

Quintus. Disputa
in do.

Number of pages 11-2.37
Date of issue 11-2-37
M.R.O. 1-22,400-23-11-80

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	223	Press ~~Mis.~~
Dated	21 - 2 -	1870

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Lx

4-11-15

14-12-15

Govt. of 118 } Madras.

Public

To

The Secretary of Madras

28 Feb. 1910

Dated 27 Feb. 1910

Recd. 1890, No. 223

Nos. 114. 117.

Appropriation of the action of the
Council of Madras in the
concerning to the Disputes
between the Government and the
As. Soc. appropriated to the
Kiln and the other, but in
expended, & representing the
Division of the Education
to explain how the payment of
the Government grants for the
Schools at Governmental and
Private Schools to explain the action
of the Government in the matter
of the Government and the other
in the matter of the Government
and the other in the matter of

24/2

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,
21st February 1870.*

Read the following papers:—

No. 104. From the Collector of Cuddapah, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated Pallampett, 23rd September 1869, No. 44.

Adverting to Proceedings of Government, Public Department, dated 3rd June 1869, No. 903, I have the honour to state that Government were correct in their conclusion (paragraph 9) "that no further collection is made of the unauthorized cess imposed by the late Collector Mr. H. G. Smith."

2. Adverting to paragraph 10 I have first to report, with reference to paragraph 7 of my letter of the 2nd February last, that no school was opened at Pulivenda, and that I have recovered and recredited to the Dispensary Fund the sum of Rupees 1500 noted against Pulivendla in the margin of paragraph 3 of the Government Order, in addition to the Madanapally Rupees 1500.

3. With reference to the remaining three schools at Proddatur, Jammalamadugu, and Royachoty, I beg to append a letter, with enclosures in original, from the Inspector of Schools, 2nd Division, from which the Government will observe that the schools are what are termed "Anglo-Vernacular" have been fairly established, and are in a more or less satisfactory condition. Also that, while no money can be recovered for the Dispensary Fund on account of the two former, it is open to the Government under the rules to sanction a building grant of Rupees 1000 for the school at Royachoty, and thus to restore that amount to the Dispensary Fund.

From H. FORTEY, Esq., Inspector of Schools, 2nd Division, to the Collector of Cuddapah, dated Anantapur, 31st July 1869, No. 25.

In reply to your letter, (not numbered,) dated July 1869, requesting me to furnish you with any information in my power regarding the points mentioned in paragraph 10 of the Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 3rd instant, I have the honour to state that there is no correspondence in this office tending to show what has become of the amount said to have been taken by the late Mr. Smith from the Dispensary Fund for educational purposes.

2. From paragraph 3, however, of the Government Order, I learn that Rupees 8000 was so taken and assigned as follows:—

	Rs.
To Proddatur	1,000
„ Jammalamadugu	2,000
„ Pulivendla	1,500
„ Royachoty.....	2,000
„ Madanapally	1,500

3. At Proddatur and Jammalamadugu there are substantial school-houses which cost (including furniture) Rupees 4364-15-2 and Rupees 2786-10-4, respectively, and half of each of these sums was contributed by Government. There may, therefore, be an unexpended balance of the Rupees 2000 assigned to Jammalamadugu; but the sum assigned to Proddatur falls short by more than Rupees 1000 of the half cost of the school-house, and must have been supplemented by local subscriptions, and perhaps also by the unexpended balance at Jammalamadugu. No money can be recovered from these schools for the Dispensary Fund for they have already received and spent all the Government money to which they are entitled.

4. At Pulivendla there is not, and has not hitherto been, any school, so that the Rupees 1500 assigned to that town may be recovered.

3

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,
21st February 1870.*

5. At Royachoty there is a school and the school-house is said to have been purchased by Mr. Smith with Rupees 2000 of the Dispensary Fund money. This school has not as yet received any building grant, and, therefore, by the issue of a building grant of Rupees 1000, that sum can be recovered to the Dispensary Fund. Under ordinary circumstances, however, I should hesitate to recommend the sanction of this grant as the building is not, in my opinion, worth nearly so much as Mr. Smith gave for it.

6. At Madanapally there is a school which is held in a chattram. No school-house has yet been erected or even commenced, and, therefore, the Rupees 1500, assigned to this school from the Dispensary Fund, can all be recovered.

7. The building grants to the Proddatur and Jammalamadugu Schools were sanctioned in the Order of Government in the Educational Department, dated 16th May 1867, No. 148.

8. As requested by you I forward copies of my last reports on the Schools at Proddatur, Jammalamadugu, and Royachoty.

Referred to the Director of Public Instruction for any remarks he may desire to make.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
7th October 1869.

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Actg. Secy. to Govt.

No. 105. From the Director of Public Instruction, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated Madras, 8th November 1869, No. 2626.

I have the honour to return the accompanying letter, No. 44, of the 23rd September last, from the Collector of Cuddapah, referred to me for remarks on the 7th ultimo.

2. Mr. Fortey is mistaken in supposing that the building grants, sanctioned for the schools at Proddatur and Jammalamadugu in Government Order, No. 148, of the 16th May 1867, have been paid. Owing to various circumstances, among others to the difficulties in regard to the execution of legal agreements, no money whatever has been advanced, in connexion with the grants, from Educational Funds. If the schools are not in debt for their buildings, I presume Mr. Smith must have supplemented the so-called Dispensary Funds with local contributions. I have to observe, however, that I have no actual knowledge upon the subject.

3. Looking at the uncertainty which exists as to whether the Fund, nominally for Dispensaries, was not in reality collected partly for schools, and having regard to the very numerous demands for building grants which will have to be met, I beg to recommend that, if the schools at Proddatur, Jammalamadugu, and Royachoty are not in debt for their buildings, no payments should be made to them in the way of educational building grants, the sums received by them through Mr. Smith being, however, left undisturbed.

No. 106. From the Collector of Cuddapah, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated Cuddapah, 20th December 1869, No. 54.

Adverting to the Under Secretary's Official Memorandum, dated 25th ultimo, No. 375, I have the honour to state that the information conveyed in my letter of

3

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,
21st February 1870.*

the 19th November, No. 52, is in the main correct, and that the Director of Public Instruction was mistaken in informing the Government that no money whatever has been disbursed as building grants for the Jammalamadugu and Proddatur Schools.

2. The aggregate sum was Rupees 3465, * not Rupees 3576 as stated in my former letter; and, by authority of the late Collector, dated 13th June 1867, it was paid in that month from the Jammalamadugu Taluq Treasury to the late Deputy Collector Royappa Pantulu on behalf of the Managers of both schools, and his receipt therefor, No. 81, was forwarded to the Accountant-General with the Treasury account for that month in support of the debit entry.

3. Moreover in a Memorandum despatched from this office to the Accountant-General on the 24th April 1868, exhibiting the sums received in, and disbursed from, the Cuddapah Treasury on account of Government Schools for 1867-68, and the balance on hand on the 1st April 1867 and the 31st March 1868, this sum is included among the disbursements.

4. A copy of this Memorandum was furnished to the Director of Public Instruction.

5. Further in paragraphs 32 and 33, Appendix II. of that functionary's report for 1867-68, No. 11, Selections from the Records of the Madras Government, it is recorded that Government had paid the sum in question.

6. It is quite true that the late Collector bought the Royachoty School bungalow with Rupees 2000 out of the Dispensary Fund, and that Government have as yet contributed no grant towards it.

7. The excess expenditure on the two first-mentioned schools, over and above the sums received as Government grants, and from the Dispensary Fund, was provided for by supplementary local subscriptions, whereof there yet remains to the credit of the schools an aggregate balance of about Rupees 2000. As to the exact sum, and its components, I have some further inquiries to make.

8. I trust the Government will sanction a grant-in-aid to the Royachoty School of Rupees 1000, and order the restoration of that sum to the Dispensary Fund. It is quite certain that not a rupee of this Fund was raised for educational purposes.

No. 107. ORDER THEREON, 21st February 1870, No. 223.

The Government approve the action of the Collector of Cuddapah in recrediting to the Dispensary Endowment Fund, the sum of Rupees 1500 appropriated to the Pulivendla School, but unexpended. The sums allotted for the Jammalamadugu and Proddatur Schools are irrecoverable.

2. The suggestion that a building grant of Rupees 1000 should be given for the Royachoty School and credited to the Dispensary endowment cannot be carried out. The payment would either be an undisguised reimbursement by Government of the sum diverted by Mr. Smith for that school from the Endowment Fund, or a building grant to the ordinary conditions of which would have to be added

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,
21st February 1870.*

that the Managers should at once transfer the amount to the Fund. It is obvious that a building grant would not be accepted on these terms.

3. The Director of Public Instruction is requested to explain how the payment of the sanctioned grants for the schools at Jammalamadugu and Proddatur escaped his notice; and to ascertain whether any others of the numerous building grants which he believes to be lying over for want of funds have similarly been actually paid.

(True Extract.)

Acting Chief Secretary.

To the Collector of Cuddapah.

„ Director of Public Instruction.

„ Inspector-General of the Indian Medical Department.

„ Accountant-General.

Ed. J. Wright.

Govt. of 1870

PUBLIC.

To

THE COLLECTOR OF CUDDAPAH.
&c., &c., &c.

Dated February, 21st.

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 21st February 1870, No. 223.

FEBRUARY.
Nos. 104-107.

Approving of the action of the Collector of Cuddapah in recrediting to the Dispensary Endowment Fund the sum of Rupees 1500 appropriated to the Pulivendla School, but unexpended, and requesting the Director of Public Instruction to explain how the payment of the sanctioned grants for the schools at Jammalamadugu and Proddatur escaped his notice, and to ascertain whether any others of the numerous building grants which he believes to be lying over for want of funds have similarly been actually paid.

N^o 52

Cuddapah Collector's Office
17th November 1867



From

G. Vans Agnew Esquire

Collector of

Cuddapah

To

H. C. Stokes Esquire

Under Secretary to Government

Public Department

Fort Saint George.

Sir,

Adverting to your Official Memo dated 17th Inst N^o 489, I have the honor to refer the Government to Paras 3, 5, & 7 of the letter from the Inspectors of Schools appended to my letter under reference, whence it may be gathered that the Government themselves, in an order in the Educational Dep^t dated 16th May 1867 N^o 148, provided for the Schools at Jamath-madges and Podatoor an aggregate sum of no less than Rs 3575-12-9 "in excess of the sums received from the Dispensary endowment;" also that they have never given any thing to the Royachely School. Certainly all the buildings have been fully paid for, and no debts have been incurred by the Managers.

2. Your requisition has I confess somewhat perplexed me, and, if I have failed to apprehend properly its purport, I request

you

you will do me the favor of further explaining
it.

I have the honor to be
Sir

your most Obedient

Wendell
Collector

Wendell

Cusacapa
Collector's Office
20 December 1869

From G. Hans Aguirre Esquire
Collector of Cusacapa
To The Honorable W. L. Ellis Esq.
Chief Secretary to Government
Fort Saint George

Sir,

Addressing to the Union Secretary's
official Memo dated 26th ultimo I
have the honor to state that the information
conveyed in my letter of the 19th November
is in the main correct, and that the
Director of Public Instruction was mistaken
in informing the Government that no money
whatever has been disbursed as building
grants for the Jammalmadugu and
Prodator schools.

The aggregate sum was Rs 3076 as stated
in former letter and by order
of the late Collector dated 13th June 1869 it was
paid in that month from the Jammal
madugu Salook & Sisavay to the late Deputy

Jammalmadugu 1332
Prodator 2132

From 23/14 - 23/35
Recd 18/11/1869 by Mr. Ellis

Collected by Mr. Ellis

From Mr. Ellis

of Cusacapa

to Government

At the Department

Fort Saint George

At 11/11/1869

Received 23/11/1869

By Mr. Ellis

No 2543

Amount to his official

Account Rs 3076

where the Government will

have the receipt of the

order of the Department

which appears in the

order under reference, and

inform that the above

building grants of the

land has been paid for, and

that no debt has been

incurred by the Government

on that account

W. L. Ellis

Collector Vayappa Subulu on behalf of
the Managers of both Schools, and his receipt
therefor, No. 81, was forwarded to the Accountant
General with the Treasury Account for
that month in support of the debit entry.

3. Moreover in a Memorandum des-
patched from this office to the Accountant General
on the 24th April 1860 exhibiting the sums
received in and disbursed from the Cudda-
pat Treasury on account of Government
schools for 1859/60, and the balance on
hand on the 1st April 1860 and the 31st
March 1860, this sum is included among
the disbursements.

4. A copy of this Memorandum was
furnished to the Director of Public Instruction.

5. Further in Cases 32 & 33 Appendix
II of that functionary's report for 1860/61. No.
XI, selections from the Records of the Madras
Government it is recorded that Govern-
ment had paid the sum in question.

6. It is quite true that the late
Collector bought the Royachetty School
for Rs. 3000 out of the Dispensary

15
fund, and that Government had as
yet contributed no grant towards it.

7. The ~~same~~ expenditure on the two
first mentioned schools, over and above
the sums received as Government grants
and from the Dispensary fund, was
provided ^{for} by ~~is~~ Supplementary local
subscriptions, whereof there yet remain
to the credit of the schools an aggregated
balance of about Rs. 2000 and the
sums and the compromise, there ~~was~~
~~for the expenses of the schools.~~

8. I trust the Government will
sanction a grant-in-aid to the Royachetty
School of Rs. 1000, and order the restoration
of that sum to the Dispensary fund.
It is quite certain that not a rupee of
this fund was raised for educational
purposes.

I have the honour to
be
Your Most Obedient
Servant
Collector

C. Vayappa
No. 81

15

Harry Fortey Esquire
Inspector of Schools &c.

E. B. Powell Esquire C. S. J.
Director of Public Instruction

I have the honor to submit to the Report
of the Anglo Vernacular School at Jaffa, under the
Cuddalore District. You will observe that my Report
is not very favorable, both the 2^d and 3^d classes
answered unsatisfactorily in Arithmetic and none
of their classes altogether satisfied me in English. The
master seemed to be anxious to cover a good deal of
ground without having sufficiently considered what was
really known.

3. The attendance 44 is very fair considering the population of the town.

Allene
 2 December
 1868

Signed Henry Indig
Inspector of Schools

Mr. Miller N. 2483

[illegible]

No 76

From

Henry Fortey Esquire
Inspector of Schools 2nd Div.

To

E. B. Powell Esquire C.S.E.
Director of Public Instruction.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward a Tabular Report on the Anglo Vernacular School Rudatara in the Buddapah District which I inspected last month.

2. This school is very well attended the number actually present at the time of my visit was 60 and there a few absent

3. The pupils may be said to have passed on the whole a very fair examination. but they did not write English well from dictation and this point evidently requires more attention from the Master. The 3rd class also failed in Arithmetic

4. The Deputy Inspector will be requested to note whether these deficiencies exist when he next visits the school, and to advise the Head Master regarding the best mode of remedying them.

I have &c.

18th Henry Fortey
Inspector of Schools
2nd Div.

Mellone
31st December
1868

Enclosed her

are letter from the
Collector of Coimbatore
dated 23rd Sept 1868
No 44

Inspector's Report on
the Anglo Vernacular
School at Rudatara
District Buddapah
No 76
1868

Inspector Report on Anglo Americans about San Juan Mission in September 1868.

class		5	20th Grade	Inspector's Remarks
No.	at			
1st	18	English Reading No. Writing Arithmetic Geography	1st Part 20 pages Reading of simple English text Reading Dictionary	Read fairly. Could translate the sentences into English. Requires Reviewed very well. Remembering to read
2d	10	English Reading No. Writing Arithmetic Geography	2nd Part the whole Reading of simple English text Reading of simple English text Reading of simple English text	Some of the boys read very well. Reviewing English text. For a long time fairly. The rest badly. Reviewed about 1/2 of the book. Reviewed fairly well.
3d	10	English Reading No. Writing Arithmetic Geography	3rd Part of lesson Reading of simple English text Reading of simple English text	Reading of simple English text. Explanation and grammar book to have accordingly well. The majority had no answer in English. Some of the very few could answer Readers

Helene 20 December 1868.

Wm Henry Davis
Inspector of Mission

Enclosure No 3
In. Ltr. from the
Collector of Cuddalore
dated 29th Sept. 1869
No 44

Supdt. of Cuddalore
to Secy. of Government
dated 29th Sept. 1869
No 44
In Pub. No 91/75.

No 44

Cuddalore Collector's Office
on Circuit at Pullampatto

20

23^d September 1869.

From G. Van der Agnew Esquire

Collector of Cuddalore

To The Honorable R. Ellis Esq.

Chief Secretary to Government

Fort Saint George

Sir,

Adverting to Proceedings of Government

P. D. dated 3^d June 1869 No 903, I have the honor to state that Government were correct in their conclusion (Para 9) "that no further action is made of the unauthorized ~~ex~~ impositions by the late Collector M^r H. C. Smith."

2. Adverting to Para 10 I have first to report with reference to Para 7 of my letter of the 2^d February last that no school was opened at Pulisandal and that I have recovered and recredited to the Dispensary Fund the sum of Rs 1500 noted against Pulisandal in the margin of Para 3 of the Government

Order

Order in addition to the Madanapally
Rs 1500.

3. With reference to the remaining
three schools at Podattor, Jannalnadagoo,
and Royachoty I beg to append a letter, with
enclosures in original, from the Inspector of
Schools 2^d Division, from which the Government
will observe that the schools are what are termed
"Anglo Vernacular", have been fairly established,
and are in a more or less satisfactory condi-
tion - Also that while no money can be
recovered for the Dispensary Fund on account
of the two former, it is open to the Government
under the rules to sanction a building grant
of Rs 1000 for the school at Royachoty, and
thus to restore that amount to the Dispensary
Funds.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your Most Obedt Servant

Edmund Allen
Collector

N^o 25

25

Mr Henry Fortey Esquire

Inspector of Schools 2^d Division

Edmund Allen Esquire

Collector of Madanapally

Sir,

In reply to your letter (not numbered)
dated July 1869 requesting me to furnish you
with any information in my power regarding the
points mentioned in para 10 of the Proceedings
of the Madras Government dated 3^d Instant.
I have the honor to state that there is no com-
pendium in this office tending to show what
has become of the amount said to have been
taken by the late Mr. Smith from the Dispen-
sary Fund for Educational purposes.

2. From Para 3 however of the Govern-
ment Order I learn that Rs 2000 was so
taken, and assigned as follows:

To Podattor	Rupies 1000
Jannalnadagoo	2000
Pulivanella	1500
Royachoty	2000
Madanapally	1500

3.

At Pudarur and Jannalnadgu

there are substantial school houses which cost (including furniture Rs 364-15-2 and Rs 2706-10-4 respectively; and half of each of these sums was contributed by Government. There may therefore be an unexpended balance of the Rs 2000 assigned to Jannalnadgu, but the sum assigned to Pudarur falls short, by more than Rs 1000 of the half cost of the school house, and must have been supplemented by local subscriptions and perhaps also by the unexpended balance at Jannalnadgu. No money can be recovered from these schools for the Dispensary Fund for they have already received and spent all the Government money to which they are entitled.

4.

At Pulivandla there is not,

and has not hitherto been, any school,

so that the Rs 1500 assigned to that town may be recovered.

5.

At Royachoty there is a school

and the school houses were built by

273

purchased by Mr. Smith with Rs 2000 of the Dispensary Fund money. This school has not as yet received any Building grant and therefore by the issue of a building grant of Rs 1000 that sum can be recovered to the Dispensary Fund. Under ordinary circumstances however I should hesitate to recommend the sanction of this grant as the building is not in my opinion worth nearly so much as Mr. Smith gave for it.

6.

At Madanapalli there is a school which is held in a Chattram. No school house has yet been erected or even commenced and therefore the Rs 1500 assigned to this school from the Dispensary Fund can all be recovered.

7.

The Building grants to the Pudarur and Jannalnadgu schools were sanctioned in the Order of Government in the Educational Department dated 16th May 1869 No. 148.

8.

As requested by you I forward copies of my last reports on the Schools

at Auditor Jam. Almaden and Royalty.

Inspector's Office
Manantapur
31st July 1889

I have been
Signed H. Fortey.
Inspector of Schools
2nd Division

1 true copy
G. Almaden
Collector

Recd
OK
8th

no money whatever has been
advanced, in connexion with
the grants from Educational
Funds. If the schools are
not in debt for their buildings,
I presume Mr. Smith must
have ~~applied~~ ^{applied} the so-called
Dispersary Funds with local
contributions. I have to
~~state~~ ^{say} that I have
no actual knowledge upon
the subject.

Looking at the uncertainty
~~which exists~~ ^{which exists} as to whether the
fund nominally for Dispensaries,
was not in reality collected
partly for schools, and having
regard to the very numerous
demands for Building grants
which will have to be met,
I beg to recommend that, if
the schools at Paddatur,
Jammalamadaga

33
Jammalamadaga and
Royachati are not in debt
for their buildings, no payment
should be made to them in
the way of Educational Build-
ing grants, the sums received by
them through Mr. Smith being,
however, left undisturbed.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obed^t. Servant,
M. Pull
Director of Public Instr^u

W. H.

9 35

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

letters from the

Collector of Cuddapah (Kien inter 23rd Sept. 1859)

Director of Public Instruction (--- 8 Nov. 19. N. 2184)
Collector of Cuddalore (--- 10 Dec. 19. N. 54).

Order thereon 21 February 1870. A^o 223.

The Government approve the action of the Collector of Cuddapah in re-crediting to the Dispensary Fund, the sum of Rs 1500 appropriated to the Pulivendula School, but unexpended. The

London

4410 2175-
Dec 27 1891-69 Nov 149-150
1-3 early - 3 cr

Dec 21st July 170.

To
 The Director of Public Instruction.
 From
 Public DEPARTMENT 1863

The Chief Secretary to Government.
Fort Saint George.

Le. 223

Dated 8th Nov^r
Received 11th } 1869

No. 2626

Enclosure
Recommending that if
the schools are to remain
in a material way, they
should not vote in 1881.
For their building, we
propose to raise the
fund 200. A more say the
way of 1881. 1881. 1881.

1. 24/2 - 125
16/18/2/70.

sums allotted for the
Jammalamadugu and
Proddatur Schools are in-
recoverable.

2. The suggestion that
a building grant of
~~Rs. 1000~~ should be given
for the ~~Proddatur~~ school
and credited to the Dis-
pensary Endowment
cannot be carried out.

The payment would
either be an undisguised
reimbursement by Gov-
ernment of the sum de-
verted by Mr. Leitch
for that school from the
Endowment fund, or a
building

10 37
building grant to the
ordinary conditions of
which would have to
be added that the Ma-
nagers should at once
transfer the amount to
the Fund. It is obvious
that a building grant
would not be accepted
on these terms.

3. The Director of Pub-
lic Instruction is re-
quested to explain
how the payment of the
sanctioned grants for the
Schools at Jammalamad-
ugu and Proddatur is
expedient his notice, and
to

to ascertain whether
any others of the nume-
rous building grants
which he believes to be
lying over for ex-
pense have temporarily
been actually paid

TH

Memo for Orders

Letter from Secy of Cuddapah 3 17 1869
— do — Director of Public Instr 3 18 1869
Official Memorandum 3 17 1869
Letter from Collector of Cuddapah 3 19 1869
Letter to the — do — 3 20 1869
Letter from Collector of Cuddapah 3 20 1869

This correspondence
has arisen out of the
of Govt contained in para
10 of G.O. 3^d July 1869, re govt
passing orders regarding
the cess levied for dispensary
purposes in Cuddapah
by the late Mr. Smith, and
regarding the diversion of
part of the dispensary fund
to the establishment of
certain schools in that
District. The allotments
for this purpose from
the

ML

To the Collector of Cuddapah. 3
Sept 25/72
Director of Public Instr
Dep Secy of Pub Dep
Act Genl

the Dispensary Endowment fund and the gross expenditure on the school from that and other sources are shown in the marginal statement.

	Alotted from the fund	Expended
Madanapalli	1500	
Pulivendala	1500	
Jammalamadugu	2000	2787
Proddatur	1000	4365
Rayachoti	2000	2000
	8000	9152

2. The paragraph above referred to called for a report as to the mode in which the money donated from the Dispensary Endowment has been expended, and whether, if the schools are such as would be entitled to aid from State Funds, it would be possible by a grant in aid of such amount as may under the rules be admissible to liberate any portion of the capital which might then, without affecting the present position of the schools be

be restored to the Dispensary Endowment.

3. The Collector Cuddapah, in reply dated 23rd Sept. 1869, that at Jammalamadugu and Proddatur the allotments from the Dispensary fund were expended in the erection of school buildings and the appropriation for Rayachoti (Rs 2000) in the purchase of a bungalow for the school. The allotment for Madanapalli Rs 1500 which was unexpended was recredited to the fund under the order of Govt of the 3rd July 1869, and the Collector now reports that he has restored that for Pulivendala which was of the same amount and had not been touched upon.

upon. Building grants
amounting respectively
to Rs 1335 and 2133 for the
schools at Chammalamadugu
and Proddatur were sanc-
tioned in G.O. 16th May/17
No 448. As far as appears
from the papers no formal
application has been
made by the managers
of the Rayachoti school
for a building grant.
The Collector, however,
while stating that as the
building grants for Cham-
malamadugu and
Proddatur have been
paid and expended
no recovery can be made
as regards them, recom-
mended that the building
grant of Rs 1000 to which
the Rayachoti school is
entitled, should be
sancti-

40
sanctioned, and this amount
thus restored to the Dispen-
sary Fund.
The Director of
Public Instruction, to
whom the Collector's letter
was referred, observed
that the statement that
the sanctioned building
grants above referred to
had been disbursed was
a mistake, and recom-
mended that if the man-
agers of the three schools
were not in debt for their
buildings, no payment
should be made to
them in the way of Edu-
cational Building grant.
The sums received
by them through the school
being however left
undisturbed.

On

5. On reference to the Collector to ascertain whether or not the managers were in debt, he stated that they were not, and that the buildings had been fully paid for; and on a further reference, he showed that the Director of Public Instruction was in error in supposing that the grants sanctioned had not been disbursed; for they were paid by Mr. Smith on receipt of the order sanctioning them, and the fact was notified to the Director of Public Instruction.

6. The intention of the Govt. order seems to have been that if the capital was still unappropriated to

to the school, it should be restored to the fund; and that if it was appropriated and transferred but not expended, the interest being devoted to ~~the purpose of the school~~ ^{the purpose of the school} ~~the purpose of the school~~ ^{the purpose of the school} and if ~~it was~~ possible by an ordinary grant for that purpose, ~~to be~~ ^{to be} liberated ~~the capital~~; ~~this should be done~~. But when it has been expended as Rs. 5000 have been, it is impossible for Govt. to rectify the original diversion of the capital from its proper object.

7. Nothing can now be done in the case of the Sammalamada and Proddatur schools, the grants for which have been paid. The Collector's proposal

47
proposal that a grant
of Rs. 1000 should now
be made to the Rayachoti
school and the money
paid into the Dispensary
Fund is obviously in-
-advisable in the first
place the managers have
not applied for any
grant in the past
each a grant would
either be a simple and
undisguised reimburse-
-ment by Govt. of the
sum diverted by Mr. Smith
for that school from the
Dispensary Endowment,
or a building grant, to
the ordinary conditions
of which would have
to be added that the
managers, the granters,
should at once transfer
the

the amount to the Dispen-
-sary Fund. They are not
likely to accept a grant
on such terms, as after
acceptance they would
be pecuniarily no better
off than before, and
would be in addition
saddled with the obli-
gations consequent on the
acceptance of the ordinary
conditions of building
grants. Govt. cannot
order them to restore the
dispensary money which
they have received and
expended

8. Had the grant
been still unpaid it is
submitted that they ought
not to be provoked and
that should the managers
of the Rayachoti school
apply for a building
grant

grant they will be entitled to one; inasmuch as Govt. ought not to inquire whence the equivalent which the managers are to provide under the rules has been derived and cannot refuse the grant because this equivalent has been obtained by them, through an irregularity for which they were not responsible, from a quasi public source, so long as it has not been made up with funds drawn from the public exchequer. Further the dispensary allotments are really local subscriptions, inasmuch as larger sums than were returned were subscribed in the several taluks concerned.

The

9. The only orders which now appear necessary ^{are to} approve the Collector's action with regard to the allotment, for Pulivendala, to reject his proposal regarding Rayachoti for the reasons above given in para 7; to call upon the Director of Public Instruction to explain how the payment of the sanctioned grants escaped his notice, and to direct him to ascertain whether any of the numerous sanctioned building grants which he believes to be lying over for want of funds have been paid in a similar irregular fashion.

MSK
Expeco N.
M/A actually

Through the press of
your business.

