

(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள்

(3) ஆதாரம் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள்

அரசாணை எண். 230 - மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் : 5 - 3 - 1889	199

மடிக்கவோ துண்டியடி கையாளவோ கூடாது.

27/7 December/88
 Referred to the Director General
 of Archaeological Survey for
 remarks.

(By order)

W.S. Meyer
 Asst. Secretary

Public Works Department.
 1888.

No. 4415.C.

Dated 14th November 1888

Despatched 18th

Received 16th

To The Director General, Archaeological
 Survey

SUBJECT.

Referring letter from
 the Suply. Engineer to Birelu
 stating that there is no necessity
 for the employment of watchmen
 in each of the forts of Royacotta
 and Kistungeri in the Salem
 District as there is nothing
 of archaeological interest
 in them.

பாடகாப்பு பிரதி-1

செப்பனியைப் பெயர். 27. 11. 1888 (செயல்)

செப்பனியைத் தகவல் எடுத்தல் - 12/07 - 4/2-88

செப்பனியைப் பெயர். 27. 11. 1888 (செயல்)
 செப்பனியைத் தகவல் எடுத்தல் - 12/07 - 4/2-88
 செப்பனியைப் பெயர். 27. 11. 1888 (செயல்)
 செப்பனியைத் தகவல் எடுத்தல் - 12/07 - 4/2-88

N^o 187 of 1889.

From

J. Burgess, Esquire, L.L.D. b.I.E.,
Director General of the Archt.
Survey of India

To

The ^{Chief} Secretary to the Govt. of Madras,

Calcutta, the 21st February 1889.

Sir,

With reference to your endorsement
N^o 4005 Public of 7th December with papers
herewith returned, I have the honour to
note that the Superintending Engineer
4th Circle, Reports on the Forts at Krishna-
giri and Rayakota that so far as he
had learnt there is nothing of Archaeological
interest at either: he probably means of
architectural rather than archaeological
interest, and this is true. Forts like these
have not usually much of architectural
or artistic interest, but are chiefly notable
historically.

I quite agree, that if these two forts
are preserved from wanton destruction

or

or for sake of the building materials the
employment of watchmen may be
dispensed with.


I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

J. A. Burgess.

Director General of the
Archaeological Survey of India



[9]

Public DEPARTMENT.

4
230

From

The Director General
Archaeological Survey
of India
Calcutta

Order 5 March 89. No. 230.

Commtd. to Mr P. W.
Supt. with reference to
their bud. no. 4485C.
dated 4th Nov. 1888.

W. L. P.

No. 187-

Dated 21/2/89

Received 26/2/89

Enclosures A No 4005 nP

SUBJECT.

T. W. Supt. }
with C. L. No 4005 }
S. G. as Sec. } Desps 573/89.

27/2.

Agreeing with the
Dep. Secy. Engineer, 4th Circle, that there
is no necessity for the employment
of watchmen in each of the forts of
Raja Kola & Kuchingiri in the Sub.
Dt.

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, 188, No. MISCELLANEOUS.

W. H. Hall
By Archibald

28/1/88

(5)

ENCLOSURE

COURTESY No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

ORDER

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

with 6.11.6.05
add 6.11.6.05

- A - Copy in full.
- B - Not to be copied.
- C - Copy abstract only.
- D - Copy heading only.

12/19.

[7]

ORDINARY, MS.

Public DEPARTMENT.
G.O., DATED 5-3-89
No. 280.

When given for copying

[Despatch Abstract.]

Coming to the P.M.
Letter from the Director
General, Archaeological
Survey of India, agreeing
with the Inspector that
the Watchmen at the Forts
at Royacotta & Kistnagar
Salem Dist., may be
dispensed with.