

108 (1) Number of pages 12
 (2) Date of repair 11/87
 (3) Initials of the Referencer lano

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Rule DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1150	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	23. 8. 1881.	<i>192</i>

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1150
6

A. M. 1

No. 1735.

Office of Sanitary Commissioner
Patacamunda 30th July 1879

From

The Sanitary Commissioner
Madras

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Public Department
Patacamunda.

Sir

I have the honor to submit for the consideration and sanction of Government the accompanying proof sheets of the revised Vaccination Code, which it is proposed to adopt for the future for the administration and guidance of the Vaccine Department.

2. These sheets were first submitted to the Board of Revenue for any remarks they might have to offer, and were returned by them on the 18th instant with the corrections made in black ink.

ink.

3. I think it would be better to allow paras 5 and 6 Section I. to stand. The Section is simply descriptive of ^{the} establishment and repetitions hereafter under the respective heads simply impress the facts on the minds of the subordinate officers.

4. I would take exception to the alteration made by the Board in para 4 Section II on page 6, by which they leave it optional with Local Board to allow a peon to Deputy Inspectors of Vaccination. At present a peon is allowed in all circles except seven,

noted in the margin*. In Bellary a ^{*Peemakundasi} peon has only so recently as the 16th ^{Gooty} of June been sanctioned. From ex- ^{Vijayanagar} ^{H. Y. of Mysore} ^{H. Y. of Gangan} ^{Chinnacole} perience it has been proved that a peon is a very necessary servant

for

1150
2

for the Deputy Inspector; great inconvenience is felt by those Deputies who have none.

5. I have, therefore to solicit that Government may be pleased to rule that a peon shall be allowed to all Deputy Inspectors of Vaccination by all Local Board, and sanction the para in question to remain as it was, omitting the alteration made by the Board of Revenue in this respect.

I would retain the latter convenience, and it is very necessary that if a peon be allowed he should be granted travelling batta.

6. Travelling batta to Deputy Inspectors of Vaccination is also left optional with the Local Boards; but on this subject I have addressed

Government

Government in a separate Commis-
-sion, and, if Government be pleased
to pass early and favorable orders
on the recommendations made by
me, the sections relating to it may
accordingly be altered in the present
revised Code before it goes to the
press again.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obt. Servant
M. C. Sumell

Sanitary Commissioner
for London

From ^{Public Dept.}
The Sanitary Commissioner
R.O. ^{Madras}

8 AUG 81

The Chief Secretary
to Govt
Public Dept.

Dated 30th } July 1881
Desp^d 1st } August
Rec^d 2

1152/81
No. 1599

No 1735

Encls: one.

Submitting for the sanction
of Govt proof sheets of the
revised Vaccination Code.

1152/81
Office of Sanitary Commissioner
Madras 1st September 1881.

No. 2147.

From.

The Sanitary Commissioner
for Madras.

The Acting Under Secretary
to Government,
Public Department.

2-9-81
I have the honor with reference
to G.O. No. 1150 dated 23rd August 1881, to
request that you will be good enough to
direct the return to me of the Proof Sheets of the
Revised Vaccination Code forwarded with
letter No 1735 dated 30th July 1881.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant,

M. C. Sumell

M.D., F.R.C.S.

Dep. Surgeon General
Sanitary Commissioner
for Madras.

From.
The Sanitary Commission
for Madras.

To,
The H. Under Secretary
to Government.
Public Department.

Dated 1st } September
Recd. " } 1881.

No. 2147.

Subject
Requesting the return of
the Proof Sheets of the Revised
Vaccination Code.

Returned accordingly
Proof sheets
22-5/9/81
5/9

109

Public DEPARTMENT.
No. 1599

From
Sanitary Commissioner, dated 30th July/81.
No. 1735 submitting for sanction a
Revised Vaccination Code.

FOR ORDERS.

Having endeavored to compare
the Revised with the Old
Code, & fairly failed, I beg
to submit that the following

Order: — Order be issued

" The Sanitary Commissioner has
not referred to any special order
of Government ^{requiring} ~~authorizing~~, nor
has he assigned any particular
reasons for, the drawing up of
this Revised Code. So far as
it merely concerns a change
of form, perhaps no objection
could be taken to it, but the
Government observe that old
rules have been changed or
altogether omitted, new rules

laid down (such for instance
as the appointment of a person
to each Dy. Inspector of Vacc.)
which have not received their
proper sanction. It is true
that in this instance, sanction
is now sought, but other
new provisions - as well as omissions,
have appeared to have been made
although not referred to in the
report. It is difficult for
without any guide for the
Government to discover what
are the alterations & additions,
& also, without references, which
of them has, or has not, received
the sanction of Government.

The ~~now~~ promised reference
(letter dated 18th Jan'y last cl. 26)
to Local Fund Boards does
not seem to have been made,
and the Appendices to the
Rules have not been submitted
for approval with the Proof
Sheets. Altogether the subject
has been laid before Government
in a very incomplete manner.

The Sanitary Commissioners
will therefore now furnish a
full account showing all the

1150
changes made in the Revised
Code that are not mere matters
of form, and the reasons, or the
authority therefor & the same."

17/18/81.
J. M. S.

The Sanitary Commission
desp'd 27/8
Proof sheets rec'd 5/9.

25 July 91-

DISPOSED OF

23 AUG 91

BY G.O. #1150 (Public)

~~E.O.R. ORDERS~~

M. A. Anderson