

1. Number of pages.
2. Date of issue.
3. Initials of Officer.

M.R.O. 1-32 400-22-11-80

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No.	703	Press
		Mis.
Dated	18-6-	1867.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

N^o 23

Salem Magistrate's Office
Camp Mulluv. Salem Taluk
8th May 1867.

From

C. N. Pochin Esquire,
Magistrate of Salem

To

The Honorable A. J. Arbutnot,
Chief Secretary to Government
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to para 6 of Mr Arbutnot's
letter dated 20th June 1866 N^o 39, embodied in the
Proceedings of Government dated 3rd October 1866,
I have the honor to forward the draft Notifi-
cation prepared in the form annexed to the
Proceedings of Government dated the 9th May 1866
N^o 471, showing the names of the several Towns,
villages &c comprised within the Municipal
limits of the Town of Maniyambadi. The Notifica-
tion shows the boundary of the Town, the names
of the persons proposed to be appointed as Mu-
nicipal Commissioners, the number and cost
of the Town Police, and the sum to be raised
for some of the purposes specified in Section
25 of the Towns Improvement Act.

2.

It will be seen from the Notifi-
cation that Wozyardrum one of the villages

Enclosure 1

of North Arcot District is included within the limits of the Wanigambadi Town. The late District Magistrate inspected the boundary of the Town in company with the Head Assistant and Assistant Superintendent of Police and was of opinion that unless the village of Wooyandrum is included within the new Town, the boundary circle will not be complete, and that disputes would be sure to arise, as the streets intersect one another. Though this village belongs to the North Arcot district, it really is within the natural limits of Wanigambadi and is separated from the rest of the Chittoor District by the river Palur. An application has been made to the Magistrate of North Arcot as to whether he has any objecting reasons for the transfer of the village in question to this District, and on the receipt of a reply, I shall ask sanction of Government for the transfer.

3. With regard to the boundary of the proposed Municipality, I beg to observe that as it is impossible in some cases to run the boundary up to any natural and

Enclosure 2.

stone or brick pillars as marks. For this purpose I have allowed Rs 50 in the estimate.

4. Some difficulty has been felt in the nomination of persons to fill the place of Commissioners as the inhabitants are somewhat behind the rest of the large Towns of the District. Though Wanigambadi is a large Town consisting of a population of 11 to 12,000, some of whom are very wealthy, yet there is scarcely any one there possessed of the intelligence or education required to qualify them for the office of Commissioner. I am therefore obliged to include in the list of Commissioners the Sub Magistrate of the Wanigambadi Town. My Head Assistant Magistrate who will be the Vice-President of the Municipal Commissioners of the Town will not always be present at the Meetings, as his head quarters are at Tripattur a distance of some 15 miles. There should therefore be some one of the Commissioners competent to conduct the Proceedings of the meeting during his absence and no one I can suggest as being more fit than the present Sub Magistrate. Mr. Brandt and Bliss my late Dy. Head Assistant Magistrates recommended that the police

Inspector of the place should also be included in the list of Commissioners. I am of opinion that no police officer below the rank of a Superintendent or Assistant Superintendent should be made Commissioners under the Act, and I have therefore not thought it proper to include the police Inspector among the members.

5. It will be seen from the Notification that 25 Policemen are allowed for the Town at an annual cost of Rs 2899 including their clothing. The late District Magistrate and Captain Hankin late Superintendent of this District were of opinion that this number is absolutely necessary for a place like Warrangal whose chief population consist of the Subbari Caste and that if a European Head Constable was employed no Inspector would be necessary. I also share in their opinion and have not therefore provided for an Inspector in the estimate.

6. From enclosure No 2 it will be seen that the estimated expenditure for the year ending 30th June 1868 amounts to Rs 7477.

1. Cost of constructing 4 latrines at 250 Rs each 1000
2. Cost of erecting substantial stone boundary mark showing the Municipal limits 50
3. Cost of constructing 10 scavenging carts at 50 Rs each 500
1550

being the amount required to be laid out during the first year only of the introduction of the act the net expenditure for each year amounts to Rs 5877.

7. Mr Bliss after consulting with the proposed Commissioners informs me that they are all unanimously of opinion that the tax on trades and houses should only be levied for the present and that the levying of the other taxes provided for in the act may be introduced by and by. I agree in this opinion and accordingly request the government will be pleased to legalize the levying of the two kinds of taxes referred to for the present.

8. From enclosure No 3 it will be seen that the Commissioners propose raising for some of the purposes specified in Section 25 of the Act Rs 4840 of which Rs 1250 will be on houses and lands at 5 per cent on their annual value and Rs 3590 on the trades and professions of the 5 classes of persons under Schedule A of the Act. With the government grant of 25 per cent there will be annually according to the estimate in the hands of the Commissioners the sum of Rs 6010 for carrying out the Municipal schemes.

9. There has been some delay in the submission of this report to Government owing to a reference made to the Magistrate of North Arcot regarding the transfer of the village referred to in para 2 of my report. But as I have not been favored with a reply yet, I have submitted this report under the presumption that there will be no objection to the transfer proposed.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedt Servt,

P. H. Purnia

Magistrate.

Compd Lt.

4.

Recd the following letter from the
Hon. the Municipal Commissioner, London
on 14th May 1891.

Ex. des. Marion

In the preceding letter
the major part of the sum
of \$100,000, has been paid
for the purchase of the
Towns Improvement Act
as the sum of \$100,000
2. The purchase of
the land, the purchase of
the year ending the 30th
June 1881, of this sum
the sum of \$100,000 is to be expended
in the purchase of the land
the sum of \$100,000 is to be expended
in the purchase of the land
the sum of \$100,000 is to be expended
in the purchase of the land

Chrysomelidae

303 October 1866 Nov 13 1866
" " " " 12 C 15

1807. *Prodr. 18² with
Pallasi Ophiurinae*

~~Write 28 July.~~

of course
The Magazine for
of March
The Chief Secretary to Govt.
Madras.

Dated 8th May 1867
 Received 13 —

228.

Enclosure
No. 663
Lambert

respect for the will
of the Supreme Disposer
lies in the known
of a di-

purpose to raise the sum
of Rs 4890 by rates on
houses, buildings, &c, and
by a tax on carts and
propessions, this sum with
a grant equal to 25 per
cent to be contributed by
Government will amount
to Rs 6112.8.

4. The tabular State-
ments of receipts and
expenditure have been so
carelessly drawn up, that
they involve ~~some~~ ^{many} contradictions
that the Government are
not in a position to pass
final orders on the subject.
The papers will be returned
to the Magistrate for re-
vision, and for a trans-
mission without delay.

5. The attention of the
Magistrate is particularly
drawn to the following
remarks; in the Tabular
Statement of Receipts;
the sum total is wrongly
given as Rs 4840, there
being a mistake in the

the simple addition of the
figures; in the Statement
of estimated expenditure
the sum of Rs 1440 which
is to be devoted to the pay-
ment of scavenging Estab-
lishment has been included
in the Police Establishment,
so that it would appear
that the latter is to cost Rs
3990, whereas the actual
cost is to be Rs 2550: again,
the total estimated ex-
penditure is given in
enclosure No II as Rs 6086.9,
if correctly added the items
show a total of Rs 7426.9-7, while in the
Magistrate's letter (para 6)
it is stated that it will
be seen from enclosure
No 2 that the estimated
expenditure amounts to
Rs 7427, so that the letter
and the tables do not
correspond. Again there
is an arithmetical

the correctness of statements bearing his signature, and of guarding against the recurrence of such a waste of the time of Government.

mistake in the calculation of the pay of seven constables at Rs 13-13-4, and ~~monthly~~ ~~and adding~~ the ~~constables' own figures~~, the total amount at the disposal of the Com-missioners will be Rs 6050 and not Rs 6010.

+ i.e. Rs 4840 + (25 p/c) 1210 = 6050.
If the correct total be taken it is 4890 + (25 p.c.) 1222 - 8 - - = 6112 - 8 - -
[We omitted in letter sent]

6. It is further observed that while the sum given as the Total Receipts, viz 4890, together with the Government grant of Rs 1222 - 8 - - amounts to Rs 6112 - 8 - -, the expenditure is estimated at Rs 7427: nor do the Government understand why the sum of Rs 1550 which it is proposed to expend during the present year should be deducted from the present year's expenditure.

7. The Governor's Council must unpre-



To the Honorable Mr. [Name] Secretary, Government of India

1867 July 2

1867 . Department.

No.

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT.

Dated, 1867 .

~~2448~~ 979-