

(1) Number of pages 57

(2) Date of repair 2.1.41

(3) Initials of the Referencer G

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 147

Press
W.E.

Dated 20th August 1941

Not to be folded or roughly handled

20TH AUGUST 1886, No. 1747, PUBLIC.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

G.O., dated 31st May 1886, No. 500 M., Financial.
Replies to " " "

ORDER—dated 20th August 1886, No. 1747, Public.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council having considered the foregoing replies to G.O., No. 500 M., dated 31st May 1886, requesting all Collectors to report whether it is desirable to extend the Indian Tramways Act, XI of 1886, to their districts, sees no immediate necessity for its introduction in any of the districts. Should circumstances at any time necessitate such a course, the Collector concerned will make the necessary application to Government for the extension of the Act to his district.

2. His Excellency in Council deems it advisable, however, to extend the Act at once to the City of Madras, and directs that the following Notification be published :—

NOTIFICATION.

In exercise of the power conferred by section 2, clause (2) of the Indian Tramways Act, 1886, the Governor in Council directs that the said Act shall come into force in the City of Madras with effect from the date of this notification.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the President, Municipal Commission, Madras.
„ all Collectors.
„ the Financial (M.) Department.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

Gobt. of (1886) Madras.

PUBLIC.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 20th August 1886, No. 1747.

AUGUST.

Indian Tramways Act.

Observing that there is no immediate necessity for the introduction of the — in any of the districts, but directing the publication of a notification extending the same at once to the City of Madras.

G. No. 130

Municipal Office,

Madras, 11th May 1886.

From

J. A. Jones Esq.

Ag: President, Municipal Commission,

To

The Honible E. J. Webster

Chief Secretary to Government.
Fort St. George.

SIR,

With reference to the resolutions of the Commissioners passed at a General Meeting on the 6th May 1886 as hereinunder quoted, I have the honor to enquire whether Government have any immediate intention of making applicable to Madras, the Government of India Act XI of 1886 (Indian Tramways Act) as if that be so it will be necessary to draft the conception on the lines indicated in Section 7 of the said act.

I presume that were the act in force no application under section (6) would be necessary until the conception has been granted but that it would be necessary to make the final grant of any conception dependent on the application under clause (6) being favorably received by Government.

Resolution referred to.

"Proposed by the Acting President and Seconded by Mr. N. Subrahmaniam."

"That as Messrs. Messrs. have failed to carry out their contract."

he same be cancelled."

The motion being put to the vote was carried unanimously.

The President moved and Mr. Devan Bahadoor Ragoonath Row seconded the motion

That The Acting President

Mr. N. Subrahmanyan

" J. P. Nicholas

" P. Rungiah Nayudu &

" W. S. Venkataramanpulu Nayudu

be appointed a Committee to draw up a new Constitution.

Carried nem con.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obed^t. Serv^t.

Alfred

ag President

Municipal Comm^r.

1886.
Madras Municipality.

FROM

ag.
THE PRESIDENT,
Municipal Commission.
MADRAS.

TO

*The Chief Secretary
to Government
Fort St. George.*

G. No. 130

Dated. *11th.*
Despd. *11th* } *May* 188*6*
Recd. *12*

Enc

Subject.

*Request to know whether
Government have any
immediate intention
of making applicable
to Madras the foot of
India Act XI of 1886 (Indian
Railways Act).*

P. T. O

READ AGAIN Order of Government, dated
188 , No. Miscellaneous.

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 188

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 188

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No. *810 Ma*

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER, &c. OF GOVERNMENT—A.

PAPERS KEPT OUT OF FILE FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.
(not paged.)

Order *210*
Letter
Collection

N.B.—

- A = Print in full.
- B = Not to be printed.
- P = Print abstract only.
- Q = Print heading only.
- R = Print, but not for monthly volume.

PRESS.

Financial DEPARTMENT. G.O. DATED 31st May 86 No. 500 M.

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Indian Railways Act.
 Requesting all Colly
 to report with refer
 to para 1 of the letter
 from the Pres. Municipal
 Commission Masara,
 whether it is desirable
 to extend the — to their
 districts & answering in
 the affirmative the
 question raised in
 para 2.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 810 M.

From The President, Municipal Commission, Madras
 dated 11th May 1886 No 130.

FOR ORDERS.

The first point might, I
 think be referred to the
 Districts for opinion
 We can introduce the
 act by Notification
 either in part or in the
 whole of the Presidency
 (see I) J.W.

Perhaps it will be convenient
 to introduce the Act referred to
 at an early date. Orders are
 solicited.
 2. The question raised in para
 of the President's letter may be
 answered in the affirmative

1.8
 13/5/86

17/5

FOR ORDERS.

28
order. of 31st May 1886. 500 M.

With reference to paragraph 1
of the above letter, ^{all} Collectors are
requested to report whether it is
^{desirable} necessary to extend the Indian
Tramways Act No. XI of 1886 to
their districts.

2. The question raised in para 2
will be answered in the affirmative.

From orders

132
27/5/86

To the President Municipal Commission, Madras
all Collectors

31st MAY 1886, No. 500 M., FINANCIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From J. A. JONES, Esq., Acting President, Municipal Commission, to the Chief
Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 11th May 1886, No. 130.

With reference to the resolutions of the commissioners passed at a General
Meeting on the 6th May 1886, as hereinunder quoted, I have the honor to enquire
whether Government have any immediate intention of making applicable to Madras
the Government of India Act XI of 1886 (Indian Tramways Act), as, if that be so, it
will be necessary to draft the concession on the lines indicated in section 7 of the
said Act.

2. I presume that, were the Act in force, no application under section (6) would be
necessary until the concession has been granted, but that it would be necessary to make
the final grant of any concession dependent on the application under clause (6) being
favorably received by Government.

Resolutions referred to.

Proposed by the Acting President and seconded by Mr. N. Subramaniam—

“That, as Messrs. Weir and Co. have failed to carry out their contract, the same be
cancelled.”

The motion, being put to the vote, was carried unanimously.

The President moved, and Mr. Dewan Bahadoor Ragoonath Row seconded, the motion—

“That the Acting President, Messrs. N. Subramaniam, J. P. Nicholas, P. Rungiah Naidu,
and W. S. Vencataramanjulu Naidu, be appointed a committee to draw up a new concession.”

Carried *nem. con.*

ORDER—dated 31st May 1886, No. 500 M., Financial.

With reference to paragraph 1 of the above letter, all Collectors will be requested
to report whether it is desirable to extend the Indian Tramways Act, No. XI of 1886,
to their districts.

2. The question raised in paragraph 2 will be answered in the affirmative.

(True Extract.)

E. F. WEBSTER,
Chief Secretary.

To the President, Municipal Commission, Madras,
„ all Collectors.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Govt. of (1886) Madras.
FINANCIAL.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 31st May 1886, No. 500 M

MAY.

Indian Tramways Act.

Requesting all Collectors to report, with reference to paragraph 1 of the letter from the President, Municipal Commission, Madras, whether it is desirable to extend the — to their districts, and answering in the affirmative the question raised in paragraph 2.

Malabar Collector's Office
Calicut 12 June 1886.

From

H. M. Winterbottom, Esq.
Ap. Collector of Malabar.

To

The Honble C. F. Webster,
Chief Secretary to Govt.
Coastal Command.

Sir,

With reference to G.O. dated
31st May 1886 No 500 M. Financial,
I have the honor to state that
the extension to Malabar of the
Indian Tramways Act 1886,
appears to me unobjectionable;
but I am not aware that any
immediate use is likely to be
made of its provisions.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedt. servant,

H. M. Winterbottom

Ap. Collector.

Financial Department

10094

To
The Collector of
Malabar.
The Chief Secretary to Govt.
Bombay

Dated 12 June 1886
Recd. 11.

16 JUN 86

In former that the Extension
to Malabar of the Indian
Railways Act 1886,
appears unobjectionable

Collectors
BELLARY MAGISTRATE'S OFFICE.

No.

Dated

16 June 1886.

From H. H. A. Goodrich, Esquire
Collector
THE MAGISTRATE OF
BELLARY

To,

THE Chief Secretary to Government

Fort Saint George.

Sir,

I have the honour to reply to the re-
ference contained in Government Order
No. 500. H. Financial, dated 31st May
1886.

2. Before the passing of Act XI of 1886
the papers received on the subject and
the discussion in Select Committee
(whereof I was a member) convinced
me that the want of a law providing
facilities for construction and regula-
ting the working of tramways has
been felt in the North of India.

3. It seems worthy of considera-
tion that in the case of tramways
worked by steam or other mechan-
ical power it will be open to the

Local Government to move the Governor
-General's Council to table to each
trainways the Law contained in the IV
of 1879 (the Indian Railway Act), Section
57 of the last named act and Section
49 of the Indian trainways act may
be referred to in this regard.

4. It seems to me probable that
trainways will be found useful
in parts of the Presidency and
that an Act facilitating their con-
struction & empowering the Local
Government to make rules will be
a valuable addition to the Statute.

1306.
5. I consider that it is possible
that particular trainways of a
special type may be designed
whose working under Railway Rules
might be preferred. For the class of
works to which the description "trainway"
is generally held to be applicable
the trainways act seems to provide
suitably and no special local act
seems desirable.

I have the honour to be
Your most ^{devoted} obedient servant
A. Gordon
Buxton

MAJESTY'S OFFICE
DEPUTY MAGISTRATE'S OFFICE

Dated

No.

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort Saint George.

Enclosure.

Received

Subject.

Revenue Department
1010/86

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

—0—

From,

The Collector of
BELLARY.

To,

The Chief Secretary to
Government
Financial Department
Secy. to Govt.

NO. 1486.

Dated 13th }
Despatched 14th } June. 1886.

ENCLOSURES.

Subject,

Replying to G. O. No. 500 in
Financial dated 31st May 1886 regarding
the extension of the Indian Tramway
Act.

Sent by Express
16 JUN 86

Anantapur Collector's Office.

Dated 15th June 1886.

No. 267.

Enclosure

From G. A. Chikolaw Esquire
Acting Collector of

Anantapur.

To
The Secretary to Government

Revenue Department
Ochacanna
Fort Saint George.

SIR,

With reference to para 1 of G. O. No. 500
M. Financial dated 31st May last,
I have the honor to state that there
is no need for extending the Indian
Tramways Act, No. XI of 1886, to this
District.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
G. A. Chikolaw
Acting Collector

AMP
for

PL

1025
Revenue Department.

From

The Collector
of Anantapur.

To

Chief
The Secretary to the Government
Board of Revenue,
Pootacamund
Madras.

No. 267

Dated 15th
Despatched 16th
Received } June 1886

Enclosures. -

Subject

Noting that there is no
need for extending the Indian
Tramways Act No. XI of 1886
to this District.

19 JUN 86

1027

15
Visagapatam Collectors
Office, 16 June 1886.

From.

W. G. Turner Esquire,
Collector of Visagapatam.

To.

The Honorable E. D. Webster,
Chief Secretary to Government,
Pootacamund


Sir,

In reply to G. O. 31st May 1886 No. 500
Miscellaneous, I have the honor to report that
there is no necessity for the extension of the Indian
Tramways Act, No. XI of 1886, to this District,
as there is no prospect of a Tramway being laid
out, at least, in the near future.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,
Your Most Obedt Servant,
W. G. Turner
Collector.

W. G. Turner



1886.

DEPARTMENT.

From

THE COLLECTOR OF
VIZAGAPATAM.

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY
TO GOVERNMENT,
MADRAS.
Cotacamund

Dated

Received

26 } June 1886

No. 10270
24 JUL 36

ENCLOSURES.

Reporting that there is
no necessity for the extension
of the Indian Tramways
Act XI of 1886 to the
Vizagapatam District.

No 36 Nilgiri Collectors' Office.
Cotacamund.
June 1886.

From,

L. R. Burrows Esq.
Collector of Nilgiris

To, The Chief Secretary to
Government.

Financial Department

Sir,

As directed in G.O. 31st May 1886
No 500 M. Financial, I have the
honour to report that I see no
present necessity for extending the
Indian Tramways Act No XI. of 1886
to this District. A railway to
connect Cotacamund & Coonoor
with the Madras Railway Terminus
at Metapollim is now under
contemplation, and if the project
is carried out there will be no ne-
cessity for any tramway as that
is the only line on which a tramway
could possibly be worked with success.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obed^t. servant

L.R.

Collector

188

Revenue Department.

Financial Department
CURRENT No. 1016 In

FROM

THE COLLECTOR,

NILGIRIS.

TO

Chief
THE SECRETARY

TO GOVERNMENT,

F. ~~REVENUE~~ DEPARTMENT.

No. 36
Dated 17 June 1886.
Despatched }
Received 18

ENCLOSURE:

SUBJECT.

Indian Railways
Acting P. & F. Secy
Stating that under
present circumstances
there is no necessity
to extend the working
of the ——— to
the Nilgiri District

No. 1591. South Canara Collectors'
Office, Mangalore,
17th June 1886.

From, J. Sturrock Esquire,
Collector of South Canara,


To, The Hon^{ble} C. F. Webster,
Chief Secretary to Government,
Financial Department,
Fort St. George.

Sir:
With reference to G. O. 31st May
1886 No: 500 **M**, Financial, I have
the honor to state that it is not ne-
cessary to extend the Indian Tram-
ways Act II of 1886 to South Canara,
there being at present no likelihood
of any tramway being constructed in
this District.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obt^d Servant

061

J. Sturrock
Collector.



Financial

1886.

From,

The Collector of

South Canara.

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government,

Financial Department,

Fort St. George.

Dated *17th June*

Received

1886.

No. 1591

ENCLOSURES. *None.*

Subject.

stating that - it is
not necessary to extend
the Indian Tramways
Act - to South Canara

Guddapah following
17th June 1886

10515

From,

The Sd/- Collector of
Guddapah

To,

The Chief Secretary
to Government

Not examined.

Sir,

In reply to G. O. No 500 M. dated
the 31st ultimo, I have the honor to state
that the Tramways Act is ^{practically} certainly
like a dead letter in this District. I
can neither see any object in ex-
tending it - or any objection to its
extension to this District.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedient servant
[Signature]
Collector

From

The Collector
of Luddapah

To The Chief Secretary
to Government
at Calcutta

dated 17th June 1886

No. 515

Subject

Replying to Govt
Order No. 500 dated
31st Ultimo.

Sd/-y
22 JUN 86

No. 309

Tinnivelly District Office,
Tinnivelly, 18th June 1886.

From

S. H. Wyne Esq:
asst. District Officer, Tinnivelly.

To, The Chief Secretary to Government.
Financial Department
Fort Saint George.

Sir, With reference to G.O. No. 500 M. Financial
dated 31st May 1886, para 1, I have the
honor to state that it is not necessary
to extend to this District the Indian
Tramways Act, No. 31 of 1886.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Yours most obedt. &c.

S. H. Wyne
asst. District Officer

Comd/-

No 17

Godavery District
Collector's Office
Coimbatore 18 June 88
Des 19 June 88

From J. Thomson Esq.
Ap. Collector Godavery Dt.

To The Chief Secretary to
Government Madras.

Sir,

With reference to G. O. dated the 31st -
Ullies no 500 M. Financial, I have the
honor to inform you that there is no
reason at present for extending
the Indian Tramways Act no XI of
1886 to this District.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Thos. Thomson
Ap. Collector

M. S.

188

TINNEVELLY

OFFICE

From

The

Tinnevely.

To

The Chief Secretary to

Government,

Fort St. George.

Dated

Received


188

No.

ENCLOSURE

Subject

stating that it is not
necessary to extend to
this District the Indian
Trainways Act of 1886.



Revenue {1886} Department.

From

The Collector,

Godavari District.

To The Chief Secretary
Govt of Madras

No. 17.

Dated 18th
Despatched 18th Decr 1886
Received 18th

No.

SUBJECT.

Informing that Indian
Railways Act No. 1 of
1886 need not be extended
at present to this District

N^o 440

Coinbatore collector's
office 22^d June 1886.

From,

G. D. Luman Esquire
Collector of Coinbatore

To.

The Honorable E. J. Webster

Chief Secretary to Government
Financial Department
Cotacaimund

Sir,


With reference to para 1 of G. O.
No. 500 M of 31st May last, I have
the honor to report that ~~the~~ time has
not yet come for the extension
of the Indian Tramways Act II of
1886 to this District

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

G. D. Luman
Collector



(1886) DEPARTMENT.

From,

The Collector
of Coimbatore.

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Financial Department,
Madras.

No. 440

Dated 22^d }
Received 23 } June, 1886

No.
23 11 26

ENCS. 2nd

SUBJECT.

Reporting that time
has not as yet come
for the extension of the
Indian Tramways Act
to his District -

Trichinopoly Collector's Office
22nd June 1886.

No. 2257


From, H. R. Farmer Esquire
Ag. Collector of
Trichinopoly.

To, The Chief Secretary to
Government
Financial Department
Coimbatore.

Sir, With reference to G.O.
No. 500 Mc. Financial dated
the 31st May 1886, I have the
honor to report that as far as my
enquiries go I do not believe
that there is any chance of Tram-
ways being constructed in this
District for many years to come.
I do not therefore think that there
is any necessity for extending the
Indian Tramways Act No. XI
of 1886, to this District, at all
events at present.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

for Ag. Collector.
19. 6. 86.



1886

Department

From

The Collector

Trichinopoly.

To

The Chief Secretary
to Government
Financial Department
Coimbatore

Dated 22nd

Despd 22nd

Recd 24

No. 2257

Enclosures

Reporting that there is
no necessity for extending
the Indian Tramway Act
of 1886 for this district

Ernest B. B. B.

24 JUN 36

102131

31

From

E. J. Jewell Esquire
Mg. Collector of Ganjam

To

The Chief Secretary
to Government
Financial Department
Coimbatore

Dated Chatrapur 23 June 1886.

Sir,

With reference to Government
Order No. 500 M. dated 31st May 1886
requesting all Collectors to report
whether it is desirable to extend the
Indian Tramways Act XI of 1886 to
their districts, I have the honor to
report that it is not necessary
that the Act should be extended to this
district since there are no tramways
here.

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Your most Obedt. Servt.

E. Jewell

Mg. Collector.

Ganjam District.

Financial
(1886) DEPARTMENT.

Financial Department
CURRENT No. 137

From
The Collector

To
The Chief Secretary
of Ganjam.
Government
Financial Department
Batalaamund
June 1886.
Received
No. 213/27-66
Subject.
Encls.

Reporting that it is
not necessary that the
Indian Tramways Act
should be extended
to the Ganjam District.

3 JUL 3 1886

No 76

Madura, Collector's Office
Date 26 June 1886.

From

E. Turner Esquire,

Collector of Madura.

To

The Honorable E. J. Webster,
Chief Secretary to the
Government of Madras
with The Governor
Stone House Hill

Sir,

Referring to Government
Order dated 31st May 1886, No 500,
M. Financial, I have the honor
to observe that at present, there
exists no necessity for the ex-
tension of the Indian Tramways
Act to this District, as the con-
struction of a Tramway line is
not under contemplation.
I have the honor to
be, Sir,
Yours most obediently,
E. Turner
Collector

E. Turner

Department
No. 178

(1886) DEPARTMENT

FROM,
THE COLLECTOR,
MADURA.

No. 76

ENCLOSURE.

Dated 26th
Received 29 } June 1886

No.

SUBJECT.

Observing that at present
there exists no necessity for
the extension of the Indian
Tramways Act to this District.

Collector
Kurnool District Magistrate's Office.

Dated 28 June 1886

No. 178

ENCLOSURE

FROM

E. C. Johnson Esquire

Collector District Magistrate of Kurnool,

TO

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

Fort Saint George.

SIR,

With reference to G. O. No. 500 in
Financial dated 31st May 1886, I have the honor
to report that at present it is not desirable to
extend the Indian Tramways Act No. XI
of 1886 to this District.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

H. Johnson
Collector

Clk

Department
(188) Department.

FROM
THE COLLECTOR OF
KURNOOL.
TO
THE CHIEF SECRETARY
TO GOVERNMENT,
FORT ST. GEORGE.

No. 178
Dated 2nd July 1886.
Received 2nd July 1886.

Enclosure.
Subject.

Reporting that at present it is not
desirable to extend the Indian
Tramways Act of 1886 in
this District

Stracey L. P. S.
2 JUL 86

Tanjore Collector's Office,
No. 443. CAMP, Ammāpet, 5th July 1886.
Desp 2 6th.

FROM
J. B. Huntington Esquire,
Collector,
TANJORE;

To
The Honorable E. F. Webster,
Chief Secretary to Government,
Financial Department,
MADRAS.

SIR,
With reference to para: 1 of the
letter from the Acting President, Muni-
cipal Commission Madras dated
11th May 1886 No 130 embodied in
G. O. 500 in Financial dated 31st
May 1886 I have the honor to report
that it is unnecessary to extend
the Indian Tramways Act to
this District as there are no roads
on which tramways could be
conveniently laid down.

Have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Wm. Pitt Rivers

1127/61
Financial Department.

From The Collector of
Madras.

To The Chief Secretary
to Government
Financial Department
Madras

Dated 5th
Decr 2 6th } July 1886.
Recd 7

No 443

En. Nil.

Indian Tramways Act.

Reporting that it is un-
-necessary to extend the
— to this District for the
reason stated within.

South Arcot
No 63.

40
Collector's Office
Cuddalore, 6th July 1886.

From, H. P. Gordon, Esquire,
Collector of

To, The Hon^{ble} C. F. Webster,
Chief Secretary to Government,
Fort St. George.

Sir,
With reference to para 2 of G.O.
dated 31st May No 500, Financial, I
have the honor to report that there
does not appear to be any probability
of the construction of a tramway being
undertaken in this District at an
early date. The introduction of the
Act is therefore unnecessary at
present.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant,
S. Gordon
Collector.

No. 655
P. 2.

Board's
Chingleput District, Collector's office,
SAIDAPET, 5th July 1886.

FROM

G. H. Russell Esq.,
and President of the,
Collector of the District, CHINGLEPUT DISTRICT.

TO

THE Chief Secretary to Government,
Financial Department, Madras.

SIR,

I have the honor to report on paragraphs
of Government order, dated 31st May 1886, No. 500M.
Financial regarding the desirability of extending
the Indian Tramways Act (No. XI of 1886) to
this District.

2. I have consulted the Divisional Officers
and the District Local Fund Board.

3. There is no immediate necessity for the Act
in this District, as it is well supplied with
railways, but there is on the other hand no objection
to its introduction.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

G. H. Russell
Collector & President Dist. Bd.

Copyd. L.
5/7
R. P. 8/8

From

The Ag. Collector & President,
District Board, Chingleput.

To

The Chief Secretary to
Govt. Rail Deptt.
Madras.

Dated 5th July 1886.

No 655.

Enclosure - Nil.

Subject -

Reporting on paragraph 1
of G. O. dated 31st May 1886,
No. 500 M. Financial, regard-
ing the desirability of ex-
tending the Indian Tram-
ways Act (No. XI of 1886)

to this District.

Financial Department

OFFICE NO.

1886

Financial Department.

From

The

Collector of
South Arcot.

To

The Chief Secretary

to Government

Madras.

Dated

Despatched

Received

No.

ENCLOSURE

Subject.

12 JUL 86

Reporting that the
introduction of the
Indian Tramways
Act into this Dis-
trict is unnecessary
at present.

Extracts from the Proceedings of the District Board, Salem.

Dated 18th June 1886.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

G. Macwaters Esquire; President.

non-official.

Mrs. S. Gopalasami Mudaliar Annapal Rao Sahib.

" K. Srinivasa Rao Sami "

" P. Srinivasa Rao Sami "

" Munjappa Sami "

" C. Venkataswami Annapal "

" Kandaswami Mudaliar Annapal "

C. G. Leckie Esquire; Vice-President.

Abdullah Sahib Khan Sahib.

Read B. O. dated 31st May 1886. M. Financial requesting
all Collectors to report whether it is desirable to extend
the Indian Tramways Act to their Districts.

The District Board considers the introduction
of the act into this District undesirable at present.

(True Extract)

For President.

Copy

Copy to Collector.

No. 83 L F
»

SALEM DISTRICT BOARD'S OFFICE.

Enc - 1

12th July 1886.

From

G. MacWATERS, Esq.,

Collector & President, District Board, Salem.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

Madras

SIR,

Referring to L. O. dated 31st July, 1886,
No. 500 M. Financial, I have the honor to report that I
consulted the District Board, as to the desirability of
having the Indian Tramways' act extended to the
Salem District and that the Board is of opinion that
it is unnecessary to do so at present as there is
no immediate prospect of its provisions
being utilized.

* Copy of the D. Board's
resolution is
herewith enclosed.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

G. MacWaters

Collector & President

File No. 126 & 25/86

Comptroller
C. P. S. - C. P. S. - C. P. S.

Financial Department.

FROM

Collector
THE PRESIDENT,
DISTRICT BOARD,
SALEM.

TO

The Chief Secretary to Govt
Financial Dept
Madras

Dated 12th July 1886

No. 8329

SUBJECT.

(Reporting that the extension
of the Indian Tramways Act
to the Salem District is undesirable

No 4192

From.

Nellame
Collector's Office
2nd July 1886
9229
W. J. Tate Esquire
As: Collector of Nellame

To.

The Honorable C. F. Webster
Chief Secretary to the
Government of Madras.
Financial Department.

Sir.

With reference to G. O. No 500

M. dated the 31st May 1886 calling for
a report as to whether it is desirable
to extend the Indian Tramways
Act to the Districts, I have the
honour to submit that the only
tramway likely for a long time to
be constructed in this District,
is that to Kinknapatam as already
mentioned in my letter No 3184 dated
the 16th June 1886 to the Govt.

Secretary to Government, Public
works Department, Railway Branch.

2. If that is to be constructed,
application of the Act will be
necessary as the rules under
Section 7 thereof would then
be required.

I have the honor to be

Sir
Your most Obedient Servant

Yrs
W

E. J. Lane
As Collector.

Financial Department

No 48

Northwest Collector's Office

Chittos 23^d July 1886

From

D. W. Moore Esquire
Collector of
Northwest.

To

The Chief Secretary to
Government
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

Referring to G.O. dated 31st May
1886 No 500 M, Financial, I have
the honor to report that my
Divisional Officers except the
General Charge Collector
are of opinion that there is no
necessity for the extension of the
Indian Tramways Act No. II of
1886 to this District, but the Deputy
Collector thinks it desirable that
the Act should be introduced.

2. I also think its introduction
desirable; at present there is no
prospect of any tramways being
constructed, but such a
prospect might arise at
any

Financial Department

CURRENT NO. 1277

188

* Nellore Collector's Office.

FROM

THE *Collector* COLLECTOR OF NELLORE.

TO

THE — SECRETARY TO *Sub*
Financial Deptt
Madras

Dated 21st 7 Aug 1886
Despatched 23rd
Received 26

No. 6192 Enclosures.

SUBJECT.

*Reporting on the applica-
tion of the Indian Drawings
act to this District
as directed.*

Suby. Secy

26 JUL 86

my moment.

I have the honor to beg
your
Yours
most obedient servant,

A. J. H. H. H.

Financial Department
 Financial Department
 CURRENT No. 11
 From,

THE COLLECTOR OF
 North Arcot.

To,

THE Chief Secretary to
 Government
 Fort St. George

Dated 23rd July 1886.
 Received By

No. 28

ENCLOSURE.

Subject.

Reporting on the
 desirability of
 extending steam
 Tramways into this
 District.

Edw. R. R. R.
 26 JUL 86

Madras District Collector's
 Office Masulipatam 2nd July 1886
 From,

M. R. M. M. Esquire,
 Acting Collector, Madras

To,

The Chief Secretary to Gov-
 ernment, Financial, Madras.

Sir,

With reference to G. O. No 500 M,
 Financial, dated the 31st May last, I
 have the honor to report that the intro-
 duction of the Tramways Act is a matter
 of little concern to this District. It will do
 neither good nor harm. There is no prospect
 of a tramway being constructed, at all events,
 for the present.

2 The Subject may be considered when
the Railways that are being constructed in
this District are completed, which will not
be for at least 2 years & probably not for
for 5 years.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Yours most Obedient Servant.

Acting Collector.

R

Financial 1886 Department.

From,

The Acting Collector

Kistna.

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

Dated 27th July 1886

Despatched

Received 2-8-86

No. 2719

Enclosure — nil

Subject.

Reporting that the introduction of the
Tramways Act is a matter of little concern
to the Kistna District, there being no
prospect of a tramway being constructed
at present.

Public DEPARTMENT.

From

The Collectors of Anantapur
Gangam &c.

(2 papers)

No.

Dated

Received Reg/ 10. 8. 86.

Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Extension of Indian
Railways Act, I of 1886
to DistrictPublic 1747
920/1786

Desp 3078

The Collectors of

The District Magistrates of

Anantapur.

North Arcot, Chittoor.

South Arcot, Cuddalore.

Bellary.

South Canara, Mangalore.

Chingleput, Saidápet.

Coimbatore.

Cuddapah.

Ganjam, Chatrapur.

Godáviri, Cocanada.

Kistna, Masulipatam.

Kurnool.

Madras (when necessary).

Madura.

Malabar, Calicut.

Nilgiris, Ootacamund.

Nellore.

Salem.

Tanjore.

Tinnevely.

Trichinopoly.

Vizagapatam.

Read again G. O. 31st May 1886 No 500 M.

Current No. 1009 M, 1010 M, 1016 M, 1024 M,
1025 M, 1026 M, 1034 M, 1041 M, 1042 M,
1046 M, 1047 M, 1061 M, 1091 M, 1094 M,
1213 L F, 1121 M, 1151 M, 1268 L F, 1277 M,
1278 M, and 1304 M.

From

FOR ORDERS.

In G. O. 31st May 1886
No 500 M, all Collectors were
requested to report whether it is
desirable to extend the Indian
Tramways Act XI of 1886 to their
Districts. The letters read above
are their replies.

2. The Collectors of Tinnevely,
Anantapur, Cuddapah, Ganjam
and Tanjore state that there is
no necessity for the introduction
of the Act into their districts,
but the Collector of Cuddapah
says

at the same time that he sees no objection to the measure.

The Collectors of Malabar, Nilgiris, South Canara, Godavari, Coimbatore, Vizagapatam, Trichinopoly, Madurai, Kurnool, Chingleput, South Arcot, Salem and Kistna are also of opinion that there is no necessity for the extension of the Act to their districts for the present. Of these again, the Collectors of Malabar, Chingleput and Kistna state that they see no harm in the Act being extended to their districts.

The Collector of Bellary has evidently misunderstood the G.O. in question as he says that no special local Act for the purpose seems desirable.

Only two Collectors (Vellore and North Arcot) consider the introduction of the Act desirable, but the Collector of Vellore makes it conditional on the construction of a tramway line to Kishnapatam, now under consideration, while the Collector of North Arcot recommends

recommends it on the ground that the prospect of a tramway being constructed might arise at any moment though there is none at present.

3. It is submitted that the Act need not be introduced into any of these districts at present and that the Collectors can apply to Govt for its extension when there is a necessity for it. It may however be extended to Madras immediately, as the Commissioners are negotiating with Messrs Weir & Co for the construction of tramway lines in the Town.

Y. W. V. S.
V. R. 4/10/01
11/10

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

FOR ORDERS.

Again G.O. No 500. M of 31st May/86
Replies to do.....

Order 20 August 86 N-1747

The Right Honble
the Governor in Council
having considered the
foregoing replies to G.O.
No 500 M, dated 31st May
1886, requesting all Collectors
to report whether it is
desirable to extend the
Indian Tramways Act
XI of 1886 to their districts,
and ^{immediate necessity} sees no need for its
~~immediate~~ introduction
into any of the districts.
Should ^{circumstances} ~~it~~ ^{necessitate such a course} at
any time ~~and~~ the

G.O. No 1747/20/86

Collector concerned will make the necessary application to Government for the extension of the Act to his district.

2. His Excellency in Council deem it advisable however to extend the Act at once to the City of Madras, and directs that the following Notification be published:

Notification

In exercise of the power ^{conferred} ~~vested in him~~ by section 2 clause (2) of the Indian Stamp Act, 1886, the Governor in Council directs that the said Act shall come into force in the

City of Madras with effect from the date of this notification.
(The Collector)

M
W

To the President, Municipal Comm., 6 }
Madras }
All Collectors - Disposed }
The Jail (Comm.) Dept. 6 }
Despatch 30/8

Copy to the Secy - 202
23/8

14-11-28 TW N
10.3.41

Relative.

1747

[Despatch.]

READ AGAIN Order of

1886, No. 5

Miscellaneous.

Letter to be printed
in the form of a book

ENCLOSURE.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER, &c. OF GOVERNMENT—A.

PAPERS KEPT OUT OF FILE FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.
(not paged.)

Order of 20/1/2
Letter
Collection

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.
R = Print, but not for monthly volume.

PRESS.

Public	DEPARTMENT.
G.O. DATED 20th Aug 1886	
No. 11/187	

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Indian Railway Act

Observing that
 there is no immediate
 necessity for the introduction
 of the ~~same~~ in any
 of the districts but directing
 the publication of a
 notification extending
 the same at once to the
 city of Madras—