

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	<i>41</i>	Press ~~Mis.~~
Dated	<i>10-1-</i>	<i>1867.</i>

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,
10th January 1867.*

No. 97. Read the following letter from J. FRASER, Esq., President of the Municipal Commission, Cocanada, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George; dated Cocanada, 5th December 1866, No. 15.

I beg to state that within the Municipal limits of Cocanada there is a great deal of prickly-pear, which the Commissioners are doing their best to get rid of.

2. On all private property such is being removed by degrees by the owners, who have had notice given them as provided in Section 132 of the Towns' Improvement Act. But there is a good deal on ground within the limits which is the property of Government, and some also on ground which is a portion of the town site, and is not private property, nor yet Municipal under Section 11 of the Act. How is the removal of the weed on these sites to be effected? Should I be justified in doing this at the sole cost of Government?

3. Requesting the favor of an early reply.

Transferred to the Public Department.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
5th January 1867.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

No. 98. ORDER THEREON, 10th January 1867, No. 41.

Section 132 of the Act does not apply to lands which belong to the State and are unoccupied. Mr. Fraser will submit an estimate of the probable cost of eradicating the cactus on the lands to which he refers, and the propriety of making a grant for the purpose from the Imperial Treasury will then be considered.

(True Extract).

Chief Secretary.

To the President of the Municipal Commission, Cocanada.

No 15

Municipal Office
Cocanada 5th December
1866

From

James Fraser Esq.

President of the Municipal
Commissioners
Cocanada

To

J. D. Smith Esq.

Revenue Secretary to Government
Fort Saint George

Sir

I beg to state that within the
Municipal limits of Cocanada there is a
great deal of Prickly pear, which the
Commissioners are doing their best to get
rid of.

2. On all private property such is
being removed by degrees by the owners,
who have had notice given them as
provided in section 132 of the Towns
Improvement Act. But there is a good
deal on ground within the limits which
is the property of Government, and some
also

also on ground which is a portion of
the town site, and is not private pro-
perty - nor yet Municipal under
section ~~II~~ of the Act. How is the
removal of the weed on these sites
to be effected? Should I be justified
in doing this at the sole cost of
Government.

3. Requesting the favor of an
early reply.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your most obedient servant
J. Fraser.
President

End.
L. Venkatakrishnaiah
Clerk.

Received
 15th Dec 1881
 1881

8/1/81 97.98

Dec 1881
 10th Dec 1881
 10th Dec 1881

From the President of the
 Municipal Council
 to the Secretary
 of the Council
 regarding instructions
 regarding the cost for
 the removal of Rickety
 houses on the Government
 grounds & at the town
 site -

10th Dec 1881

10th Dec 1881

Let 132 of the Act be
 out at 100 to the Council
 which belong to the Act
 and are unnecessary
 has been well shown
 our estimate of the cost
 of the work of erecting
 the cost on the land
 which he refers and
 the probability of making
 a grant for the purchase
 from the Council he
 may well then be con-
 sidered.

Received of the Council 10th Dec 1881