

1. Number of pages 30.  
2. Date of receipt 1.2.37  
3. Initials of Reference

M.B.O. 1-22,400-22-11-39

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

*Public* DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.

180

Press

~~Mis.~~

Dated

16-2-1870

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,  
16th February 1870.*

No. 58. Read the following letter from the President of the Municipal Commission for the Town of Madras, to the Acting Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated Madras, 1st February 1870, G. No. 47.

I regret having cause to address you at some length and on an unpleasant subject.

2. It will be within the recollection of Government that, in consequence of an unsatisfactory state of things existing in this department in 1868, at a General Meeting of the Commissioners on the 31st of July of that year, I brought this to their notice, and moved the following resolution:—

“That a Committee composed of the following Members of the Commission be appointed to investigate and report upon the discrepancy in the Collector’s Statements of Trade-tax Arrears for 1866 and 1867, dated 16th December 1867, and 17th March 1868, brought to notice of the President by Colonel Gillilan, and upon the subject of the Collector’s and Assessor’s, and other departments generally, with power to employ a professional Accountant.”

Seven Members.

Mr. Gover, Chairman.

This Committee, after a most painstaking, searching, and laborious examination into the whole system of management of the Municipal Department, made their report on the 21st of November 1868, and which being brought up for consideration at a special meeting on the 21st of January 1869, was forwarded to Government with my letter on the 28th idem, wherein I said as follows:—

“I, however, propose, with the permission of Government, notifying to the officers marginally noted, who receive their appointments from Government, and also to the Assessor who holds his at the hands of the Commissioners, that they must consider themselves on probation at present, and that the retention of their offices will depend upon the report of a Committee, which I purpose asking the Commissioners to sanction the appointment of at the expiration of six months as to their efficiency.”

3. Government in their Order of the 2nd of February 1869, No. 146, confirmed the course proposed by me; and a Committee was appointed, who, after going through a voluminous mass of papers, were unable to arrive at any conclusion, except that they considered that I, as head of the department, was best qualified to report on the subject.

4. Accordingly, at the General Meeting on the 29th ultimo, a resolution was passed by Mr. Gover, seconded by Major Drever, and carried by a majority, to the following effect:—

“Resolved that, as the Probation Committee have stated that they are unable to come to a conclusion upon the matters referred to them, the President is requested to make the necessary report to Government concerning the officers referred to in Government Order, No. 146, of the 2nd of February 1869.”

It becomes, therefore, my painful duty to make this report.

5. Within nine months of Mr. Jesudasen Pillay's appointment as Collector, I had occasion, in July 1868, to ask for a Finance Committee to investigate his accounts. In paragraph 6 the Committee say: "It will also be seen that, since December 1867, no single correct account has been presented by Mr. Jesudasen, and that none of the explanations given to Mr. Mackey tally with each other; we must also draw special attention to the fact noted in Mr. Mackey's supplementary report that he has only now been discovered that a sum of about 11,000 rupees has been twice remitted by the President; it follows from all this while the inquiries instituted by our Chairman in the office and the evidence taken before us prove the same fact, that the Collector is not conversant with the most ordinary transactions of his office, and has entirely trusted to subordinates, signing apparently whatever paper was set before him. If further proof be desired, we need only specify the weekly Report of Trade-tax. Out of twenty-eight such returns all in the same form, twenty-two do not agree in a matter so easily noticed as the carrying over of the weekly balances."

"Paragraph 7. We regret to have to report that the statements given by Messrs. Bazely and Jesudasen, when the President demanded an explanation of the discrepancy, were not only unsatisfactory, but were absolutely untrue."

"Paragraph 8. In connexion with this subject we are bound to report another and worse instance of want of good faith, for which the present Collector is solely responsible."

I will not take up the time of Government by making further quotations, as they have the report itself to refer to.

6. I had hoped that the clear and able instructions and recommendations drawn up by the Finance Committee would have been the means of putting the Collector's Department into good working order; and that, in 1869, I should have been spared the repeated annoyances of 1868; but such has not been the case; and it is only necessary to refer you to a Memorandum of mine, (herewith annexed,) of the 19th ultimo, reviewing one of the Collector's of the 10th idem, and I would beg to call special attention to the concluding paragraph of my Memorandum.

7. At the last meeting, some of the Native Commissioners laid great stress on the Collector's exertions in the collections during the past year, but I maintain that this was owing, in a great degree, to the extraordinary amount of pressure (as will be evidenced by my repeated orders) by myself and Assistant brought to bear upon the Collector's Department.

8. As regards Mr. Bazely, I can, I am glad to say, report more favourably, and he has conducted the duties of his office more to my satisfaction, though there have been shortcomings on his part, especially so in the collection of the Trade License-tax, which estimated at 1,29,000 rupees, has only realized in round numbers 70,000 rupees. In my opinion, however, this falling off is largely owing to the fact that Mr. Bazely has been very busily occupied upon the House and Land Rates Registers in consequence of the action of the Commissioners in cancelling the valuation he had prepared at the beginning of 1869, so that he had to begin new Registers at a time when they ought to have been almost completed; and I should be glad, therefore, to see a further trial given Mr. Bazely.

9. I have now, in accordance with the resolution of the Commissioners passed at the last meeting, performed, to the best of my ability, what has been to me the most unpleasant and painful duty it has ever been my lot to carry out; and I would desire, in conclusion, to say a few words in Mr. Jesudasen Pillay's favour. This officer has been working in the Municipality for a period of ten years, and when appointed Collector was called upon to give up all other employments; it would, therefore, be a cause of much regret to me that I should be the means of depriving him of his present employment, knowing how difficult it is in these times for the Government to find a situation for him. The present will be a sharp lesson to Mr. Jesudasen Pillay, and I would fain hope an useful one, and if he professes a desire and intention to act in future cordially and heartily with me, I should be glad were Government to extend his probation for a further period of, say, six months; perhaps during that interval some other situation might be found for him which would suit better than his present one.

No. 9-C.

Memorandum.

I have had before me, during the past week, the Collector's Memorandum, No. 15a, of the 10th idem, but after a careful perusal of it, and looking back to former Memorandums of mine, especially that of the 2nd September last, No. 291, I regret I can come to no other conclusion than that it is a most lame and unsatisfactory explanation.

2. The course pursued by him as regards remissions is not what I consider at all correct in an officer holding so important and responsible a position as Collector, and he should have exercised much closer scrutiny. In my Memorandum of the 2nd of September, I expressly desired that, before recommending any remission, the Collector should satisfy himself, by personal inspection or inquiry or both, independent of the report of his subordinates, and I cannot, for one instant, excuse his plea that he should feel bound to accept his Inspectors' recommendations.

3. Were I as President to consider myself always bound to accept the reports of my subordinates and rest satisfied, without ever taking the trouble of looking into matters myself, I should not consider myself fit for the office I hold, and that the Collector should have recommended such large sums for remission every year, affecting as they do so considerably the Municipal revenue, without having taken the trouble of looking into the details of each bill and acquainting himself with full particulars before sending them up to me, is, to say the least, very surprising, and very unbusiness-like. Had he taken this trouble he would at once have discovered the wrong in the instance where the office and residence of the party were given on the face of the bill, and probably in several other instances. If the system were properly observed, the Collector would have a very small number of bills each time to deal with for the purposes of remission, and would in that case find no difficulty in scrutinising them carefully.

4. The Collector must bear in mind that it was in consequence of defects in the administration of his department that the Finance Committee was appointed, and it was only after a most laborious and searching investigation that they recommended measures of reform which it has been my object, aided most zealously by my Assistant, to carry out in their integrity; but it renders our task far harder when the Collector pertinaciously refuses to accept and act up to these recommendations and orders, and which he was directed by me to do from the middle of February last year, and for some months subsequently they appear to have been observed as regards the system of issuing bills, but latterly it seems the Collector reverted to the

old plan of issuing bills to a very large extent, whereby all chance of a fair trial of the advantageous working of the street-warri system, about which both the Finance Committee and myself were very anxious to see carried out, has been lost. In a conversation I had with the Collector in my office in presence of my Assistant, I understood from him he was acting in the matter of issuing so large a number of bills at one time by Mr. Gover's advice; but I could scarcely credit this, and had it been so the Collector would have grievously failed in his duty had he not first acquainted me about it and taken my orders; but I understand that Mr. Gover's suggestion was upon the Collector's representation that bills for a larger amount than 1800 rupees might be issued only when they were very few in number, and that such issue should be limited to Nungumbaukum only where there is the least chance of payment in cash, and also provided that such payments were brought into account as fast as they were received and should not be extended to any other Division; this, therefore, is a very different version of the story. Anyhow I condemn the Collector for acting on Mr. Gover's or any one's suggestions, where my orders were clear and positive, without previously coming to me. I, however, expressly wish to guard myself from any idea of reflecting in the slightest degree on Mr. Gover, for I have much to thank him for in the warm interest he takes in the Municipality, and I should have fully endorsed these suggestions of his, had I been in the first instance made acquainted with them, and should have taken care the Collector did not put what construction he pleased on them.

The course pursued by the Collector with regard to the old Trade-tax Bills from the very fact of their being so numerous has put it beyond his and his department's power to exercise that amount of care, attention, and scrutiny in their collection which would be the case had the system been properly observed, and I think very lightly of such an insignificant sum as 7369 rupees collected in a whole year on account of bills to the extent of about a lac of rupees reported by the Committee to be good upon strength of information supplied by the Collector's Department. My order to carry out the system of *Conicopolys* making payments in twice a week some months since has not yet been obeyed; why not, I should like to ask.

The Collector's statement that he has been able to collect more by his own course than he would otherwise have done has nothing whatever to do with his departure from orders expressly laid down for his guidance. They would probably have been better if the Committee's recommendations had been strictly obeyed.

The present is by no means a solitary instance in which I have had reason to be dissatisfied with the action of the Collector, as will be seen by repeated Memorandums within the last two years, and he seems bent on having his own way, without regard to either the Finance Committee's recommendations, or my orders. I can only regret he should have compelled me in reviewing his Memorandum to express in such strong terms my sense of his conduct; but I feel it to be a duty I owe to myself and my Assistant who have had for many months a constant struggle to keep the Collector's Department in working order that prior to the next General Meeting when the administration of the Collector's Department will be considered by the Commissioners, that they should be made fully acquainted with the difficult task I have in trying to keep matters straight.

This Memorandum, therefore, a copy of which will be furnished to the Collector, will be sent in circulation to the Commissioners, together with the Collector's of the 10th instant.

MADRAS,  
19th January 1870.

(Signed) C. DENISON,  
President, Municipal Commission.

P.S.—Since writing this Memorandum I have been informed by Mr. Gover that the facts of the matter in which he is referred to correspond with the information conveyed to me, and that he expressly desired Mr. Jesudasen to note that all orders should come from the President, and that he (Mr. Gover) could only offer suggestions to be referred to the President should the Collector think it expedient in carrying them out.

C. D.

No. 59. ORDER THEREON, 16th February 1870, No. 180.

The Government are pleased to accede to the recommendation made by the President of the Municipal Commissioners, Madras, that Mr. Jesudasen Pillay should be permitted to retain his office on probation for a further period of six months.

(True Extract.)

Acting Chief Secretary.

To the President of the Municipal Commission for the Town of Madras.

End, J. Wright.

Govt. of 1870 344

PUBLIC.

To

THE PRESIDENT OF THE MUNICIPAL  
COMMISSION.  
Alcalá

Dated February, 16th.

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 16th February 1870, No. 180.

FEBRUARY.  
Nos. 58-59.

According to the recommendation of the President that Mr. Arceleson & May should be permitted to retain his office on probation for a further period of six months.

1. 147

1. 147

Colonel G. A. Munro  
President Municipal Commission  
Madras.

To J. J. Munro Esq  
Chief Secretary  
to Government  
Fort St. George.

Sir I regret having cause  
to address you at some length  
and on an unpleasant subject.

2. It will be within the  
recollection of Government  
that, in consequence of an  
unsatisfactory state of things  
existing in this Department  
in 1863, at a General Meeting  
of

of the Commissioners on  
the 31<sup>st</sup> of July of that  
year, I brought this to their  
notice and moved the  
following Resolution

That a Committee  
composed of the following  
Members of the Commission  
be appointed to investigate  
and report upon the discrepancy  
in the Collector's Statements  
of Trade Tax arrears for  
1866 and 1867, dated 16 December  
1867, and 17 March 1868,  
brought to notice of the  
President by Colonel  
Gillies and upon the  
subject of the Collector's and  
Decease's, and other De-  
partments generally, with  
power to employ a professional  
Accountant

Accountant."

9a 2

7 Members

Mr Gorar Chairman

This Committee, after a most  
painstaking, searching and  
laborious examination into  
the whole system of manage-  
ment of the Municipal De-  
partment, made their Report  
on the 21<sup>st</sup> of November 1868,  
and which being brought up  
for consideration at a special  
Meeting on the 21<sup>st</sup> of  
January 1869, was forwarded  
to Government with my  
letter on the 28 Idem wherein  
I said as follows:-

I however proposed with  
the permission of Government  
notifying to the Officers

marginal

"marginally noted, who  
"Receive ~~Receive~~ their appointments  
"from Government and also  
"to the Chief who holds his  
"at the hands of the Commissioners  
"that they must consider  
"themselves on probation at  
"present, and that the retention  
"of their offices will depend  
"upon the report of a committee  
"which I propose, asking the  
"Commissioners to sanction  
"the appointment of at the  
"expiration of six months as  
"to their efficiency"

3. Government in their Order  
of the 2<sup>d</sup> of February 1849, No.  
146, confirmed the course  
proposed by me; and a committee  
was appointed, who, after going  
through a voluminous mass  
of papers, were unable to  
arrive at any conclusion, except  
that they considered that I  
was ahead of the Department

Mr. J. J. J. J.  
L. J. J. J.  
Bazely

11<sup>a</sup> 4  
"and the evidence taken before us  
"prove the same fact, that the  
"Collector is not conversant with  
"the most ordinary transactions  
"of his Office, and has entirely  
"trusted to subordinate, signing  
"apparently whatever paper  
"was set before him. If further  
"proof be desired, we need only  
"specify the Weekly Report  
"of Trade Tax. Out of twenty  
"eight such returns all in  
"the same form, twenty-two  
"do not agree in a matter  
"so easily noticed as the carrying  
"over of the weekly balance."

Para 7. "We regret to have to  
"report that the statements  
"given by Messrs Bazely  
"and Jesudasan when the  
"President demanded an  
"explanation of the discrepancy  
"were not only unsatisfactory  
"but were absolutely untrue"

in

Para 8. "In connection with this subject we are bound to report another and worse instance of want of good faith for which the present Collector is ~~solely~~ responsible."

I will not take up the time of Government by making further quotations, as they have the report itself to refer to.

6. I had hoped that the clear and ~~all~~ instructions and recommendations drawn up by the Finance Committee would have been the means of putting the collector's Department into good working order; and that in 1869 I should have been spared the repeated annoyances of 1868; but such has not been the case; and it is only necessary to refer you

13<sup>a</sup> 3  
was best qualified to report on the subject.

Accordingly at the General Meeting on the 29<sup>th</sup> ultimo, a resolution was passed by Mr Jones seconded by Major Weaver and carried by a majority to the following effect.

Resolved that, as the Probation Committee have stated that they are unable to come to a conclusion upon the matters referred to them the President is requested to make the necessary report to Government concerning the Officers referred to in Government Order No 46 of the 2<sup>d</sup> of February 1869.

It becomes therefore my painful duty to make this report.

Within

5. Within nine months of  
Mr Jendassen's appointment as collector, I had occasion  
in July 1868 to ask for a Finance  
Committee to investigate his  
accounts. In para 6 the  
Committee say: "It will also  
"be seen that since December  
"1867 no single correct account  
"has been presented by Mr Jendassen,  
"and that none of the explanations  
"given to Mr Mackey tally  
"with each other, we must  
"also draw special attention to  
"the fact noted in Mr Mackey's  
"Supplementary Report that  
"it has only now been discovered  
"that a sum of about 11,000  
"Rupees has been twice permitted  
"by the President; it follows  
"from all this whole the  
"enquiries instituted by our  
"Chairmen in the Office  
(and

150 5  
to a Memorandum of mine  
herewith annexed of the 19<sup>th</sup>  
ultimo, reviewing one of the  
Collectors of the 10<sup>th</sup> Idem, and  
I would beg to call special  
attention to the concluding  
para of my Memorandum.

7. At the last meeting, some  
of the Native Commissioners  
laid great stress on the Collector's  
actions in the collections  
during the past year, but  
I maintain that this was  
owing in a great degree to  
the extraordinary amount of  
pressure as will be evidenced  
by my repeated orders by  
myself and Assistant  
brought to bear upon the  
Collector's Department.

8. As regards Mr Bagley,  
I am, I am glad to say,  
satisfied with his report and  
his conduct.

He has conducted the duties of  
his Office more to my satisfaction  
though there have been short-  
comings on his part, especially  
so in the collection of the  
Trade License Tax, which es-  
timated at 1,29,000 Rupees,  
has only realized in round  
numbers 70,000 Rupees. In  
my opinion, however, this  
falling off is largely owing  
to the fact that Mr. Bagley  
has been very busily occupied  
upon the House and Land  
Rates Registers in consequence  
of the action of the Com-  
missioners in cancelling  
the valuation he had pre-  
pared at the beginning of  
1869 so that he has to begin  
new Registers at a time  
when they ought to have  
been almost completed, and  
I should be glad therefore  
to see a further trial given  
to

Mr. Bagley. 17<sup>a</sup> 6  
I have now in accordance  
with the resolution of the  
Commissioners passed at the  
last Meeting, performed to  
the best of my ability, what  
has been to me to ~~say~~  
unpleasant and painful  
duty, if I ever been any lot  
to carry out, and I would  
draw in conclusion to say  
a few words in Mr. Jeandson  
Pitt's favor. This Officer  
has been working on the  
Municipality for a period of  
14 years and when appointed  
was called upon to  
give up all other employments,  
I would therefore be a  
cause of much regret to  
me that I should be the  
means of depriving him of  
his present employment,  
knowing how difficult  
it

it is in these times for the firm  
went to find a situation for  
Irvin. The present ~~with~~ a  
sharp lesson to ~~for~~ ~~Irvin~~  
Pillay and ~~Irvin~~ ~~Irvin~~  
hope an useful one, and if  
he <sup>proves</sup> ~~proves~~ a decent and  
intention to act in future  
cordially and heartily with

117

Memorandum

Municipal Commissioners' Office.

MADRAS, 19. 1. 1890

I have had before me during the past week the Collector's Memo No 15<sup>a</sup> of the 10<sup>th</sup> Inst. but after a careful perusal of it and looking back to former memorandums of mine especially that of the 2<sup>nd</sup> Sept. last No 20<sup>a</sup> I regret I can come to no other conclusion than that it is a most lame and unsatisfactory explanation. I have the regret

He never without having taken the trouble  
of looking into the details of such bill  
and acquainting himself with full particulars  
before sending them up to me is to say  
the least very surprising and very unbusiness-  
like, but he takes this trouble he  
should at once have discovered the wrong in  
the instance where the office & residence  
of the party were given on the face of the bill  
and doubtless in several other instances.

[illegible]

is a very different version of the story.  
 Any how I condemn the Collector's  
 Acting on Mr. Fraser's or any one's suggestion.  
 Since my orders were clear and positive  
 without previously coming to me, I cannot  
 expressly wish to give any idea from any  
 idea of reflection in the slightest degree  
 on Mr. Fraser. I wish to think him  
 as in the common interest he takes in the  
 municipality, and I should find fault  
 with his suggestions of his kind.  
 In the first instance made acquainted  
 with them and I should have been sure  
 the Collector should not put out countermeasures  
 without consulting me.

The Council proceeded by the Collector with  
 regard to the fact that I could not make  
 from the very fact of their being  
 so numerous and that is beyond his  
 and his Department's power to measure  
 that amount of care and attention, and  
 working in their collection which would  
 be the case that the system seems  
 properly observed, and I think very  
 largely of such an insignificant sum  
 as 700 Rs. collected in a whole year  
 on account of Mr. Fraser to the extent of  
 about a few hundred rupees reported by the  
 committee to be good upon strength of  
 information supplied by the Collector.

My object in coming out the by order  
 of Mr. Fraser's making payment  
 in twice a week some months since  
 has not yet been obeyed. My order  
 I should like to ask -  
 The Collector's statement that he has  
 been able to collect more by his  
 own round than he could otherwise  
 find that had nothing to do  
 with his departure from office  
 expressly laid down in his guidance  
 they could probably have been  
 better if the Committee's recommendation  
 had been strictly obeyed -  
 The present is by no means a solitary  
 instance in which I have found  
 the Collector to be disappointed with the  
 result of the Collector's work as well as  
 the by reported Memorandums  
 within the last two years, and  
 he seems to be on his own  
 way without regard to either  
 the Committee's recommendations or  
 my orders. I can only regret that  
 I have been compelled to use

Reviewing his Memorandum  
to express in such strong terms my  
sense of his conduct, but I feel it  
to be a duty I owe to myself and  
my abstract. I have had for many  
months a constant struggle to keep  
the Collection Dept in working order  
the prior to the next general meeting  
when the administration of the  
Collection Dept will be considered by  
the Commisprimes. That they should  
be made fully acquainted with  
the difficult task I have in  
trying to keep matters straight.

This Memorandum I expect a copy  
of which will be furnished the  
Collection will be sent in circulation  
to the Commisprimes together with  
the Collection of the 10<sup>th</sup> Dec.

Charles Derrice  
President  
Municipal Commission

P.S. Since writing this I have been infor-  
med by Mr. Jones that the facts of the matter  
in which he is referred to correspond with

The information conveyed to me & that  
he properly advised Mr. Johnson to note that all  
orders should come from the President &  
that he (Mr. Jones) could only offer sug-  
gestions to be referred to the President.  
Should the Collection think it expedient  
the carrying them out

W.

Public Department

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following *letter*

From the President of the  
Municipal Commission,  
Madras to the Acting Chief  
Secretary to Government.

*Handwritten notes and signatures, including a date 16 Feb 1900.*

Order thereon, *16 Feb 1900*

The Government are pleased  
to accede to the recommenda-  
tion made by the President of  
the Municipal Commission  
Madras, that Mr. Sundaram  
Pillai should be permitted  
to retain his office on pro-  
bation for a further period  
of six months.

*Handwritten initials: P. A.*

Consent of the M.C. for the term  
of Madras dated 2/2

*Handwritten: By 22/3*

*Handwritten: Public - 1870 - 1871*

*Handwritten: 1870 - 1871*

*Handwritten: Press out of the  
house & on 2. 1870*

*Handwritten: 1870 - 1871*

*Handwritten: 1870 - 1871*

*Handwritten: Demand for the  
concerning the  
of the  
house  
of the  
1870 - 1871*

I gather from Col Denison's  
report that the commissioners  
consider that the actual  
work of collection of the Municipal  
taxes has been well performed  
by M. Jendrasen. Col Denison considers this to  
be mainly owing to the paper  
brought to bear on M. Jendrasen  
by himself and his assistant.  
Col Denison further finds fault  
with Jendrasen for disobedience  
to his orders in matters of detail.  
I do not think a case has been  
made out for the dismissal of  
Jendrasen. I therefore pass  
the draft.

After reading Col Denison's  
letter I quite concur in the  
opinion expressed by Mr. The  
Governor that it is very desirable  
to take the first opportunity  
of passing appointing M. Jendrasen  
to the office of a deputy M. C.

I have perused the  
draft because it  
settles nothing; but  
and not shall propose  
to agree that for Jendrasen  
ought to be removed from  
his office because (should  
Denison does not choose  
to attend to him that  
cooperation & encouragement of the Municipality that Jendrasen  
which the head of an office has had a most difficult  
is bound to accept. There  
his subordinates. There  
is another mode in which  
his difficulty may be  
satisfactorily obviated.  
Colonel Denison's letter  
and his memorandum  
which he has appended  
to it, appears to me to  
my informant must  
unsatisfactory documents,  
and the fact that for  
Jendrasen is undoubtedly  
as the thing of a statement  
made by his Government  
is now an eager applicant  
for an appointment which  
will probably become vacant  
of Jendrasen is  
trivial and is I think another  
very unsatisfactory feature in the  
case. But  
charge of a Treasury. If a vacancy  
can be found in the 3rd class. This  
change can be made without  
any serious loss to Jendrasen.  
I cannot help picking from, however  
papers I have read - and from  
what I have heard from Mr.  
Coleman & other members  
of the Municipality that Jendrasen  
has had a most difficult  
time to play. The residents  
unfavorable opinion of him has  
been throughout his term of  
probation open by prepared - the  
is prevented from giving useful  
explanations - & has not  
received the encouragement  
and advice from Col Denison  
which would have helped  
him in the discharge of his  
duties. There are however  
all things as our city  
should be provided for  
in some other office. All  
in some other office. All  
in some other office. All

Out of 18% affiliates.

12

7<sup>th</sup>  
The French Consul General

Wade

| Date | No. |
|------|-----|
| 1870 | 100 |
| 1871 | 100 |
| 1872 | 100 |
| 1873 | 100 |
| 1874 | 100 |
| 1875 | 100 |
| 1876 | 100 |
| 1877 | 100 |
| 1878 | 100 |
| 1879 | 100 |
| 1880 | 100 |
| 1881 | 100 |
| 1882 | 100 |
| 1883 | 100 |
| 1884 | 100 |
| 1885 | 100 |
| 1886 | 100 |
| 1887 | 100 |
| 1888 | 100 |
| 1889 | 100 |
| 1890 | 100 |
| 1891 | 100 |
| 1892 | 100 |
| 1893 | 100 |
| 1894 | 100 |
| 1895 | 100 |
| 1896 | 100 |
| 1897 | 100 |
| 1898 | 100 |
| 1899 | 100 |
| 1900 | 100 |

*Need.*

Nos. 35

[illegible]