

1. Number of pages. 6
2. Date of repair. 27-2-37
3. Initials of Reference
M.R.O. 1-27-400-27-11-30

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 667	Press Mis.
Dated 30-5-1870	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,
30th May 1870.*

No. 126. Read the following letter from the Acting President of the Municipal Commission, Mannargudi, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated Vallam, 27th April 1870, No. 50:—

I have the honour to report that the Vice-President of the Mannargudi Municipality has applied for the two following bye-laws to be made applicable to that town, and as I see no objection I forward the application:—

Additional bye-laws proposed for the Mannargudi Municipality—

32. No person who shall prove that he has paid a tax under Section 58 or 66 of Act X. of 1865 in any other Municipality shall be required to pay a similar tax for the same period on his becoming a resident in the Municipality of Mannargudi.

This bye-law was sanctioned for Tanjore.—Vide Procs. of Govt., 23rd May 1868, No. 636.

33. Every person within whose premises any animal may die, shall, within six hours after its death, either remove the carcass at his own expense, without cutting it up or opening it, to such places as may be set apart by the Commissioners for the reception of such carcasses, or report its death to the Nuisance Inspector of the Division, within which such premises may be situated, and in such latter case shall pay to the said Inspector the expense of removing the carcass at such rate as the Commissioners may from time to time determine.

This bye-law was sanctioned for Salem.—Vide Procs. of Govt., 20th Aug. 1867, No. 1032.

Whoever disobeys any of the above bye-laws shall be liable to a penalty not exceeding Rupees 20, and to a further penalty not exceeding 10 rupees per day after conviction for such offence, during which the offence is continued.

No. 127. ORDER THEREON, 30th May 1870, No. 667.

The second section quoted in the thirty-second bye-law should apparently be Section 67, not Section 66. It is desirable that a period of twelve hours should be given under Bye-law 33, instead of six hours as proposed. With these alterations the Governor in Council approves and confirms the two proposed bye-laws.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the President of the Municipal Commission, Mannargudi.

Ed. J. Wright.

It is desirable that a period of 12 hours should be given under Bye-law 33 instead of 6 hours as proposed.

^{No 667 - 30 May 90}
The second section quoted in the 32nd bye-law should apparently be Section 67 not Section 66. With the alteration the Governor in Council approves and confirms the two proposed bye-laws.

Is five hours enough in 2nd case?

Should be 12 or 18.

I think 12.

To the Pres^t of the Mun^l Commⁿ
Mannargudi dated 3/6

Govt. of 1870

PUBLIC.

To

THE PRESIDENT OF THE
MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,
Mannargudi.

Dated May, 30th.

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 30th May 1870, No. 667.

REPLY.

No. 196-187.

Sanctioning certain bye-laws proposed by the
Commⁿ Mannargudi.

Govt. of 1870 Madras.

Public

To
The Pres. M. Council
Madras.

Dated May 30.

Recd.

Encl.

G. O. 30 May.

1870, No 287.

Ms. A. 26. 127.

Sanctioning certain bye-laws
proposed by the Commissioners.