our colleges.

7. Dr. Hultzsch's present Assistant has served for two years on Rs. 50 a month, and has given such thorough satisfaction that I should be glad if his pay could receive an increment.

8. Dr. Hultzsch's engagement, however, expires in November, and he should receive notice six months previously whether his services are to be longer retained. It would be a very great loss to the excellent work Dr. Hultzsch has so well begun, before we have reaped more of the results. Owing to unavoidable delays in the Press, only a few sheets of Dr. Hultzsch's first volume of South Indian Inscriptions have yet been printed off, but the work is now making steady progress, and the date of its completion depends only on the Government Printer. I have seen the manuscript, and consider the volume with its many new historical data will be a most important and invaluable contribution to the early history of the Dravidian country; and when it is finished, Dr. Hultzsch has a second volume ready for the press. No scholar has previously shown such ability to deal with the inscriptions of South India written in Old Tamil, Hala, Kanna, and other almost forgotten characters. Dr. Hultzsch's salary, however, is quite inadequate, and he would not consent to remain without an increase of at least Rs. 100 (or perhaps more) for the year 1890, and some increment for each following year.

I would respectfully, but most earnestly, ask the careful consideration by His Excellency in Council of this matter.

Enclosure.

From Dr. E. HULTZSCH, Director of the Archaeological Survey of Southern India, to the Director-General, Archaeological Survey of India, dated Hospet, 28th January 1889, No. 32.

With reference to the enclosed Government orders, which I received with your endorsement, No. 910, dated 21st December 1888, I have the honor to state that I fully agree with Dr. Oppert's opinion that the search for Sanskrit manuscripts should be continued for at least three years. The value of the extensive results already published by Dr. Oppert is best shown by the fact that several European scholars have applied for manuscripts described in them, and that all the titles of books contained in them have been embodied in Dr. Bohlingk's new Sanskrit Dictionary. I further think it advisable that, after or along with the work of mere registration, the manuscripts of ancient and unknown books should be described in detail, as was done for the Bombay Presidency by Buhler, Kielhorn, Peterson, and Bhattacharya, whose reports on Sanskrit manuscripts have proved of the greatest importance for the history of Sanskrit literature. Similar results might be expected for the Madras Presidency, which is very rich in manuscripts. If a Government grant like that for Bombay (Rs. 8,200) were sanctioned for a few years. Part of such a grant would be required for the pay of native graduates, who would draw up lists of native libraries and make extracts from important works under European supervision; out of the grant also valuable manuscripts could be copied or purchased for the Madras collection.

2. As the subject of history of Sanskrit literature is one which has always interested me (see Vol. XI of the Journal of the German Oriental Society for a catalogue of 483 Sanskrit manuscripts which I collected on a private tour through Northern India and Kashmir in 1882-83, and which have since become the property of the Bodleian Library, Oxford), I beg to express my willingness to do my best in searching for manuscripts, and to arrange my official tours in future in such a way as to visit libraries of rare manuscripts. I should Government be pleased to continue my engagement as Epigraphist after the expiration of my present appointment (on 21st November 1889) and to grant the necessary funds for the pay of native assistants and for the purchase and copying of manuscripts. Hitherto I regret that I have been unable to do much for the search, as the epigraphical work, which my agreement with the Secretary of State (G.O. dated 3rd December 1886, No. 2519, Public) imposes on me, and which I have tried to fulfil to the best of my ability, has taken up all my time, and as, in utilizing the original manuscripts, grant (Rs. 3,200) for part of my pay and allowances as Epigraphist, no funds for the search were placed at my disposal.

3. As my present salary of Rs. 400 is not adequate without supplement from private means, and as the second-class travelling allowance, to which alone I am entitled, involves a considerable loss on every official journey, I beg to state that, in case of a renewed engagement, I would naturally expect a more liberal salary, with an annual increment and first-class travelling allowance.

4. In conclusion, I suggest that, besides my present assistant, two native graduates might be appointed whom I might train both for epigraphy and the search. As my present assistant, who has done very good service, seems to be unwilling to stay at his present pay of Rs. 50, this might be raised, and the pay of the two new assistants fixed at such a rate that really able workers could be secured.

ORDER—dated 29th March 1889, No. 293, Public.

The correspondence read above will be forwarded to the Government of India, with the recommendation that, on the termination of his present agreement, the services of Dr. Hultzsch be retained for a further period of three years, during which he will devote a portion of his time to collecting and cataloguing Sanskrit manuscripts in this Presidency. His Excellency in Council agrees with Dr. Burgess that, in this event, it will be only reasonable to increase Dr. Hultzsch's salary, and the Government of India will be addressed accordingly.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Director-General of the Archaeological Survey of India

Ed. A. Rana.

Govt. of {1889} Madras.
PUBLIC.

293-11

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 29th March 1889, No. 293.

MARCH.

Dr. E. Hultzsch.

Forwarding certain correspondence to the Government of India, with recommendation that, on the termination of his present agreement, the services of — be retained for a further period of three years for the purpose of collecting and cataloguing Sanskrit manuscripts in Madras Presidency.

Regd. No.

HOME 1889 DEPT.

From the Government of
Fort St. George.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

Letter, 29th March 1889, No. 294.

MARCH.

Dr. E. Hultzsch.

Addressing the Government of India on the subject of the retention of the services of — for a further period of three years for the purpose of collecting and cataloguing Sanskrit manuscripts in this Presidency, and of the increase of his salary and travelling allowances.

29TH MARCH 1889, No. 294, PUBLIC.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

LETTER—from

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
FORT ST. GEORGE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
HOME DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter* of the 2nd

*G.O., dated 24th January 1889, No. 86.

January, No. 10, I am directed to forward
copy of Proceedings of Government of
this date, No. 293, recording letters from

Dr. Burgess and Dr. Hultzsch on the subject of the retention of the services of the
latter officer for a further period of three years for the purpose of collecting and
cataloguing Sanskrit manuscripts in addition to his present epigraphical work, I am to
state that, in the opinion of His Excellency the Governor in Council, it is most
desirable that Dr. Hultzsch's employment should be continued for the period proposed,
and that, considering his reputation as a scholar and the excellent work he has already
done as Government Epigraphist, his request for the increase of his salary and travel-
ling allowance is only reasonable. His present pay is Rs. 400 a month, and His
Excellency in Council is in favor of allowing him Rs. 500, with first-class travelling
allowance, for the first year of the new agreement, and an increment of Rs. 50 for
each subsequent year.

2. The pay of Dr. Hultzsch's Assistant might, His Excellency in Council thinks,
be raised to Rs. 70 a month.

3. To enable effect to be given to these suggestions, the Madras Government
are willing to raise their contribution from Provincial funds towards the expenses of
the Archaeological Department to Rs. 6,000 per annum, for the three years for which
it is proposed to re-engage Dr. Hultzsch.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

FORT ST. GEORGE,
29th March 1889.

Chief Secretary.

Exd. A. I

in addition to his present
epigraphical work

that considering his
reputation as a scholar & the
excellent work he has already
done as Govt. epigraphist, his
request for the increase of his
salary & travelling allowance is
only reasonable. His present
pay is Rs. 400 a month, & H.E. in C.
wishes to increase it in favor of allowing
him Rs. 500, with first class
travelling allowance, for the first
year of the new agreement
& an increment of Rs. 50 for
each subsequent year

of the Government for a further
period of 3 years for the
additional purpose of collecting
and cataloguing Sanskrit,
H.E. in C. is to request that
the Government of India should
be pleased to favorably
consider the recommendation
made in the foregoing
Proceedings, and to state
that in the opinion of H.E.
the Governor in Council it is
most desirable that Dr.
Hultzsch's employment should
be continued for the period
proposed, & that this Government
will be glad to add a provincial
contribution to the
Archaeological Department
of Rs. 6,000 per annum
for 3 years from 1st January 1889
to enable this to be done.

The p^{er} Dr. Hultzsch's Ar.
and night, H. in C. thinks
be should be Rs 70 a month

To enable effect to give to
these suggestions the British
govt are willing to raise
their contribution from Govt
funds to the ~~bookkeeping~~

towards the expenses of the British
Legisl Dept. to Rs 600 per
annum for the three years
during for which it is proposed
to re-engage Dr. Hultzsch

(from orders)

been
20/3

C. 23/3
2.25/2

Public Dept.

6/4
1893

C. 535/89.

From the Director General of
Archaeological Survey of India,
dated 7th February 1889, No 134,
forwarding in original letter
from Dr. Hultzsch, 28th
January 1889, No 32.

Order 29th March 89 No. 293

The correspondence
read above will be forwarded
to the Government of India with
the recommendation that the
termination of his present agreement
the services of Dr. H. Hultzsch be
retained for a further period
of 3 years, ^{during} ~~on the termination of~~
~~his present engagement in~~
~~his present position from which date~~
he will devote a portion of his
time to collecting and cataloguing
Sanskrit MSS in this Presidency
It will also be intimated to
the Government of India that
the Govt in C
this Government approves of
Dr. Hultzsch.

H. in C agrees with Dr. Hultzsch
that in this event it will
be not reasonable to increase
Dr. Hultzsch's salary other
by will be addressed

Accordingly ~~was~~
Dr. Heltzsch's salary and
~~allowance~~ being revised
as proposed and that His
Excellency the Governor General
is willing to add a provincial
contribution of Repees 6000. to the
Archaeological Department for
3 years from November next.

925 March 29 No 294

The Secretary to the
Government of India.
Home Dept. (Public)

With reference to the
correspondence ending on the
your letter of the 2^d January,
p 10, I am directed to forward

copy of Proceedings of Govt.
of this date, N. 293, recording

Letter from Wm. Bangs and Dr.
H. H. Sch. ^{in the subject of the intention}
~~publishing to the public~~

~~officers retention in the service~~

46 24 Jan'y 1889

of the services of the father

Public DEPARTMENT.

No. 533

7
993-4

From *The Disputed Archaeological Survey*

Dated _____ 188

FOR ORDERS.

In Edw. Mus $\frac{1-12-88}{708}$ this

Dr. Hultzsch is a real scholar
 & a master of his work. I have always
 been amazed that a man of his
 attainments could be so perfectly
 a duddy as 4000/- a month. It
 is practically a mere subsistence
 allowance to a scholar who is
 working for his own good & the
 nation. There is plenty of work for
 such a man in Southern India
 & Dr. H. has amply justified his
 appointment. I think that it
 has however been a very ^{little} ~~large~~
 archaeological dept. which
 has wholly imperial (p. 141-87)
 55 mil
 & 25.11.87) -
 1038 mil

It is most desirable that his em-
ployment should be continued &
I would gladly agree to enhance
the provincial contribution
to the Archaeological

deft to enable this to be done.
I then propose that the pro-
vincial conf^y be raised to \$5
6000 for three years from the
next ^{year} ~~it~~ ~~then~~ ~~raised~~ the ~~estab^l~~

Govt. endorsed Mr. Giff's view
that the search for Parkland
mass should be continued for
3 years longer. Dr. Kallgren
considers this the minimum
limit of time which should
be assigned

The present annual grant for
the purpose ^{was} £. 3200 (sup^d $\frac{14,152}{5574}$)
J. B. Dargers could raise
£ 6000. The present grant

The following are the papers
dealing with Dr. Kultz's
epistolary correspondence

No. 4 Dec 1886. No. 2827.
 " 10 Sep. 1886. " 4909.
 " 30 Dec 1886. " 2579.

hmm
93

S. Galt is now as he finds that
he is having great value his price. The
~~the~~
The work
of the epiphany came in their way by

Public

7/25/4

From

*The Director General
of the Archaeological
Survey of India
Calcutta*

No. 134

*Date 22 July
Received 1/1*

Enclosures

SUBJECT.

*Re. Search of
Sanhit Manuscripts
in the Madras Presidency.*

No. 32.

10
28th January 1894

From

Dr E. Hultzsch,

Epigraphist,

Archaeological Survey of Southern India.

To

J. Burgess, Esq. L.D., B.S.E.,

Director General, Archaeological
Survey of India.

Sir,

With reference to the enclosed Government Orders, which I received with your endorsement No. 910 dated 21st December 1888, I have the honour to state that I fully agree with Dr Oppert's opinion that the work for Sanskrit Manuscripts should be continued for at least three years. The value of the extensive lists already published by Dr Oppert is best shown by the fact that several European Scholars have applied for Manuscripts described in them and that all the titles of books contained in them have been embodied in Dr Hottelung's new Sanskrit Dictionary. I further think it advisable that, after or along with the work of mere registration, the Manuscripts of ancient and unknown books should be described in detail as was done for the Bombay Presidency by Buhler, Thielhorn, Peterson and Bhandardhar, whose reports on Sanskrit Manuscripts have proved of the greatest importance for the history of Sanskrit literature. Similar results might be expected for the Madras Presidency, which is very rich in Manuscripts, if a Government

grant like that for ¹⁸⁸¹ ~~1880~~ (Rs 3200) -
sanctioned for a few years. Part of such a grant
would be required for the pay of native graduates
who would draw up lists of native libraries
and make extracts from important works under
European supervision; out of the grant also
valuable manuscripts could be copied or purchased
for the Madras Collection.

2. As the subject of history of Sanskrit
literature is one which has always interested me (See
Vol **XL** of the Journal of the German Oriental
Society for a catalogue of ⁴⁸³ Sanskrit Manuscripts
which I collected on a private tour through
Northern India and Kashmir in 1882/83, and
which have since become the property of the
Bodleian Library, Oxford) I beg to express
my willingness to do my best in searching for
manuscripts and to arrange my official tours
in future in such a way as to visit libraries of
rare manuscripts. Should Government be
pleased to continue my engagement as
Epigraphist after the expiration of my
present appointment (on 21st November 1889)
and to grant the necessary funds for the pay of
native assistants and for the purchase and
copying of manuscripts. Hitherto I regret
that I have been unable to do much for the
search, as the epigraphical work, which my
agreement with the Secretary of State (G.O.
dated 3rd December 1886, No. 2519, Public)
imposes on me, and which I have tried to
fulfil to the best of my ability, has taken up

the date of its completion depends only on the Government Printer. I have seen the manuscript and consider the volume with its many new historical data will be a most important and invaluable contribution to the early history of the Dravidian country. And when it is finished Dr. Hultzsch has a second volume ready for the press. No scholar has previously shown such ability to deal with the Inscriptions of South India written in Old Tamil, Hala Kannada, and other almost forgotten characters. Dr. Hultzsch's salary, however, is quite inadequate and he would not consent to remain without an increase of at least Rs. 100 for the year 1890, and some increment for each following year.

I would respectfully but most earnestly ask the careful consideration by His Excellency in Council of this matter, and have the honor to be,

Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

J. Burgess

Director General of the
Archaeological Survey of India.

by a practical Epigraphist engaged in the work as Dr. Hultzsch is. If Dr. Hultzsch had 3 (or more) graduates from our Universities under him, they would greatly expedite his work, and at the same time learn to be Epigraphists and Palaeographers who might afterwards do good work in this line, and in aiding in the search for manuscript they would acquire a knowledge of Sanskrit literature that would be an invaluable qualification if they should become Professors of Sanskrit in any of our Colleges.

Dr. Hultzsch's present Assistant has served for 2 years on Rs. 50 a month and has given such thorough satisfaction that I should be glad if his pay could receive an increment.

Dr. Hultzsch's engagement, however, expires in November, and he should receive notice 6 months previously whether his services are to be longer retained. It would be a very great loss to stop the excellent work Dr. Hultzsch has so well begun, before we have reaped more of the results. Owing to unavoidable delays in the Press, only a few sheets of Dr. Hultzsch's first volume of South Indian Inscriptions have yet been printed off, but the work is now making steady progress, and the

known; also that copies of unique manuscripts should be made for Government.

The want of an adequate grant at present hinders this being done in Madras, and Dr. Hultzsch suggests that the grant should be increased to the same amount as in Bombay, viz: Rs: 8,200 a year. As about Rs: 1,500 of the Bombay grant, however, is applied as the salary of a librarian, it might be possible in Madras, where Dr. Hultzsch's travelling expenses have to be otherwise met, to work with a total grant of Rs: 6,700, as in Bengal.

Dr. Hultzsch, in copying Inscriptions has been really collecting manuscript documents each of which is unique but if he had the aid of two young scholars to work under him in this larger department, he could arrange his epigraphical tours so as to visit libraries and private collections and do good service.

I have a strong conviction, shared with Directors of Public Instruction and other Educational Officers best able to judge, that the attempt to teach Epigraphy in our Colleges must cost money and prove a failure, while on the other hand the suggestion I originally made appears only to require a fair trial to succeed, viz: that Epigraphy can only be efficiently taught by

N^o of 1889.

From

J. Burgess, Esquire, LL.D., G.I.E.,
Director-General of the
Archaeological Survey of India,

To

The Chief Secretary to the Govt of
Madras.

Calcutta, the 7th February 1889.

Sir,

With reference to your letter of
13th December last, submitted through
me to Dr. Hultzsch with the accom-
panying papers returned herewith,
I have the honor to forward Dr. Hultzsch
reply. N^o 32 dated Hospet 28th January.

All scholars interested in Sanskrit
studies will be ready to endorse the
opinions of Dr. Oppert and Dr. Hultzsch
that it is most desirable to continue the
search for, and cataloguing of, Sanskrit
manuscripts in the Madras Presidency
for at least another three years.

It is also most desirable that the
cataloguing should not be confined to
mere registration of titles, *sc.*, but that
the manuscripts should be described in
such detail, with such brief outlines of
contents that their true character may be
known.

No 9

Madras 25 July 1888

From

The Curator

Government Oriental Manuscript Library

To

The Director of Public Instruction

Madras

Sir

I have the honor to submit the following report with reference to the letter of the Under Secretary to the Government of India dated Simla 7 June 1888 No 1212, regarding the progress which has been made in the search for Sanskrit Manuscripts since 12 March 1883.

2- I was absent from India on furlough at that time and Mr. M. Seehagiri Sastriar was acting for me until my return in December 1883. In accordance with a scheme of travelling which was proposed by Mr. M. Seehagiri Sastriar and sanctioned by Government in G.O. dated 30 April 1883, No 253, he visited during

6. After the withdrawal of the yearly grant Rs 3200 —
 remitted sanctioned in G.O. No. 21 May 1886 Rs 1046
 is a contingent allowance of Rs 50 per mensem or
 Rs 600 per annum which I have been using to the best
 of my ability in the purchase and collection of rare and
 useful manuscripts. During the year 1886-87 about 1000
 manuscripts were catalogued 283 manuscripts were ac-
 quired by purchase 13 rare manuscripts were transcribed,
 and 8 others were presented.

7. In the year 1887-88 two important libraries
 containing 432 manuscripts were purchased, 20 rare
 manuscripts were transcribed and 102 books presented.

8. In my yearly reports I have given a list of all
 MSS and a complete description of rare Manuscripts acquired
 during the year. The subjoined tabular statement is added
 to show the result of the search for Sanskrit Manuscripts during
 the last five years.

Years	No of MSS catalogued	No of MSS purchased	No of MSS transcribed	No of MSS presented	No of MSS noticed specimen in the annual Report
1883-84	12000	105	26	—	5
84-85	22000	289	44	27	22
85-86	22800	375	70	50	30
86-87	1000	283	13	34	24
87-88	—	432	20	102	22
1888	—	—	73	223	103
Total	55800	450	73	223	103

I have the honor to be
 Sir,
 your most obedient servant
 (Signature)
 Librarian, Government Library

Copy of letter from the Government of India
 Home Dept to the Chief Secy to the Government
 of Madras, d/7 June 1888, No. 1212.

In inviting attention to Home Dept
 letter No. 11-398 dated the 11th March 1883, I am
 directed to request that with the permission
 of H.E. the Governor in Council, the Government
 of India may be favoured with a report
 showing what progress has been made, —
 since that date, in the search for Sanskrit
 manuscripts in the Madras Presidency.

Copy of letter from the Director of Public
 Instruction, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to
 Govt of Madras, d/1 August 1888, No. 33/507.

With reference to Mr Under Secretary
 A. J. Bardens endorsement, d/22 June 1888, on
 letter from the Government of India to your
 address, No. 1212, d/7 June 1888, I have
 the honor to submit the accompanying
 No. 9 d/25 July 1888 letter from the Librarian of Govt Oriental
 MSS. Library, reporting on the progress
 made in the search for Sanskrit manus-
 cripts since the 11th March 1883.

Copy of letter to the Secy to the Govt
of India, Home Dept., from the Govt
of Madras, d/ 17 August 1888, No 485 A
Educational)

I am directed to acknowledge
receipt of Mr. Hewitt's letter, No 1212,
d/ 7 June 1888, requesting that the Govern-
ment of India, may be furnished with a
report showing what progress has been
made since March 1883 in the search
for Sanskrit Mss. in this Presidency.

2. In reply, I am to forward copy
of a letter from the Director of Public
Instruction submitting the report furnished
by the Curator of the Govt Oriental
Manuscripts Library on the subject
referred to.

Educational Department



PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following paper:

Letter from the Government of India, Home Department,
No 2391 dated 10 September 1888.

With reference to your letter No 485 A,
dated the 17th ult., forwarding a report showing
the progress made since March 1883, in the search
for Sanskrit manuscripts, I am directed to say
that if possible it would be desirable to fix
some limit of time for carrying on the search,
and I am accordingly to request that with the
permission of His Excellency in Council it may
be stated how long the search should in his opinion
continue in the Madras Presidency.

Letter from the Director of Public Instruction
Madras, dated 10 November 1888, No ^{P.O.} 778

With reference to Mr. Undersecretary
A. G. Gardiner's endorsement dated 20 September
1888 and letter from the Government of India to
your address, No 2391 dated 10 September 1888,
I have the honor to submit the accompanying
letter from the Curator of Government Oriental
Manuscripts Library and to state that I agree
with

* dated 30 October 1888

him in considering that the Search for Sanskrit manuscripts in the Presidency should continue for about 3 years more.

2. I would add, however, my opinion that if it is to be continued, the line of Search should be carefully mapped out now, and the order as regards time in which the Search is to be conducted laid down once for all.

Enclosure

Letter from the Curator, Government Oriental Manuscript Library to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, dated 30. October 1888.

With respect to No. 2371 Home Department (Public) Government of India, dated Simla, the 10. September 1888, I have the honor to make the following remarks:

The Search for Sanskrit Manuscripts during three years, from 1883 to 1886, only been carried on by tours undertaken partially by the Sanskrit Professors of the Presidency College and partially by Pandits travelling and collecting under his instructions.

A personal inspection is necessary in order to become acquainted with the existence of valuable manuscripts in the various localities, an information generally only obtained with great difficulty in consequence of the prejudice of the owners of such manuscripts who

are often persons of no knowledge but yet rich enough of their books to hide them from all outsiders.

The Search has up to date been limited considering the vast extent of the Madras Presidency, and I do not think that it can be completed under three years, if that is not even too short a time, considering how long it has lasted and still continues in the Bengal and the Bombay Presidencies.

Order thereon, dated 14. December 1888 No 708

Letter to the Secretary to the Government of India Home Department
Sir,

In reply to Mr. Harcourt's letter No 2371, dated 10. September 1888, requesting the Government to state how long the Search for Sanskrit Manuscripts should, in its opinion, continue in the Presidency. I am directed to forward a copy of a report No 778 dated 10. November 1888, with enclosure, from the Director of Public Instruction on the subject, and to say that His Excellency the Governor in Council accepts Mr. Gregg's opinion.

I have to
Yours faithfully
J. B. S. S. S.

Reference on...

In reply...

Public DEPARTMENT



21/12/88

Reference to...

again the following

G.O. dated 17th Sept 1888, No 285-A, Educational
G.O. dated 1st December 1888, No 708, Educational

The Communications from the Government of India in the Home Department, dated 7th June 1888 No 1212 and 10th September 1888 No 1291 will be referred to Dr Hultzsch for report with reference to the Proceedings of the Government marginally noted. Dr Hultzsch should state what work he has done in regard to searching for Sanskrit manuscripts and for how long he thinks that search at the expense of Government should be continued.

(By order)

For at George
13 Dec 88

W. L. Meyer
Assistant Secretary

To Dr E. Hultzsch (thru the Director General of Archaeological Survey)
with Encl. G.O. No 204 of 12th Sept 1888, No 485 & 497 of 17th Oct 88 & No 708 of 1st Dec 1888

G.O. of 4th Dec 1885
No 287, 2828 Public
43rd March 1886
No 683 Public

2/12/88

No 910 of 1888

Forwarded to D. E. Hultzsch Ph.D.
for report to Government of
Madras, with reference to the
Asst Secretary's letter No 708 of
1st Decr.

J. Burgen M.A.
D. G. Archdeol. Survey

Mardan, Yusafzai
21 Decr. 1888

Poona 20 April
1889

My Dear Sir
I am sorry these letters
should have given you trouble:
it appears that I signed the
file copyist's mistake put the
others unsigned into the cover for
Government.

Yours sincerely,
J. Burgen

would seem
 to be at the
 end of the
 road. The
 only way
 to go is
 to the
 north. The
 only way
 to go is
 to the
 north. The
 only way
 to go is
 to the
 north.

142
 42

NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SECRETARY.

J. Burgess has not yet
 replied to D.O. 17.207 asking
 him to verify his unsigned
 letter in C. 535. The
 letter is in his handwriting
 & should not be destroyed
 until his reply comes.
 The C.S. said he would write
 the Private Secretary with regard
 to the matter in the letter
 for orders.

been
 ref

Page 47

Read again 40 17 Aug 1888
- also 17 Sept 1888

~~instead of you~~
The communication from
the Govt of India to the
Home Dept. dated 7th June
1888, no 1212, and 10th Sept
1888 no 2391, will be referred
~~for report~~ to Dr Hultsch
~~for report~~ with reference to the Proceed-
ing of the Govt. marginally
noted. Dr Hultsch should state
what work he has done in regard
to searching for land in the
of a long he thinks that
and at the expense of just
should be continued

14th Decr 1885. nos 2827, 2828 Public
- 30th Mar 1886 no. 683 Public

to the
to the
to the

addition to the Govt.
with copies of the nos
4/12 April 1883, nos 485 A & 17 Aug
nos 708 & 17 Dec 1888

Reference to DeHultzch

4

30
30

20.

93: 7.00.
94: 13.-

Public
29 March 1889
29/4.

17/4.
17/4.

D. G. Mitchell

From my certain correspondence to the effect of his recent regarding the retention of the services of — for a further period of three years for the purpose of collecting sentalpinia and other insects in the Hawaiian Islands.

22.6.02
22.3.04
26.2.06

2.7.07

21.11.12

22.1.13

2.6.14

12.5.19

Public

29-3-09

293-294

29/3

Dr. E. Maltzsch

In view of certain circumstances
due to the fact of his ill
recommendation that the sum
of — he retained for a
further period of 3 years, on the
termination of his present
appointment, for the purpose of
collecting & cataloguing birds
in the U.S. in his residence.

Addressing the fact of his
on the subject of the retention
of the services of —
for a further period of 3 years
for the purpose of collecting
& cataloguing birds in the
U.S. in his residence, and of the
increase of his salary and
travelling allowance.