

1 12
2. Date of rep. 27 10-36
3. In the
M.R.O. 1-80,000-16-10-36

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	1135	Press ~~Mis.~~
Dated	11-9-	1967.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

[Handwritten signature]

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,
11th September 1867.*

No. 117. Read the following letter from the Municipal Commissioners for the Town of Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Madras,—September 1867.

We have the honor to acknowledge receipt of the Order of Government, No. 1060, dated 28th ultimo, on our letter of 19th idem, and with reference to paragraphs 2 and 3 to solicit a re-consideration of our application for permission to open up the Ameer Baugh Parcherry, Mount Road, under the provisions of Section 99 of Act IX of 1865, instead of Section 79, as directed by Government.

2. We would respectfully submit that such would, in all probability, become a dangerous precedent, and from the expense attending it prevent our carrying out similar improvements in other crowded Parcheries, of which there are a vast number within the Municipal limits.

3. That the openings proposed to be made in the Ameer Baugh Parcherry cannot be considered as public streets or roads to which Section 79 refers, as they are not required for traffic, but simply for the purposes of ventilation and scavenging, which are specially provided for in Section 99.

That on three previous occasions similar improvements have been sanctioned in Parcheries on these conditions, viz.,

At the Tinnevely Settlement, under Section 23, Act XIV of 1856—Vide Order of Government, No. 1487, dated 23rd December 1862.

At a block of huts in proximity to the Black Town Barracks now occupied by the 3rd Regiment, Native Infantry, under Section 99 of Act IX of 1865—Vide Order of Government, No. 1222, dated 29th November 1865.

At the Vepery Lines, under Section 99 of Act IX of 1865—Vide Order of Government, No. 1152, dated 8th November 1866.

That in the second instance above quoted, the owner of the land on which the huts stand submitted two petitions to His Excellency the Governor, dated 19th February and 18th April 1866, and at paragraph 6 of the latter prayed as follows:—

“That Act IX of 1865 provides compensation when lands for public purposes are required, and your petitioner under this provision solicits that concession;”

* 11th May 1866, No. 479.

and that after receiving our report on the subject, dated 21st April 1866, Government ordered* the following endorsement

on the Petition:—“Petitioner will be informed that the clearing of which he complains was not made to form a street, but in order to avoid the risk of disease from over-crowding and bad ventilation.”

4. The case of the Ameer Baugh Parcherry differs in no respect from those we have above referred to, and we, therefore, trust Government will see fit to sanction its being dealt with in a similar manner.

No. 118. ORDER THEREON, 11th September 1867, No. 1135.

The Governor in Council adheres to the order passed under date the 28th ultimo on the letter from the Municipal Commissioners, dated the 19th idem.

2. In the opinion of the Governor in Council the present case is one in which the Government would not be justified in authorizing the Commissioners to act under the provisions of Section 99, Act IX of 1865.

(True Extract).

Chief Secretary.

To the Municipal Commissioners for the Town of Madras.

Ed. J. Tulloch.

Memo for order

The decision arrived by the committee
after the deliberations, and
because it was considered best
to pursue wide and ways as pro-
posed by the Commission through
the American Baptist Association
the means of spreading the
cause of temperance, had a high
value. Such a paper always involves
considerable loss to people not
able to bear it.
In the Town Improvement Act
of 1865 - March 127. -

to permit it. I submit that
good order should be maintained

I agree. I do not think
that the present is a
case in which the Govt
should sanction the
the Commissioner's
acting under § 99
Act IX-1865- so
unless they will
act under § 77

McKee
must see.
- may be
as they are JP.
C. J. J.
T. J.

Public Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter from
the Municipal Commissioners for the
town of Madras.

Recd. in Lt. Sept 1007.

Order the case of S. J. J. No. 1135.

The Government in Council
advised to the Order passed
under date the 28th ultimo
on the letter from the Muni-
cipal Commissioners dated
the 19th idem.

2. In the opinion of
the Government in Council
the present case is one
in which the Government
would not be justified
in authorizing the Com-
missioners to do so.

in Lt.

2704
R10 "

under the provisions of
Section 99, Act IX of
1865.

To the Municipal Comm.
for the Town of Madras

required, and your petitions under this
provision state that concession, and that
after receiving our report on the subject dated
21st April 1866, your minister ordered the vil-
lage to be removed on the condition - "villagers
will be induced that the clearing of which
the complaints were made to form a track,
not in order to avoid the risk of disease from
overcrowding and bad ventilation."

4. The case of the Chinese village
described in our report from those we have
above referred to and we therefore think

11th May 1866 No. 479.

1866 Department.

No. 1115.

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT.

Dated 11th April 1866.

119.110

28 August/ly has 216-217
 8 Novr 1866 - 57-58
 31 May - 179-180
 11 - 62-65
 29 Novr 1865 - 113-114
 23 Decr 1862 - 125-126

17/24 Septe.

Public Works Dept
 11/11/35
 From
 Secy to Govt for the town
 of Madras

15-3 Sep

10/10/35
 Submitting a recommendation
 of the application
 to open up Amier Bagh
 Panchery.

10/10/35

10/10/35