

Office of the  
Secretary of Revenue

M.R.O. 1-22,000-16-10-22.

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

**Government of Madras.**

**DEPARTMENT.**

|          |          |               |
|----------|----------|---------------|
| G.O. No. | 561      | Press<br>Mis. |
| Dated    | 20 - 2 - | 19 22.        |

**Not to be folded or roughly handled**

---

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,  
20th February 1869.*

---

Read again Proceedings of Government, dated 9th January 1869, No. 32.

No. 179. Read also the following letter from the Accountant General, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Fort Saint George, 29th January 1869, No. 92.

Examiner of Claims' Department.

Adverting to the Order of Government in the Public Department, dated 9th instant, No. 32, I have the honor to forward a Memorandum from the Examiner of Claims, stating fully the grounds upon which he considers the extra allowance proposed to be granted to Assistant Apothecary Baker to be inadmissible; also a Memorandum from the Controller of Military Accounts expressing the same opinion; and to state that I consider the views entertained by both of these Officers in respect to the Assistant Apothecary's claim to be correct, though I am aware of no rule positively prohibiting a Medical Subordinate who draws a staff allowance from receiving any addition to such allowance when acting in another office without vacating his own appointment.

---

No. 81.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

With reference to Proceedings of Government, dated 9th instant, No. 32, Public Department, the Examiner of Claims has the honor to state that the allowance of Rupees 30 a month is not a sum specially attached to any of the duties named in paragraph 21 of G. O. G. G., No. 550 of 1868, but is an uniform staff or employed allowance granted to certain Medical Warrant Officers of the establishments specified whose duties are varied in extent; so that it is the fact of being employed, not the extent of the duties, that gives a claim to the allowance.

2. In these circumstances, it is considered that the claim to double employed allowance is inadmissible as inconsistent with the spirit and intention of the order without a specific order of the Government of India, allowing the same.

3. The grant of additional pay to Apothecary Grove cannot form a precedent in the claim preferred on account of Apothecary Baker, as that claim was admitted before the publication of the G. O. G. G. above referred to, or it would have been similarly disallowed.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,  
26th January 1869.

(Signed) W. DONALD,  
*Examiner of Claims.*

*To the Accountant General, Fort Saint George.*

---

From the Controller of Military Accounts, to the Examiner of Claims, Fort Saint George; dated 27th January 1869, No. 839.

Your Memorandum, No. 83, dated 27th current.

2. This Department does not consider it to be the intention of paragraph 21 of G. O. G. G., No. 550 of 1868, that a second allowance of Rupees 30 should be granted to a Medical Warrant Officer temporarily employed on more than one of the duties specified therein.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,*

*20th February 1869.*

No. 180. ORDER THEREON, 20th February 1869, No. 231.

The Government learn from the Accountant General's letter, dated the 29th ultimo, that there is no rule positively precluding the grant to Assistant Apothecary C. W. Baker, of the allowance attached to the Native Infirmary.

2. The Infirmary is a separate charge with an allowance attached to it, which was not drawn by any one else; and as Assistant Apothecary Baker performed the duties, *in addition* to his own duties in the Leper Hospital, the Government are pleased to sanction the grant to him a moiety of the allowance due, from the 27th May to 16th October 1868.

(True Extract).

*Chief Secretary.*

To the Inspector General, Indian Medical Department.

„ Accountant General.

Exl. J. Wright.

Gort. of 1869 Madras.

PUBLIC.

To

THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL.

&c., &c., &c.

Dated February, 20th.

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 20th February 1869, No. 231.

FEBRUARY.

Nos. 179-180.

Sanctioning the grant of a moiety of the allowance attached to the Native Infirmary to Assistant Apothecary Baker, of the Leper Hospital, for his charge of the Infirmary.

Accountant General's Office,

No. 87.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,

26<sup>th</sup> January 1869.

OFFICIAL MEMO.

With reference to Proceedings of Government dated 9<sup>th</sup> instant No 32 Public Department, the Examiner of Claims has the honor to state that the allowance of No 30 a month is not a sum specially attached to any of the duties named in Para 21 of G. O. G. G. No 550 of 1868 but is an uniform Staff or Employed allowance granted to certain Medical Warrant Officers of the Establishment specified whose duties are varied in extent; so that it is the fact of being employed not the extent of the duties, that gives a claim to the allowance.

In these circumstances, it is considered that the claim to double employed allowance is inadmissible as inconsistent with the spirit and intention of the order and a specific order of the Government of India, allowing the same.

The grant of additional Pay to Apothecary have cannot form a precedent in the claim preferred on account of Apothecary Baker, as that claim was admitted before the publication of the G. O. G. G. above referred to, or it would have been similarly disallowed.

*H. Donald*  
Examiner of Claims.

To

The Accountant General

Fort Saint George.

No. 92

Fort Saint George,  
Accountant General's Office,  
29<sup>th</sup> January 1869

The ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,  
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.  
Chief Secretary to Government.

Adverting to the order of Government  
in the Public Department, dated 4<sup>th</sup> instant,  
No. 32, I have the honor to forward a Memo  
from the Examiner of Claims stating fully  
the grounds upon which he considers the  
Extra allowance proposed to be granted to  
Assistant Apothecary. Rather to be unwarlike,  
also a Memo from the Controller of Military  
Accounts expressing the same opinion, and  
to state that I consider the views entertained  
by both of these Officers in respect to the As-  
sistant Apothecary's claim to be correct, &  
that I am aware of no rule positively pro-  
hibiting a Medical Subordinate who draws  
a Staff allowance from receiving any addition  
to such allowance when acting in another  
office without vacating his own appointment.

I have the honor to be  
Sir  
Your most Obedient Servant

*Per J. H. H.*

J. R. Huntington  
Accountant General

For Cash 15/1/89.  
For Cash 15/1/89.

OFFICE OF CONTR. MILY. ACCOUNTS.

Fort Saint George.

From

The Controller of Military Accounts,

Fort St. George,

To

The Examiner of Claims

Dated 27 January

1869.

Received

No. 839

Enclosures

Yours of 12th 1868 dated  
27 Current.

This Department does  
not consider it to be the in-  
tention of para 21 of G.O.C.  
No. 550 of 1868 that a second  
allowance of Rs 30 should  
be granted to a Medical  
Warrant Officer temporarily  
employed on more than

Aug. 20 - Feb. 1869 and  
in Pub 151/69

By 19th January 1869 No. 3839

The 1869 Department

From Jan. 20 - Feb. 1869

The Accountant

1/10

The Chief Secretary  
to Govt.

27/29/69

21/1/69

20/9/69

Enclosure No. 2

Pub 151/69

with ref. to G.O.C.

1.9 - Dec. 1868, sub.

in the name of the  
Government of India showing  
fully the reasons why the  
double staff allowance  
is inadvisable. It is  
the order of the Secretary to Govt.

29/2/69  
27/1/69

Public Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

<sup>again</sup>  
Read the following letter <sup>Proceedings</sup>

of Government dated 9<sup>th</sup> January 1867 No 32.  
Read also, the following letter  
from the Accountant General (Here enters 29<sup>th</sup> Jan 1867 No 92)

Order thereon 20 February 1867 No 23

The Government  
learn from the Accountant  
General's letter, dated the  
29<sup>th</sup> Ultimo, that there is  
no rule positively pre-  
cluding the grant to  
Assistant Apothecary,  
C. W. Baker, of the allowance  
attached to the Native  
Infirmary.

2. The Infirmary  
is a separate charge  
with an allowance  
attached to it, which  
was

I doubt the  
propriety of this  
order being disposed  
to think that the  
view taken by the  
Examiner of Finance  
is correct. At all  
events I would  
not give sanction  
to the proposed  
allowance.  
The interest of the  
Apothecary Baker

was not drawn by any  
one else; and Assistant  
Apothecary Baker performed  
the duties, in addition  
to his own duties in  
the Lepers Hospital,  
the Government  
consider his claim  
reasonable, and direct  
that the allowance  
be, from the 27<sup>th</sup> May  
to 18<sup>th</sup> Octr/68, be paid  
to him -

1/1

might be allowed  
is a matter of the  
Staff Allowance  
attached to the  
charge of the  
Lepers Hospital  
in addition to the  
full Staff Allowance  
for the Lepers Hospital  
this is the rule in  
other analogous  
cases of one officer  
discharging the  
duties of two  
appointments.

A. A.  
W. M.

To the Accountant General.  
" Encls. Genl, and Encls. <sup>Sept 1/3</sup>  
separately

Port of 1861 Madras.  
Public

*Account Journal*  
*etc.*

Dated 20 Feb }  
Recl. 1861, No. 231

Nos. 174 178

in obtaining the grant of  
a moiety of the allowance  
attached to the 2nd class  
of the 1st class of the  
for the charge of the  
(infantry)