

1. Date of receipt 9-2-37
2. Date of issue
3. Date of return

M.R.O. 1-32,400-22-11-30.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No.	17	Press
		~~Mis.~~
Dated	6-6-	18 20

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,
6th January 1870.

No. 22. Read the following letter from the Inspector-General of Police, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated Madras, 2nd December 1869, No. 7719.

Account.]

Referring to Order of Government, No. 1178, dated 21st August 1869, sanctioning a refund of Rupees 873-9-2 to the Rajahmundry Municipal Commissioners, being the amount stated to have been overpaid into the Treasury on account of the Police, I have the honour to point out that the statement for Rupees 1371-8-0, for the half-year ending 30th April 1867, rendered by the Superintendent of Police to the Commission, does not include the cost of clothing and contingencies for the half-year which amount to Rupees 195. This sum was omitted from the statement by oversight, the order from this office laying down the rates at which these charges should be made not having been received in the District Office. The Vice-President of the Commission having admitted the correctness of the charge it should be repaid into the Treasury by the Municipality out of the sum of Rupees 873-9-2 above referred to.

2. The Superintendent has been directed to include, in future statements, all charges payable by the Municipality.

No. 23. ORDER THEREON, 6th January 1870, No. 17.

On the 7th August 1869 the President of the Municipal Commission, Rajahmundry, requested that Rupees 873-9-2, which it was alleged had been paid by the

	Paid.	Expended.	Excess payment.
	RS.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
1866-67....	1,759	1,371 8 0	
1867-68.....	3,904	3,660 12 3	
	5,656	5,032 4 3	623 11 9
1868-69.....	3,915	3,665 2 7	249 13 5
	9,571	8,697 6 10	873 9 2

Commissioners in excess of the actual cost of the Town Police, from the constitution of the Municipality till the end of 1868-69, might be refunded to the Commissioners. The sum was made up as shown in the margin.

2. The audited accounts showed that, during 1866-67 and 1867-68, the excess payment amounted to Rupees 300-11-9, and not Rupees 623-11-9 as stated*; and as the audited accounts for 1868-69 had not been received at the date on which orders were passed—21st August 1869, No. 1178—the refund of the whole amount asked for, less the State contribution on the excess payment shown by the audited accounts to have been made in 1866-67 and 1867-68, was sanctioned. The amount refunded to the Commissioners was, therefore, Rupees 798-6-3.

* The actual audited expenditure was Rupees 5833.

	RS. A. P.
873 9 2	
Less... 75 2 11	
798 6 3	

3. The Inspector-General of Police now brings to notice that the cost of clothing and contingencies, amounting to Rupees 195, was not included in the stated expenditure for 1866-67, and, therefore, this sum, less the State contribution thereon, has now to be paid by the Municipality. The net sum payable is Rupees 195 — Rupees 48-12-0 = Rupees 146-4-0.

4. Further adjustments have, however, to be made. The audited accounts for 1868-69 show that the sum paid in excess by the Municipality in that year was, as shown above, Rupees 249-13-5, and they are entitled to the refund of this sum,

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,
6th January 1870.

less the State contribution thereon or Rupees 249-13-5 — Rupees 62-7-4 = Rupees 187-6-1. The result is that the Commissioners' claim for

	Rs.	A.	P.
1866-67 and 1867-68 is	225	8	10
1868-69	187	6	1
	412	14	11
They received under G. O., 21st August 1869, No. 1178	798	6	3
Difference against them	385	7	4
Add adjusted claim now brought forward	146	4	0
Total	531	11	4

which must now be paid by them.

5. The adjustments which became feasible on the receipt of the audited accounts for 1868-69 were not made when the accounts were received owing to an oversight. In future, when at the close of the year, statements of the actual cost of the Police are submitted under Section 30 by the Superintendent, and when the result is in favour of the Municipality, the necessary adjustment should be made, the adjusted amount being entered in Statement A. The Government see no reason why in this case their special sanction should be required for the refund which might be made under the orders of the Inspector-General; but when the sum paid by the Municipality has proved insufficient, the sanction of Government to any further payment is necessary under the Act.

(True Extract.)

Acting Chief Secretary.

To the Inspector-General of Police.

„ President of the Municipal Commission, Rajahmundry.
„ Accountant-General.

1867

Public Department

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter

from the
Insp. Genl. of Police.

(Here enter No. Decr. 189. No. 774)

Order thereon 6 January 1890
December 1889 No. 17

In the 7th August
1889, the President of the
Municipal Commission Mr.
J. A. M. requested that
Rs 873-9-2 which it was
alleged had been paid by
the Commissioners in excess
of the actual cost of the
Town Police, from the
constitution of the Munici-
pality till the end of
1888-9. The sum was made
up as shown in the margin.

Paid	Expended	Excess payment.
1866-7 1752	1871-8-0	
1867-8 3904	3660-12-3	
5656	5082-4-3	623-11-9
1868-9 3915	3660-2-7	249-13-5
7571	8697-6-10	873-9-2

Govt. of Madras.

PUBLIC.

1867-8-9

JANUARY
Nos. 22-23

amounted to Rs 300-11-9, and
 with Rs 23-11-9 as stated; and
 as the audited accounts
 for 1868-9 had not been
 received at the date on
 which orders were passed
 - 21st August 1869. N^o 1178 -
 the refund of the whole
 amount asked for, less
 the State Contribution
 on the excess payment
 shown by the audited
 accounts to have been
 made in 1866-7 and 1867-8,
 was sanctioned. The
 amount balance to the
 Commissioners was there-
 fore Rs 748-5-3.

The actual audited expenditure
 was Rs 5233.

Rs 878. 9. 2
 75. 2. 11
 798. 6. 3

3. The Inspector General
 of Police was brought to no-
 tice that the cost of
 his office and contingen-
 -cies amounted to Rs
 100-0-0 not included in
 the above estimate
 for 1866-7 and therefore

This sum, less the State
 Contribution thereon, has
 now to be paid by the
 Municipality. The net
 sum payable is Rs 195-
 - Rs 48-12-0 = Rs 146-4-0.

4. Further adjustments
 have now to be made.
 The audited accounts for
 1868-9 show that the sum
 paid in excess by the Mu-
 -nicipality was, as shown
 above, Rs 249-13-5, and
 they are entitled to the re-
 -fund of this sum, less
 the State Contribution
 thereon or Rs 249-13-5
 Rs 12-7-4 = Rs 127-6-1. The
 result is that the Com-
 -missioners' claim for
 1866-7 and 1867-8 is Rs 225-8-10
 1868-9 - - - 187-6-1
 412-14-11

They received under
 G.O. 21st Augt/69. N^o 1178 - 7-8-6 - 3

Difference against them 385-7-4
 Add adjusted claim now brought forward 146-4-0,
 Total Rs 531-11-4
 which must now be paid by them. The

5. The adjustments which became feasible on the receipt of the audited accounts for 1888-9 were not made, owing to an oversight. In future, when at the close of the year, statements of the actual cost of the Police are submitted under sec 30, by the Superintendent, and when the result is in favor of the Municipality, the necessary adjustment should be made. The adjusted amount being entered in Statement A. The Government are no reason why, their special sanction should be required for the refund which might be made under the Orders of the Councils General and Local. The Municipality has

proved insufficient, the sanction of Government to any further payment is necessary under the Act.
H.C. 1

to the Chief Justice of India.
President of the Council of India
and the President of the Council of India
Paragraph 5 to the President of all Municipal Commissions

Cont. of 118 Madras.

Table.

To the Superintendent of Police.

22/6/20

Date Aug. 6 } 1870, No. 1
Recd.

Nos. 22 23

For the purpose of the
return of the
the King's Commission, the
the amount of the