

(1) Number of pages 6

(2) Date of repair Feb 21

(3) Initials of the Referencer Geo

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

PUBLIC *Rule* DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1525	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	14. 11. 1881	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

3

112
17/11/1881
1525
A. M. KENZIE, Esq.,

Offg. Secretary to the Government of India.

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY

Sir,
Bombay, the 28 October 1881

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 1166, dated 15th March 1881, on the subject of the pay to be allowed to a Native probationer appointed under the Statutory Rules, who passes the departmental examination by the higher standard within the period of probation, and before his confirmation in the service.

2. The Government of Bombay are of opinion that a Native probationer who passes the examination in question before he has served the full probationary period of 3 years should, pending his confirmation at the expiry of that period, be permitted to draw pay in accordance with Section 94 of the Acting Allowance Code, 4th edition.

3. In reply, I am to say that the Governor-General in Council, after careful consideration, has decided that it will be preferable to adhere to the existing rule regarding the pay to be allowed to probationers for the Native Civil Service, and that those probationers who may pass the Higher Standard examination within the period of probation shall not be allowed any increase of pay beyond Rs. 250 monthly until after their final confirmation in the service.

I have the honour to be,

Your most obedient Servant,

A. MACKENZIE

Offg. Secretary to the Government of India.

Copy with approval of the letter from the Government of Bombay, forwarded to the other Local Governments and Administrations for information and guidance.

Under Secretary to the Government of India.

The Secretary to the
Govt. of Madras

3 4 1525
2

No. 1156, dated Bombay Castle, 30th March 1881.

From—J. NUGENT, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Government of Bombay,
To—The Officiating Secretary to the Government of India, Home, Revenue and
Agricultural Department.

With reference to the Government of India's Resolution No. 1—27-38, dated
11th January 1881, I am directed to forward for their consideration and orders

* No. 20967 L.A., dated 19th March 1881.

the accompanying copy of a letter* from
the Accountant General requesting in-
structions as to the pay to be allowed to a probationer under the Native Civil
Service Rules who passes the Higher Departmental examination within the
period of probation and before his confirmation in the service.

2. I am directed to state that this Government are of opinion that a Native
probationer for the Civil Service who passes the Higher Standard examination
before he has served the full probationary period of two years should, pending
his confirmation at the expiry of that period, be permitted to draw pay in
accordance with section 9A of the Acting Allowance Code.

No. 20967 L.A., dated Bombay, 19th March 1881.

From—E. GAY, Esq., Accountant General, Bombay,
To—The Acting Secretary to the Government of Bombay, Financial Department.

GOVERNMENT of India's Resolution, the Home, Revenue and Agricul-
tural Department No. 1—27-38, dated 11th January 1881, published at pages
36 and 37 of the *Bombay Government Gazette* dated 20th January 1881,
provides for the confirmation of a Native Civil Servant at the close of the
probationary period of two years, if in the meantime he has passed the Lower
Departmental examination. On such confirmation his salary is regulated by
Section 9A of the Acting Allowance Code, i.e., he is to be allowed 64 per cent.
of the normal pay of the appointment. No instructions, however, appear to
have been issued about the pay of a probationer under the Native Civil service
Rules who passes the *Higher* Departmental examination within the period of
probation, and before his confirmation in the service.

2. Mr. S. Pudunji has passed the Higher Departmental examination and
has been invested with 2nd class magisterial powers; and had he been confirmed
in the service, he would have been entitled to a salary of Rs. 288 ($450 \times \frac{64}{100}$)
per mensem. But I have not passed any higher salary than Rs. 250 per men-
sem to him, pending his confirmation. As, however, it is not quite clear
whether a probationer is not to get the proportionate increase to his salary
on fulfilling the conditions on which increase of salary is granted to covenanted
civilians appointed by competition, I refer the case to Government.

1523

1523
3

20/6/20
20/4/20
12-6-12
26/1/20
23-1-19

20/1/20

Communicated to the Rev-
dine Department, the
Accountant General, and
the Committee for the
administration of
5th Nov 81

Rev. Dept. 20/1/20
Acct. General 20/1/20
Can be for 20/1/20

Public Dept
Charles...
P.D.
NOV 51

(3)

Rev. Dept

CURRENT 23/25

With order