

1. Number of pages.
2. Date of repair.
3. Initials of Referee.

M.R.O. 1-20,000-10-10-20.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No.	837	Press ~~Mis.~~
Dated	13-7-	1867.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,

13th July 1867.

No. 146. Read the following letter from the Acting Collector of Vizagapatam, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Vizagapatam, 29th June 1867, No. 436.

Difficulties having arisen in the collection of Municipal tax from travellers or temporary sojourners visiting this station, I have the honor to submit the following query, and request that an answer thereto may be furnished at your earliest convenience :—

Query.—A traveller entering the Municipal limits pays toll, of course, for his animals and vehicles under Section 51 of the Act. He chooses to stay here, say for a fortnight or more. Is he then liable or not to the bi-ennial tax for the said animals and vehicles under Section 58 ?

2. Several cases of the above description have occurred in which the parties refused to pay, on the ground that they were not permanent residents.

3. The Act, as I read it, makes no distinction; but as the public look for something definite on the subject, I submit the question.

No. 147. ORDER THEREON, 13th July 1867, No. 837.

The question referred in this letter should be disposed of by the Municipal Commissioners, who can best judge whether the class of persons described can equitably be assessed.

2. Any defects found in Act X of 1865 should be reported to Government, with a view to the future amendment of the Act. But the interpretation of the law must be left to the Courts, to which persons aggrieved can apply for redress.

(True Extract).

Acting Chief Secretary.

To the President, Municipal Commission of Vizagapatam.
,, President of the Municipal Commission.

Ed. J. Tulloch.

2. Several cases of the above description have occurred in which the parties refused to pay on the ground that they were not permanent residents.

The Act, as I read it, makes no distinction; but, as the public look for something definite on the subject, I submit the question.

I have the honor to be

Sir
Your most obedient servant

W. H. Master

Ag. Collector

Public Department

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following
letter from the 29 Collector of
Tiruchapattam.

Received 29 June 1867
Order 13 July 1867 No 837.

The question referred
in the letter should be
disposed of by the Chief
Commissioner, who
can best judge whether
the class of persons described
can equitably be assessed.

2. Any defects found in
Act X of 1865 should be
reported to Government with
a view to the future amend-
ment of the Act. But
the interpretation of the
Law must be left to the
Courts to which persons as-
sessed can address their
N.

The President of the Legislative Council
To the President of all
other Legislative Councils } despatch

1867
Public Department

From
29
THE COLLECTOR
OF
TIRUCHAPATTAM

To
The Chief Secretary
to Government
for Madras
Dated 29 June 1867
Received 5 July 1867

No. 436

Requesting early
reply to the within men-

tion & regarding the
amendment of the
law to the Tiruchapattam

will be in order

The Government decline to express opinion
as to the interpretation of the Act; the Com-
missioner must decide. There are just-
ment in disposing of difficulties at
that now suggested if it always should
be done, evidence themselves against
happy the proper course for action, &
it is the letter that the interpreta-
tion of acts of legislation stands.

20 July 1867

Public 1862 Department.

No. 0227

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT.

Dated 13th July 1862.

14.6.147