

(1) Number of pages 20
(2) Date of repair December 1986
(3) Initials of the Referencer lens

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU
Public DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	785	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	9-6-1987	<i>[Signature]</i>

Not to be folded or roughly handled

785
10

705
D.C.
MAY 21

785.
Belmont
City

14 May 1861

CURRENT No. 1007

Sir

I have the honor to
request that Government
will be pleased to grant
me one month's privilege
leave in addition to the
leave, viz the sum of ^{my} having
remained in the District
last year.

(See Sec. 43 of The Civil Service
Code 4th Edn. rules 1 and 2, and
the footnote to rule 2.)

The rule upon which I rely
was in force during the
period of the Committee's
of last year, and I acted
on it, in remaining in
the District.

I have asked the Account-
-ant General his opinion,
but that opinion as based
upon rules since framed
was adverse to the grant
of leave on full pay.

I have sent his reply with
me.

But I submit that the

rule is of the ⁷⁰⁵ nature
of those the operation of
which must necessarily
extend beyond the date
of their abrogation.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedt. Servant

Wm. H. H. H.

The Chief Secretary

$$\frac{785}{3}$$

Public (1881) DEPARTMENT.
/Civil Servants/

From

John Wallace Esq.
M.C.S.

No. —

Dated

14- May 1881

Recd.

No.

CURRENT NO. 1007.

SUBJECT.

Requesting to be
granted one month's
privilege leave in ad-
dition to the recess of
his count —

78.5
4

cf. 870.

H

20108

Referred to the Accountant-General for report.
Approved &
16 May 1881
J. Jackson.
Chief Secretary.

and that consequently
the Rules application
are in order?

1097
If Mr. Wallace qualifies
as a juror under the rule
then in force for Dis. Cases
can vacate, I don't
think a juror. rule
will deprive him of what
he earned. But I don't
feel sure that he did so.
He says he "remained in
the St. last excess". but
was this voluntary or by
force of duty? require?
The present Ch. 2 of 1872
specifies duty require?
Did the 6th Ed. do so?
If he had filled the require?
place years ago, I don't
think it would be known whether
the new rule is in force or not.
The Rules require.
In 28/5
228/5
A. T. 28/50

Tham
The Account. Clerk
of the
The Chief Secretary
5 MAY 81
to Government
Public Dept
Date 2233
Received May 81

No. 187.

State that under
Rule 3 Sec. 79 of the
Civil & Code 5th Ed.
Mr. Wallace apply for
Prison leave in combina-
tion with the process
cannot be completed
and
I am
I am
I think the new rule must
be held to apply from
the date of issue of the
5th Ed. of the Criminal
Code, (18th April, 1881) and

215
1/5

785
5
Pachmest
2 June 1881

My dear Master

I do not
appear any urgent
business as having detained
me in the District during
the recess.

My application was upon the
whole then in favor of which,
I am not mistaken, no
such condition was re-
quired.

Yours sincerely
Wm. Wallace

Legislated and DEPARTMENT.

No. 1894

785
6 30 10 40
Fort Saint George,
Accountant-General's Office,
23rd May 1881.

From

H. F. Clogston Esq.
Off. ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Chief Secretary
to Government,
Public Department,
Colacannund.

Sir,

In reply to your endorsement of 1870 dated
the 16th May 1881, I have the honor to state that
Mr. Wallace's application for privilege leave in
combination with recess cannot be complied with,
as Rule 3 Section 72 of the Civil Leave Code 5th Edition
lays down that privilege leave "cannot in any case
be combined with vacation".

I have the honor to be,

Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

H. F. Clogston
Off. Accountant-General

Public Department.

785
67

Telegram

To

The Government of India
Dept of Finance
Simla.

Does rule 3 Section
72 Civil Leave Code fifth
edition cancel what was
earned under old rules.

Notified
4/6/81

YLL

4/6/81

Telegram
to the Govt of India

Traffic Code Form C. (P. 1) - G. T. Press, - No. 894, - 30-1-80, - 1000000.		INTELEGRAPH.		No. 193	
Class. 1		From (Station) Ootacamund		OFFICIAL USE ONLY	
To (Station) 17/3		Day. 6		Hour. 19	
Words.		From (Person) Finance			
To (Person) Madras					
Rule 3		under			
Section 42		Code			
Case		Rules			
L. N.		Sent to		L. N.	
At		Hrs.		Mts. By	
Date		Mts. By		Telegraph Master	

OOTACAMUND 6-6-81

Public DEPARTMENT.

No.

7859

D.O. From

J. Wallace Esq. M.C.S. 8 14 May 1881

Letter from the Accountant General
8 23rd May 1881 No 1894.

D.O. from J. Wallace Esq. 8 2nd June 1881.

FOR ORDERS.

Yell

Now if Gt. do not reply
that the new rules cancel
the ones issued under
the old. Mr Wallace may
have the North P.L. in
copying. L.H. 1881.

Telegram from
Financial Secy
8/6 June 81

State that rule
3 under Sec. 72
will leave the
councils leave
council under
old rules.

NOT A COUNCIL 8-8-81

285
1.0

Order of June 1881
No. 785

Mr Wallace will
be informed that
the Genl. of India
have ruled that
the new provision
in the 5th Edict of
the Civil Service Code
applies to cases
such as his, so
that he is therefore
not eligible for
the leave asked
for.

From order
J.W.

John Wallace, Esq.
Ct. Secy

24.6.81 Rm 7.6
24.6.81 Rm 6

Mr Wallace applies for
one month's privilege
leave in addition to
vacation.

DISPOSED OF

(9)

9 JUN 81

BY G.O. No. 785 (Wallace)

FOR ORDER

Mr Wallace has been
informed that the
Genl. of India have
ruled that the new
provision in the 5th
Edict of the Civil
Service Code applies
to cases such as his,
so that he is therefore
not eligible for the
leave asked for.

Circulated with
telegram from the
Genl. of India d. 11.
11th inst. in Mr. Wallace's
office. It is
permitted that he
may apply for
leave.