

1. Number of pages 44
2. Date of receipt 28.1.27
3. Initials of receipt

M.R.O. 1-50,000-16-10-25

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.

1800

Press
Mis.

Dated

16-9-27

1869

Not to be folded or roughly handled

16th September 1869.

Read the following papers :—

No. 99. From the President of the Mayaveram Municipal Commission, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Negapatam, 13th August 1869, No. 121.

With reference to the cost of the Police for the Mayaveram Municipality, which is noticed by Government at the end of paragraph 17 of their Proceedings, Public Department, dated 30th July 1869, No. 1065, I have the honour to forward a letter to my address from the Vice-President of the Mayaveram Municipal Commission on the same subject, for the consideration and orders of Government.

2. Mr. Burrows' letter is so full and clear, that I have nothing to add beyond that I fully concur with him in thinking that the total cost of the Police for the Mayaveram Municipality ought not to exceed 5056 Rupees per annum, and that I sincerely trust the Government will pass orders to that effect.

From L. R. BURROWS, Esq., Vice-President of the Mayaveram Municipal Commission, to the President of the Mayaveram Municipal Commission; dated Negapatam, 29th July 1869, No. 100.

By desire of the Commissioners for this Municipality I have the honour to lay before you a representation on the subject of the Police force maintained by the Municipality, and to request that you will be good enough to forward the same for the consideration and orders of the Government.

2. In the month of April 1868 I addressed a letter to the Assistant Superintendent of

Dated 6th April, No. 40.
Enclosure 1—copy.

* Vide letter, No. 48, dated 13th May 1868.
Enclosure 2—copy.

Police pointing out that the 4th Class Inspector of the Municipality was employed in looking after a great part of the Mayaveram taluq in addition to the town, and shortly after that, in May, * I wrote to you giving expression to the

opinion of the Commissioners on the subject of the Police force generally, that it was on a larger scale than was justly required, and that the expense of maintaining it on its then scale was seriously impairing the usefulness of the introduction of the Act, and I also requested that you would move the Government for the reduction of the force.

3. As the Deputy Inspector General of Police was then shortly expected to visit Mayaveram on circuit, no representation was then made to Government; but the matter was allowed to lie over, and it was understood that the whole subject was to be brought under his consideration.

4. On the 4th of June, Major Swanston, the Deputy Inspector General, and Captain Rolland, the Superintendent of Police, visited Mayaveram. An arrangement was made about the Inspector; the Commissioners were to pay as if for a 6th Class Inspector, the same officer being still retained to look after the Municipality and half the taluq as before, and I also pointed out to those officers that the Town force was in other respects larger than necessary, and that some of the Town Constables were employed in rural beats outside the Municipal limits. Consequent upon this, Major

† Enclosure 3—copy.

Swanston forwarded to me an estimate† for the Town Police, drawn up on a reduced scale, amounting to Rupees 4572, and showing an annual saving of Rupees 832 to the Commissioners.

5. On the 31st August, the estimates for the year, which had been returned for revision, were finally submitted for the sanction of the Government, and, amongst other alterations, the estimate for the Police was entered at Rupees 4572 as proposed by the Deputy Inspector General.

This amount was sanctioned by the Government in their Order, dated 9th October 1868, No.

‡ Enclosure 4.

1255,‡ a copy of which was forwarded to the Superintendent of Police, and the Commissioners reposed satisfied that they had at last got a

Police force on a scale suited to their wants and means.

6. In submitting the Police estimates for this year, however, the Police Superintendent reverted to the former scale of charges, and the amount for Police appeared at Rupees 6013-10-7, the only difference between this and former estimates being that a 6th Class and not a 4th Class Inspector was charged for.

7. Not being aware that the Order of Government above quoted had been neglected by the Police Department, the Commissioners protested* against what they imagined to be an increase of the force, and requested sanction for expenditure on the scale proposed by Major Swanston, plus contingent charges as shown in the margin.

* Vide enclosure 5.

Cost of Police.		RS.
Pay and allowances, including clothing, &c. ...	4,572	
Contingent charges, &c. ...	434	
Total...	5,056	

The estimate submitted by the Superintendent was Rupees... 6,013 10 7
Total of the Commissioners, Rs. 5,056 0 0
Less by Rupees... 257 10 7

8. The Government referred to the Acting Inspector General of Police the question whether there was any objection to the increase proposed to be made in the Police force for the town of Mayaveram being deferred for one year.† The Acting Inspector General replied that no increase in the strength of the Mayaveram Town Police was contemplated, and that, as regards the Order of Government, No. 1255, dated 9th October 1868, he had not, previous to the issue of this Order, received any communication proposing a reduction in the strength of the Mayaveram Town Police establishment, nor had the sanction of Government been obtained for the same.‡

† Vide enclosure 5.

‡ Enclosure 5.

9. In passing their Order, the Government saw no reason to alter Captain Rolland's estimates, as it appeared that the force estimated for by that officer accorded with sanctions previously granted.§

¶ Enclosure 5.

10. Under these circumstances, the Commissioners now submit, for the consideration of Government, what appear to them to be three valid reasons why the Mayaveram Town Police force should be reduced: they are—

11. *First.*—On the introduction of the Act, the strength of the Police at Mayaveram, which had not been felt to be insufficient, was very largely increased, as shown below, although the requirements of the public necessarily remained the same.

Heads.	Deputies.	Constables.	Total.	Remarks.
2	1	29	32	Before the Act was introduced.
3	3	38	44	Municipal Police force exclusive of the force at the Taluq station.

12. *Second.*—In proportion to the population the cost of Police is excessive. Supposing the proper charge for Police to be 3 Annas per head of the population, which the (Commissioners believe to be a sufficiently high rate,) then, for an annual charge of Rupees 6013, the population to be protected would be 32,072. By the census taken in Fusly 73 (year ending June 30th, 1867) it has been ascertained that the population dwelling within the limits of the Mayaveram Municipality is 17,025.¶ The cost of Police is thus 5½ Annas per head of the population, and, if to the amount of the Superin-

|| Enclosure 6.

tendent's estimate, be added ten per cent. for the Inspector General and his Deputy and the District Superintendent, the total cost is nearly 6 Annas per head of the population. The estimate of the population upon which the strength of the Police force appears to have been originally determined

* Vide enclosure No. 1 to letter from Magistrate of Tanjore to the Inspector General of Police, dated 27th January 1866.

Enclosure 7.

was 20,076.* As this is shown to be far too high by the recent census, the Commissioners trust that some corresponding reduction in the Police force may now be sanctioned by the Government.

13. *Third.*—Although “Mayaveram ranks last amongst the five Municipal towns in this district both as regards importance and the amount of its receipts and disbursements,” (vide the report† of the President of the Tan-

† Enclosure 8.

jore Municipalities on the working of the Act, dated 26th November 1867, No. 922,) yet this Municipality pays a far larger sum for Police than Mannargoody, a town which ranks above it both in importance and wealth. The tabular statement in the margin will show the amounts contributed for Police by Mayaveram and Mannargoody respectively since the introduction of the Act.

	Mayaveram.		Mannargoody.		Difference.	
	RS.	A. P.	RS.	A. P.	RS.	A. P.
Half-year ending 30th April 1867.....	2,769	0 8	2,100	9 6	668	7 2
Year ending 30th April 1868.....	5,946	6 8	4,479	2 1	1,467	4 7
Do. do. do. 1869...	4,731	4 10	4,042	13 6	688	7 4

14. It will be observed that, for the year ending 30th April 1868, Mayaveram contributed more by Rupees 1467-4-7 than Mannargoody; and that, even in the year ending 30th April 1869, it contributed more by Rupees 688-7-4 when the payments were made on the reduced scale proposed by Major Swanston, a scale which the Government have not as yet been pleased to sanction. The Commissioners maintain that, unless it can be shown that the population of Mayaveram is far more criminal than that of Mannargoody, there are no just reasons for this inequality.

15. The Commissioners have now adopted every means allowed by the Act for the collection of funds, and their gross receipts, compared with those of the Mannargoody Municipal Commissioners, who have neglected so many sources of income, (tax on houses, &c.,) will not furnish an index of the comparative wealth of the two communities.

16. The tabular statement given below shows the number of persons assessed under, and the amount derived from, the tax on arts and trades which is collected in both Municipalities. This appears to afford a fair comparison of the wealth and importance of the respective towns:—

B.

STATEMENT showing the collections and the number of persons assessed under the Arts and Trades' Tax in the Municipalities of Mayaveram and Mannargoody for the year 1868-69.

Tax in the Municipalities of Mayaveram and Mannargoody.												
Municipality.	Collections, and the number of persons assessed.										Total number of persons assessed, and total collections.	
	Class I.		Class II.		Class III.		Class IV.		Class V.			
	1	2		3		4		5		6		7
	No.	RS.	No.	RS.	No.	RS.	No.	RS.	No.	RS.	No.	RS.
Mayaveram	...	...	4	100	63	756	297	1,782	1006	2,012	1370	4,650
Mannargoody.....	...	...	14	350	66	792	391	2,346	1596	3,192	2067	6,680
											697	2,030
									Less in Mayaveram...			

17. It will be seen that there are 697 more persons in Mannargoody than in Mayaveram who are assessed to the arts and trades' tax, and that the collections are greater by Rupees 2030 in the former than in the latter. For every 100 persons in Mannargoody paying this tax there are only 66 in Mayaveram, and the receipts are in about the same proportions.

18. The Commissioners trust that they have now shown reasons for a reduction of the Police force to the scale proposed by Major Swanston last year, given in paragraph 7 above. If the Government should see fit to sanction this reduction, the savings would all be expended in new works and improvements; and, in a small town like Mayaveram, an increase of Rupees 800, or Rupees 1000 per annum in expenditure on improvements would be very distinctly felt and appreciated.

Referred to the Inspector General of Police, with reference to paragraph 17 of G. O., dated 30th July 1869, No. 1065.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
19th August 1869.

(Signed) H. E. STOKES,
Under Secy. to Govt.

No. 100. From the Inspector General of Police, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Madras, 1st September 1869, No. 5655.

Account.

With reference to paragraph 17 of G. O., No. 1065, dated 30th July 1869, and the letters (herewith returned) from the President and Vice-President of the Mayaveram Municipal Commission regarding the cost of the Police of that town, I have the honour to explain as follows.

2. The rough estimate for a reduced Police force, drawn up by Major Swanston, (vide paragraph 4 of the Vice-President's letter,) was never forwarded for the approval of the Inspector General of Police, and, consequently, never received sanction. The Superintendent of Police, Captain Rolland, appeared to consider that it was necessary to maintain the strength of Police originally estimated for.

3. The action of the Municipal Commissioners (paragraph 5 of the Vice-President's letter) was, therefore, irregular. They altered the Police estimate without official communication with the Superintendent of Police, (as required by law, Section 31, Act X. of 1865,) and led the Government to pass unknowingly a reduced estimate although no reduction of establishment had been sanctioned by Government. This was the source of the mistake.

4. The local Police officers now agree that a reduction may be made. I have, therefore, directed them to submit a revised estimate within the limit of 5056 Rupees proposed by the President of the Mayaveram Municipal Commission.

No. 101. ORDER THEREON, 16th September 1869, No. 1300.

In paragraph 17 of G. O., dated the 30th July last, No. 1065, the Inspector General of Police was requested to explain the cause of the very great difference between the estimate (Rupees 4572) and expenditure (Rupees 5574-5-9) of the Police force employed in the Mayaveram Municipality for the year 1868-69.

2. With reference to this requisition, the President of the Municipal Commission submits a letter from the Vice-President, in which he states the circumstances under which the cost of the Police was reduced in the estimate for 1868-69, and strongly urges that the cost should not exceed Rupees 5056 in future. The President concurs with the Vice-President.

3. The Inspector General of Police, to whom the foregoing letter was referred, explains that the rough estimate of the probable cost of the Mayaveram Police force drawn up by Major Swanston, Deputy Inspector General, and adopted by the Vice-President in the annual estimate without official communication with the Superintendent of Police, was not forwarded to him, and never received his sanction; and that this was the cause of the difference between the estimate and the expenditure in 1868-69.

4. The Government consider this explanation to be satisfactory, and will be prepared to sanction a reduction in the Mayaveram Police force on the Inspector General submitting the revised estimate which he has called for from the local officers.

(True Extract).

Acting Secy. to Govt.

To the President of the Mayaveram Municipal Commission.
,, Inspector General of Police.
,, Accountant General.

Exd, A. S. Rodgers,

Copy of Government Order
dated 18th May 1889. No 653.

"The President of the Mayan-
menam Municipality submits with
his letter above recorded, the estimates
of the probable receipts and disburse-
ments in that Municipality for the
year 1889/90. He also encloses the
original estimate from the Superin-
tendent of Police amounting to
Rs 11029. It appears from the letter
that the cost of Police has been in-
creased.

2. The President in his letter
summarizes the reasons which
induced the Superintendent of Police
to increase the cost. The Commis-
sioners and President are of
opinion that a larger force than
that hitherto maintained under
the sanction of Government is
unnecessary, and suggest that,
at

GOVT. OF INDIA

PUBLIC.

THE PRESIDENT OF THE MAYANMENAM
MUNICIPALITY.

Dated September, 1889.

Recd.

Encl.

G. O. 1889 September 1889, No. 1306.

1889, 20-10-1889.

Forwarding order with reference to the copy of
the notice for the Mayanmenam Municipality.

at all events, a trial be made for one year to ascertain the sufficiency of the force.

3. The Acting Inspector General of Police, to whom the President's letter was referred, states that no increase is contemplated in the strength of the Police, and he shows that the force estimated for by Captain Pollard accords with sanctions previously granted. Under these circumstances the Government see no reason for altering Captain Pollard's estimate, viz,

For pay and allowance	Rs. 5,166	a. p. - -
Clothing and accoutrements	559	10-7
Stationary and Contin-	288	- - -
gent Charges		
Total	6,013	10-7

The cost of erecting huts (Rupees 3016) will also be included in the Municipal estimate, but that

that (Rupees 2000) of building a hospital will be omitted.

4. In the taxes to be levied,

	Rs.	a.	p.	The Govt observe that
Rate on houses & buildings	2,980	-	-	the estimate receipts from the rate on houses and buildings, and the tax on trades and professions are less, as compared with the estimate for the year which has expired, while the receipts from tolls, the tax on carriages, &c, and the fees for the registration of carts and vehicles are greater.
Tax on trades &c	4,500	-	-	
Tolls	6,000	-	-	
Registration of Carts &c	325	-	-	
Tax on Carriages &c	640	-	-	
Fees for slaughter houses	30	-	-	
Offensive trades	20	-	-	
Cart stands	80	-	-	
Ground for temporary bazaars	200	-	-	
Land rates	275	-	-	
Fines	30	-	-	The Govt notice with much satisfaction that the Commissioners propose to carry out several minor improvements, and
Road cess	40	-	-	
Police fund	150	-	-	
Govt contribution	15,270	-	-	
	5,326	12	-	
Total	20,596	12	-	

Compare it with the estimate for the year which has expired, while the receipts from tolls, the tax on carriages, &c, and the fees for the registration of carts and vehicles are greater.

5. The Govt notice with much satisfaction that the Commissioners propose to carry out several minor improvements, and

and that the establishment allowed for the Conservancy of the town is on a liberal scale.

6. By the adoption of Captain Pollard's estimate for the Police

	Rs	as	p
Repairs	3775	..	..
Conservancy	3788	..	..
Police	5907	..	..
Establishment	9029	10	7
	1833	55	..
Total	24335	..	..

and but the Municipal expenditure will be increased from Rs 20,360 to Rs 24,325. The receipts will

also be increased from Rs 20,360 to Rs 21,350 by an addition of Rs 990-12- to the State Contribution. As the difference (Rs 2973) between the receipts and expenditure is more than can probably be met from the balance at the end of the last official year, the Government resolve to return the estimates to the President of the Municipal Commission for revision.

7. In the meantime the Notification respecting the taxes as well as the list of Commissioners will be published in the Fort Saint George Gazette.

(True Extract)

J. R. Sumner,
Vice President.

Recd. 1890 97 1864
Jan. 16. 1895/1896 & 1899

Enclosure No. 5.

List of Donors received to the Arts and Trades Fair in the Anniversary Mini
Celebration during the Municipal Year 1888/1889.

Places	Number of Persons.	Amount	
		No.	Re.
Under Class II	14	350	"
1 st — III	66	792	"
2 nd — III	391	2,346	"
3 rd — IV	1,546	3,192	"
Total	2,067	6,680	"
(Signed) A. Trimmer, Don.			
Vice President.			
(True copy)			
J. H. Hume			
Vice President			

Enclosure No 8.

Extract from a report written by the President of the Municipal Commissions of Tanjore to Government dated 26th November 1887, No 922 and printed in Govt Proceedings, Public Department dated 31st December 1887, No 1629.

"Mayaveram ranks last amongst the five Municipal Towns in this District, both as regards importance and the amount of its receipts and disbursements."

(True Extract)

J. N. Suman,
Vice President.

Enclosure No 8

See Pub. 1889, 1904
Nov. 1889, 1904.

1151
List showing the names of towns containing more than 5000 inhabitants in the District of Tanjore.

	Names of towns.	Number of population
1.	Tanjore, including the fort and suburbs . .	36,186
2.	Tirvadi	5,476
3.	Vallam	6,258
4.	Kiyampettai	5,235
5.	Chidambaram, including suburbs . .	35,526
6.	Vadangimur	6,384
7.	Mayanuram, including Kōnad & suburbs . .	20,076
8.	Eranguebar, including Porayar & suburbs .	14,449
9.	Nagore	13,221
10.	Negapatam, including suburbs . .	20,362
11.	Tirvalore	7,071
12.	Mamangudi	17,793
13.	Thiatti	5,312

Tanjore (Signed) G. L. Morris
27th January 1863. Magistrate.

(True Extract).

Mayanuram }
Municipal Office }

J. A. Burns
Vice President

Enclosure 1151 83

Prescribed by the
Act 1890 of 1884

On Clarence May 7

Received of \$100.00

for Pub. 1890 of 1889

Vol. Out. 1890/91

List of Population as computed in Encli 1278 in the Mayanaram Taluk.

No.	Names of villages &c.	Population as per accounts received from villages -						Total.
		Hindus		Mahomedans		Christians.		
		Male.	Female	Male.	Female	Male.	Female.	
	Within the Municipal limits of Mayanaram	8,025	8,188	164	169	220	259	17,025
	Remaining portion of Taluk	80,80	82,462	3,702	3,985	3,237	3,361	176,827
	Total	88,105	90,650	3,866	4,154	3,457	3,620	193,852
	Detailed statement of the population within the Municipal limits							
1	Mayanaram	366	368	"	"	"	"	734
2	Puthamanguluni	1,095	1,096	"	"	22	17	2,230
3	Kylacandan Kivil	19	17	"	"	"	"	36
4	Madadanaapuram Kelpit	328	354	14	10	"	"	706
5	P. Chinnor K. K. K.	532	546	7	6	43	42	1,130
6	Kumarakutthi	180	184	9	6	18	14	411
7	Madadanaapuram Kelpit	286	338	"	"	"	"	624
8	Tanjachani	22	18	"	"	"	"	40
9	Kornad	3,301	3,303	131	140	122	172	7,169
10	Agara Koorangudy	104	112	"	"	"	"	216
11	Tittakelad	275	287	"	"	10	10	582
12	Natalalagarani	369	375	"	"	"	"	744
13	Kovilpatthi	128	116	"	"	"	"	244
14	Tondangudy	31	30	"	"	"	"	61
15	Tennilam Kove	985	1,040	3	3	5	4	2,040
16	K. Balukkinimuttam	4	4	"	"	"	"	8
	Total	8,025	8,188	164	169	220	259	17,025

Translation
(True Copy)

V. R. S. S. S.
Vice President.

Extract from a letter written by the Past President of the
Municipal Commission Mayaguez to the President
of the Municipal Commission of Puerto Rico Dated 19th May 1898
No 48 -

The charge which most troubles the
members of the Commission is that for
Police. It will be seen that it amounts
to \$6,500 per year - This is more
than half of the amount estimated for the
Police and nearly three times as much as the
Government Contribution - The Commissioners
have long felt that the burden of supporting
the present Police establishment, is greater
than they can bear - On a comparatively poor
people like Mayaguez, the wish to
commit itself to pay so high a rate for
protection - The charge at present amounts
to almost 8. as per head of the population
for a year -

Don't know No 6.

San Juan 1898

San Juan 1898

San Juan 1898

6. The Commissioners most earnestly request
that the Government will be pleased to reduce
this excessive charge on Police to a scale more
proportionate to the wants & means of the Municipality. The Commissioners are of opinion
that the Police force might safely be reduced
by one third and a proportionate saving be made
in the cost of maintenance. This sum of
Rs. 2000 & odd could be then most beneficially
expended in new works & repairs. The Com-
missioners are of opinion that the introduction
of the Municipal Act for Nagpur will
continue to be a most unprofitable burden
to the people as long as the Municipal funds are
addled with this excessive charge on Police.

(True Extract)

L. N. Chavhan
Vice President

Original No. 10

No. 40

6th April/88

from

The Vice President

Municipal Commission

Mayanaram

The Assistant Superintendent

of Police

In accordance with res-

olution No 2 passed at a meeting of

Commissioners of the Municipality

of Mayanaram on the 3rd of March/88,

I have the honor to inform you

that the Tahsildar has been ordered

to stop the sum of Rs 37 1/2 out of the

Municipal Police Inspectors pay

for last month and hold the same

in deposit.

The reason for this extra-

ordinary course of proceeding on the

part of the Commissioners is that

the Inspector was absent from

Mayanaram

Enclosure No 2

du. Encl. 1890-91
May 16, 1891

Mayaram for fifteen consecutive days last month on duty in the Taluk. This is no new thing nor is this the first time that the Commissioners have raised objections to it. The Inspector is in charge of half the Mayaram Taluk in addition to the Municipality and although the Municipality pay the whole of his salary, they have the benefit of only half his services. The Commissioners in taking the present step have no wish to deprive the Inspector of this portion of his pay, but in the interests of the rate-payers of this Municipality they can no longer acquiesce in the present arrangement made by the Police Authorities. and it is their sole object to raise the question whether the present arrangement should stand or not. On a satisfactory solution of this question the Commissioners will

will gladly order the sum held in deposit representing 15 days pay to be handed over to the Inspector although he was not on duty in the Municipality during those fifteen days, and is not of strict right entitled to receive it from the Municipal Funds.

3. I have the honor to inform you further that the Commissioners in future will stop the Inspector's pay every day he is not on duty in the Municipality until this question is authoritatively settled.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant

(Signed) L. R. Burrows.
Vice President.

(True Copy)

J. R. Burrows
Vice President.

Copy of Government Order dated
9th October 1868, No 1255-

"Under the circumstances ex-
plained by the President of the
Mayaneran Municipality in the
foregoing letter, the Governor in Council
sanctions the revised estimates now
submitted in lieu of those approved
in the G. O. of the 31st August last,
No 1078-

It appears from the revised
estimates that the Commissioners of the
Mayaneran Municipality propose
to expend, during the year ending
the 30th April 1869 Rupees 2,130, viz:-

Works and improvements	3984
Repairs	5909
Conservancy	5088
Police	4572
Establishment	7510

Total Rs 21,010

Enclosure No 1

San. No. 142/1869 & 1869
The C. & L. 1869/1869

For purposes other than those
specified in Section 25 } 290

Total 2,300

3. To meet this expenditure it is
intended to raise by the levy of taxes;

Ration houses $\frac{1}{2}$	Rs. 3,000	Tolls, fees, &c.
Carriage trades $\frac{1}{2}$	5200	Rs 15,260, which
Tolls	5500	with the
Registration of carts $\frac{1}{2}$	200	balance from
Carriage carriages $\frac{1}{2}$	600	last year
Fees for licensing slaughter houses 20		(Rs 95 $\frac{1}{2}$) and
for offensive trades 50		the Govt
Ground rent 200		Contribution
Land rates 250		of Rs 5,252 $\frac{1}{2}$
Fines 100		(not Rs 5,086)
Road cess 80		places at
Cart stands 60		the disposal
Total 15,260		of the Commissioners a sum of

Rs 2,466 $\frac{1}{2}$, or Rs 166 $\frac{1}{2}$ in excess of
the expenditure for the year to be
incurred.

4. It is observed that it is
intended to build a Municipal
Cutcherry at an estimated outlay
of Rs 2,450, and to make up 20
Carts

Ann. 16. Sept 1892 of 1899
du. 1896, 1897-1899

Enclosure No. 4.

169

7) 1) 2) 3) 4) 5) 6) 7) 8) 9) 10) 11) 12) 13) 14) 15) 16) 17) 18) 19) 20) 21) 22) 23) 24) 25) 26) 27) 28) 29) 30) 31) 32) 33) 34) 35) 36) 37) 38) 39) 40) 41) 42) 43) 44) 45) 46) 47) 48) 49) 50) 51) 52) 53) 54) 55) 56) 57) 58) 59) 60) 61) 62) 63) 64) 65) 66) 67) 68) 69) 70) 71) 72) 73) 74) 75) 76) 77) 78) 79) 80) 81) 82) 83) 84) 85) 86) 87) 88) 89) 90) 91) 92) 93) 94) 95) 96) 97) 98) 99) 100)

[illegible]

Estimate for reducing the strength of the Mayanvian Police Force -

7th May 2018.

68/9-
 7/14-28/1-1969

July 1863. 15.
Fort Sumter - George
19. August 1863 }

De Carterman O

The President
of the Municipal
Commission
Honorable

To
The Chief Secretary
to Government
Madras

Date 13²
Rec^o 16²
3 August 1869

501300
No 121
Enclosures 106
No 1840

Forwarding a letter
from the Vice President
of the Mayaguez
Municipality on the
subject of the cost
of the Police in that
town. —

Reverend

Nov. 16. 1890
de Antib. 1890

29

Public Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter papers.

From the President of the Municipal Commission, Mayavaram. } (Here enter 13th Aug^r 1889. No 121)
" Inspector General of Police. (" 1st Sept^r 1889. No 5655)

Order thereon. N^o 13572 ^{the} 16th September 1889.

W. A. A.

In paragraph 17 of G. O. dated the 30th July last, N^o 1065 the Inspector General of Police was requested to explain the cause of the very great difference between the estimate ^(N^o 572) and expenditure (Rs. 5574 - 5 - 9) of the Police force employed in the Mayavaram Municipality for the year 1888/89.

2. With reference to this ^{information} the President of the Municipal Commission submits a letter from the

the Vice President in which he states the circumstances under which the cost of the Police was reduced in the Estimate for 1868/69, and strongly urges that the cost should not exceed Rupees 5050 in future. The President concurs with the Vice President.

3. The Inspector General of Police, to whom the foregoing letter was referred, explains that the rough estimate of the probable cost of the Mayavaram ^{Police force} drawn up by Major Swinerton, Deputy Inspector General, and adopted by the Vice President in the ^{annual} Estimate, without official communication with the Superintendent of Police, was not forwarded to him, and never received his sanction, and that this was the cause of the difference

(21)
difference between the Estimate and the Expenditure in 1868-69.

4. The Government considers this explanation to be satisfactory, and will be prepared to sanction a reduction in the Mayavaram Police force on the Inspector General submitting the Revised Estimate which he has called for from the local officers.

The President of the Mayavaram
Municipal Commission }
" Inspector General of Police }
" Acct General } desd 22/9

Govt. of 186 1861

Public

To

the

Wm. A. Chapman

copy

No. 2188

Dated 16 Sept 1861

ed.

Nos. 99-101.

Referring orders with
reference to the cash
of the Police for the
Magistrate's Bureau