

(1) Number of pages 18
(2) Date of repair 15-11-50
(3) Initials of the Referencer g

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	<u>1321</u>	Press
~~B.P. No.~~		~~Mis.~~
Dated	<u>5th June</u>	<u>1885</u> <u>195</u>

Original

Not to be folded or roughly handled

5TH JUNE 1885, No. 1321, PUBLIC.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

From Dr. J. BURGESS, LL.D., Archæological Survey, Southern India, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated 22, Seton Place, Edinburgh, 20th April 1885.

I received last week the accompanying papers, containing the classified lists of ancient monuments selected for conservation in 1884, drawn up by Mr. R. Sewell, as required by G.O., No. 884, Public, of 3rd May 1884.

2. These lists appear to have been drawn up with care, and to embrace every monument of interest that has been reported by the district officers. The returns, however, for five districts—Ganjam, Vizagapatam, Kistna, Kurnool and Nellore—are still awaiting, two of them not having been completed, and three not received by Mr. Sewell on 2nd ultimo.

3. Mr. Sewell states that some of these lists were sent to press and partly corrected by him. This being so it may be as well to complete the printing as soon as the wanting lists are ready. I have read over the sheets and made such minor corrections and modifications as seemed desirable. The classification in column 6 may be liable, on strict inquiry, to alterations in some few cases, but not of a very material character. These lists are provisional, and the district officers only can supply any corrections that may possibly be necessary.

4. I have not entered into details respecting the remains at Hampi. They form one of the largest and most important groups in the presidency and highly deserving of conservation, but I have already reported on the recent estimates for work proposed to be carried out there, and pending the decision of Government with respect to that report, I have no further proposals to submit.

5. It appears from the lists so far as now submitted, that there are not proportionally so many important ancient monuments in the Madras Presidency calling for conservation by Government as in other provinces of India. The larger temples are still in use and kept up by committees or the dharmakartas, and the most important groups to be preserved are the Seven Pagodas and the remains at Hampi.

From R. SEWELL, Esq., M.C.S., to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 5th May 1885.

I have the honor, in continuation of my letter of 30th April 1885 from Dindigul, to inform you that I have just received from the Collector of Vizagapatam, all the information relating to that district, with the exception of that regarding the inscription at Korukonda. The Collector is making further enquiries about this. I have sent the report on to Dr. Burgess.

* * *

ORDER—dated 5th June 1885, No. 1321, Public.

The lists received with Dr. Burgess' letter will be printed at the Government Press and circulated to Collectors for final revision who will return the same direct to the Superintendent, Government Press.

2. In supersession of G.O., 19th May 1885, No. 1165, the Collectors of Ganjam, Vizagapatam, and Nellore will send their lists to the Superintendent, Government Press.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To Dr. J. Burgess.

„ R. Sewell, Esq.

„ the Superintendent, Government Press, with lists.

„ the Collector of Ganjam.

„ „ of Vizagapatam.

„ „ of Nellore.

„ other Collectors.

Govt. of (1885) Madras.

PUBLIC.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 5th June 1885, No. 1321.

JUNE.

Archæology.

Directing that the lists of ancient monuments selected for conservation in 1884, be printed at the Government Press and circulated to Collectors for final revision.

Madras
May 5. 85
To The Chief Secretary
to Government. 2P
From R. Sewell
M. C. S.
CHIEF SECRETARY
7 MAY 85
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Sir I have the honour,
in continuation of my
letter of 30 April 1885
from Sindigil to inform
you that I have just
received from the
Collector of Vizagapatam
all the information
relating to that
District with the
exception of that refer-
ring to the inscription at
Korukonda. The Collector
is making further
enquiries about this.
I have sent the
report on to Mr. Burgess.
I trust you will
forgive my addressing
you on this paper,
but I do so, on
the point of starting

for Bombay and
England, I have
no other paper at
hand.

I have the honour
to remain, Sir

Your most obedient
servant

R. Russell.
Co.

85-1/85-2
No 2266-8/19/5/85
D. 20010/85

[9]
Public
CURRENT NO.

From
R. Sewell Esq.
Es. C. S.
Madras

No.
Dated 5th
Received 7th } May '85
Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Stating that
he has sent the report,
just received, regarding
inscriptions in Vizagapatam
to D. S. S. Esq.

For

Read the following papers - 18
22 Seton Place, Edinburgh:
20th April, 1885.

The CHIEF SECRETARY to Government,
Fort St. George, Madras;

Sir:

I received last week the accompanying papers, containing the Classified Lists of ancient monuments selected for conservation in 1884, drawn up by Mr. R. Sewell, as required by G.O. No. 384, Public, of 3rd May 1884.

2. These lists appear to have been drawn up with care, and to embrace every monument of interest that has been reported by the district officers. The returns, however, for five districts:- Ganjam, Vizagapatam, Kistna, Karnul, and Nellore, are still wanting, - two of them not having been completed, and three not received by Mr. Sewell on 2nd ult.

3. Mr. Sewell states that some of these lists were sent to press & partly corrected by him. This being so, it may be as well to complete the printing as soon as the wanting lists are ready. I have read over the sheets and made such minor corrections and modifications as seemed desirable. The classification in column 6 may be liable, on strict enquiry, to alterations in some few cases, but not of a very material character. These lists are provisional, and the District Officers only can supply any corrections that may possibly be necessary.

4. I have not entered into details respecting the remains at Hampi. They form one of the largest and

most important groups in the Presidency and highly deserving of conservation, but I have already reported on the recent estimates for work proposed to be carried out there, and pending the decision of Government with respect to that report, I have no further proposals to submit.

5. It appears from the lists so far as now submitted, that there are not proportionally so many important ancient monuments in the Madras Presidency calling for conservation by Government as in other provinces of India. The larger temples are still in use & kept up by committees or the Dharmakartas; and the most important groups to be preserved are the Seven Pagodas & the remains at Hampi.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedt. servant,

J. Burgess, LL.D.

Archaeological Survey, South India.

Dr. J. Burgess 3
R. Sewell Esq 3
Supdt. Genl. Press 3
Col. Gangaiah 6
Vizagapatnam 6
Vellur 6
Other collectors

20.7.03 ✓
10.3.05 ✓
11.7.50 E

7.7.02 25/12/2023
3423/2985

PRESS.

Public DEPARTMENT.
G.O. DATED 5 June 88-
No. 1321-

First copy sent to Press. 8/

Returned for signature. 15/6

Despatched in print. 18/6

[Despatch Abstract.]

Archaeology Section that the
heads of Ancient Monuments, etc.
= ed for conservation in 1882, he
printed at the Govt Press & sent
to Colln for final revision