

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 4-8
(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் _____
(3) ஆதாரங் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் _____

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

பொது துறை

அரசாணை எண். 1002
மு. கோப்புத் தாள எண்.

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 6-12-1889

1989.

மடிக்கவோ தண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

Public DEPARTMENT.
G.O. DATED 6. 12. 89
No. 1002.

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature, 14-12-89

Despatched to print, 17-12-89



[Despatch Abstract.]

Medical

Passing orders on letter from
the Surgeon General, ^{with Capt.} submitted &
remarks regarding the employment
of locally trained medical
subordinates in the Civil
Dispensary, Negapatnam.

I
6/12
Pub. 1002

21-11-88
23-12-88
31/1/89
7-8-89

6TH DECEMBER 1889, No. 1002, PUBLIC.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

From G. BIDIE, Esq., M.B., C.I.E., Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 28th October 1889, No. 278.

I have the honor to state that, in the month of June last, the hospital assistant attached to the civil dispensary, Negapatam, having been reported to me for gross neglect of duty in connection with a fire case at that station, I suspended him from rank and duty pending the orders of Government in the matter, and sent another subordinate of equal rank to relieve him.

2. The case having since been decided by Government—*vide* G.O., No. 723, of 29th August 1889—the acting arrangements were allowed to continue, so that the substitute sent by me has remained attached to the dispensary for the last four months.

3. I have now, however, received a letter from the President, District Board, Tanjore, who claims the appointment for one of the men locally trained, under the provisions of G.O., No. 763 M., of 11th August 1887, Local and Municipal Department, and requests the withdrawal of the subordinate sent by me four months ago.

4. Reading this Government Order in the light of the orders quoted in the margin, I am inclined to think that men locally trained are not to be used to relieve Government subordinates in old appointments, but for the extension of medical relief. I shall, therefore, feel obliged by being informed if, in the opinion of Government, my interpretation of the Government Order is correct.

ORDER—dated 6th December 1889, No. 1002, Public.

G.Os., dated 3rd December 1881, No. 2371, and 23rd June 1884, No. 1403, refer to the substitution of trained for untrained men. The hospital assistants who have passed through the Tanjore Medical School are "trained." The Board educates these men to do its work, and the President is right in wishing to make over the dispensaries to their charge as they become available. The Government Subordinate Medical Service, which is at present short-handed, will thus be relieved.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.
" Local and Municipal Department.

Exd. A. Raitt.

1002

1002

1002

1002

1002

1002

1002

1002

1002

1002

1002

1002

1002

1002

1002

1002

1002

1002

1002

1002

Order complete
Letter only
Order complete with Letter

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
C = Print abstract only.
D = Print heading only.
E = Print, but not for monthly returns.

Govt. of {1889} Madras.
PUBLIC.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 6th December 1889, No. 1002.

DECEMBER.

Medical.

Passing orders on letter from the Surgeon-General with Government submitting remarks regarding the employment of locally trained medical subordinates in the civil dispensary, Negapatam.



Mr. 3493

10

OFFICE OF SURGEON-GENERAL

WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

Fort St. George, 28th October 1889.

No. 278

From G. Bidie Esq. M. B. C. I. E.

THE SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

FORT ST. GEORGE,

To

THE

Chief Secretary to Government
Public Department

SIR,

I have the honor to state that in the month of June last the hospital assistant attached to the civil dispensary Negapatam having been reported to me for gross neglect of duty in connection with a fire case at that station, I suspended him from rank and duty pending the orders of Government in the matter, and sent another subordinate of equal rank to relieve him.

The case having since been decided by Government vide G.O. No. 72 of 29th August 1889, the acting arrangements were allowed to continue, so that the substitute sent by me has remained attached to the dispensary for the last 4 months.

I have now however received a letter from the President District Board Tanjore who claims the appointment for one of the
men

new locally trained, under the provisions
of G.O. No. 763 M. of 11th August 1887, Local
and Municipal Department and requests
the withdrawal of the subordinate sent by me
four months ago.

4. Reading this G.O. in the light of
the orders quoted in the margin, I am
inclined to think that new locally trained
are not to be used to relieve Government
Subordinates in old appointments but for the
extension of medical relief. I shall therefore
feel obliged by being informed if in the
opinion of Government my interpretation
of the G.O. is correct.

G.O. No. 1103, Fint.
225 June 1887
- do - 237, Fint.
273 Decr: 1887
G.O. No. 1466 of 25th Aug.
1884, para 1.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Dines M. B. C. S. B.
Surgeon General
with the Govt. of Madras.


24

no 3403
Public Deptt (1889)

Publ 29-8-89 no 723 his
- 7-11-89 no 892 -

From
G. Bidie Esq. M.B., C.I.E.
Surgeon General
With the Govt of Madras
Fort St. George.

Referred to the ~~same~~ no Deptt
for favor of remarks.



N: 278

Dated 28th } October 1889
Recd 29 }

Subject

Submits remarks regarding
the employment of a med. sub. in
the Civil Disp. Nagapatnam and
requests to be informed if his
views cited therein are correct.

In order
of 11 Dec 1889 N 1002.
for 2/11/89 x 23-6-89 upon
2371 1003
of the substitution of trained
for untrained men. The
Majr. Genl. who has passed
this the training must be
untrained. The Board estimates
them men to do the work and if
is not so? But the present method
is right in wishing to make over
the dispensaries & their charges
as they become available. [Dr. Bidie
is always explaining that the
present system is not
satisfactory & that it is
needed to be changed to the
benefit of the people.]

5th
I think the Surgeon Genl. is wrong
in the above view right. Para 15 (c) of
Dr. Currie's letter in G.O. 25 Aug 1887 N 1002
1986. is the only one which is in favour
of his view. I don't think it covers
the present case. If a locally trained
dispensary is available he
should have the place.

C 29/11
M.S.
30 Nov