

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Rublee DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	680	Press ~~Mis.~~
Dated	13-6-	1867

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,
13th June 1867.*

No. 137. Read the following letter from the Acting Magistrate of Malabar, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Calicut, 7th June 1867, No. 60.

In paragraph 3 of G. O., dated 17th May 1867, No. 561, sanction is accorded for the levy of the rates and taxes specified in my letter of the 10th May last, No. 49, only for the half-year ending 30th April 1867, or from 1st November 1866; while in G. O., dated 31st October 1866, No. 1116, it was directed that the Act should be considered as having been introduced into Palghaut from 1st August 1866, and that the amount to be levied and expended should be regulated accordingly.

2. This latter Order has been acted on, and the collections are being realized, and accounts prepared, in accordance with it.

3. I do not believe that Government have any intention to cancel that Order, although the one recently received limits the operation of the Act in the Palghaut Municipality to six months, instead of to nine, during the year ending 30th April 1867.

4. I have the honor to request that Government will be pleased to sanction the levy of the rates and taxes referred to in my letter quoted above also during the three months from 1st August to 31st October 1866.

No. 138. ORDER THEREON, 13th June 1867, No. 680.

The Government observe, from the Notifications published in the Proceedings of Government noted in the margin, that the amount to be raised and expended in the Towns of Calicut and Palghaut was to be raised for the year ending on the 30th April 1867, and that, subsequently, it was declared that the Act was to be considered as having been introduced into Palghaut on the 1st August 1866; but that in the case of Cochin and Tellicherry it was distinctly stated, in the Notifications declaring the introduction of the Act into those Towns, that the taxes were to be levied for the half-year ending on the 30th April 1867.

2. It is also observed that the Acting Collector did not, in his letter of the 10th May 1867, draw attention to the fact that the Municipal Act had been introduced on different dates in the four Municipalities.

3. With regard to Calicut and Palghaut there will be no difficulty in notifying that the Act shall be considered to have taken effect from the 1st August last, but the Government are unable to give retrospective effect to the Act in Cochin and Tellicherry, when the Notifications of the 15th October and 13th September 1866 distinctly stated that the sums therein sanctioned to be raised and expended should be so raised during the half-year only, ending on the 30th April 1867.

4. The following Notification will, accordingly, be published:—
NOTIFICATION.

Fort Saint George, 13th June 1867.

With reference to the Notifications published in the Proceedings of Government, dated 3rd July 1866, Nos. 664 and 665, and with reference to the G. O.,

Malabar Magistrate's Court
Palghat 7 June 1867

N^o 60.

From

J. C. Nanayngton Esq.
Acting Magistrate of Malabar

To

The Honble A. J. Arbuthnot
Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

In para 3 of G.O. dated 17th May 1867 N^o 561, sanction is accorded for the levy of the rates and taxes specified in my letter of the 10th May last N^o 49, only for the half year ending 30th April 1867; or from 1st November 1866; while in G.O. dated 31st October 1866 N^o 1116, it was directed that the Act should be considered as having been introduced into Palghat from 1st August 1866 and that the amount to be levied and expended should be regulated accordingly.

This latter order has been acted on and the collections are being realized and accounts prepared in accordance with it.

I do not believe that Government have any intention to cancel that order, although the one recently received limits the operation of the Act in the Palghat Municipality.


to six months instead of to 9 during the
year ending 30th April 1867.

I have the honor to request that Govern-
ment will be pleased to sanction the
levy of the rates and taxes referred to in my
letter quoted above, also during the three months
from 1st August to 31st October 1866.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most O^bt servant,



Actg Magistrate.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter
from the Ag. Magr. of Malabar
(Here enter - 1st June 1867 - No. 680.)

Order thereon - 13 June 1867 N: 680.

The Government observe
from the Notifications published
in the Proceedings of Government
noted in the margin that the
summit to be raised and ex-
pended in the Towns of Calicut
and Palghat was to be raised
for the year ending on the 30th
April 1867, and that such-
sequently it was declared that
the Act was to be considered
as having been introduced
into Palghat on the 1st August
1866, but that in the case of
Cochin and Tellicherry it was
distinctly stated in the Notification
declaring the introduction of
the Act into those Towns, that
the taxes were to be levied for
the half year ending on the 30th
April, 1867.

Proc. of Govt. 15th Sept. 1866 - No. 684.
- do - do - " 685.

Wm

Proc. of Govt. 15th Sept. 1866 - No. 1053.
- do - do - 13th Sept. 1866 - " 925.

Proc. of Govt. 15th Sept. 1866 - No. 1053.
- do - do - 13th Sept. 1866 - " 925.

Public Department

Ag. Magr. of Malabar

Chief Secretary
to Government

Madras

10 June 1867

No. 680

Requesting that the two
summits to be collected
in S. & P. may for 1867 may
be ordered to be collected
in August instead of
November, 1866.

2. It is also observed that the Acting Collector did not, in his letter of the 10th May 1867, draw attention to the fact that the Municipal Act had been introduced on different dates in the four Municipalities.

3. With regard to Calicut and Palghat there will be no difficulty in notifying that the Act shall be considered to have taken effect from the 10th August last, but the Government are unable to give retrospective effect to the Act in Cochin and Tellicherry when the notifications of the 15th March and 13th September 1866 distinctly stated that the sums therein sanctioned to be raised and expended should be so raised during the half year only, ending on the 30th April 1867.

4. The following Notification will accordingly be published.

Notification.

With reference to the Notifications published in the Proceedings of Government dated 3rd July 1866. Nos 664-665, and with

reference

reference to the G.O. dated 17th May 1867. No 561. The Governor in Council in accordance with the provisions of Section 36 of Act X of 1865 (Madras) authorizes the Commissioners for the Town of Calicut and Palghat to levy for the purposes of the said Act the various rates, tolls, and fees specified in the 1st and 2nd paragraphs of the Order of Government dated May 17th 1867. No 561. for the nine months commencing on the 1st August 1866 and ending on the 30th April 1867.

This Notification supersedes so much of the last mentioned Order of Government as authorizes the Commissioners for the Town of Calicut and Palghat to levy the said rates and taxes for the half year only ending on the 30th April 1867.

7B.

The Magistrate of Malabar &
Suptd General of Police }
Gazette 14/6

Mr.
Amos 1864. Department.

No. 680

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT.

Dated, 13th June 1867.

133-188