

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	1091	Press Mis.
Dated	4-9-	1967.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

31/10/67
27/10/67

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,
4th September 1867.*

Read the following papers :—

No. 44. From the Officiating Under Secretary to the Government of India, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Simla, 29th June 1867, No. 1872.

Home Department.

The Director General of Telegraphs in India having reported the accompanying Telegraph Messages as being open to the objections indicated in the "Formulae of Remarks" attached to them, I am directed to request that, under the orders of the Madras Government, applications may be called for and submitted in regard to the objections urged by the Telegraph Department.

Nos. 1, 12, and 23.

Referred to the Inspector General of Police, in order that the Officers who despatched the telegrams sent with the foregoing letter may be called upon for explanation, with reference to their having sent them on the Public Service.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,

18th July 1867.

(Signed) R. S. ELLIS,

Acting Chief Secretary.

No. 45. From the Inspector General of Police, to the Acting Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Kotagherry, 17th August 1867, No. 1452.

With reference to your Docket, dated 18th ultimo, on letter from the Government of India, No. 1872, of 29th June last (herewith returned), I have the honor to report as follows.

2. *Telegraph message No. 23.* The message was paid for by me. No refund was taken in the contingent bill for the advance which I made. The matter has doubtless risen from my using a page of my blank telegram book which has "Service" printed at the top, and omitting to erase the word "Service." This I regret.

3. The Superintendent of Police, Nellore, reports that the message [No. 12] was an answer to a telegram from the Deputy Inspector General of Police, asking to know whether Inspector Dorasawmy would take the Kurnool Head Quarter Inspector's place. The service was urgent, as, in consequence of heavy embezzlement in the office, &c., immediate arrangement had to be made. The message was not private, but sent *bona fide* on the Public Service.

4. *Telegram No. 1.* I consider that this telegram should be paid for, and have requested the Superintendent, Lieutenant Porteous, to do so.

No. 46. To the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department, Simla, dated Fort Saint George, 4th September 1867, No. 1091.

In acknowledging the receipt of Mr. Officiating Under Secretary Harington's letter of the 29th June last, No. 1872, I am directed to transmit the accompanying copy of a letter from the Inspector General of Police, explaining the cause of the apparent mistake in Telegram No. 23. This, it will be seen, was paid for as a private message.

2. The service on which Telegram No. 12 was despatched was urgent, and the message sent was *bona fide* on the Public Service.

3. Telegram No. 1 is clearly a private message, and Lieutenant Porteous has been called upon to pay for it.

(Signed) R. S. ELLIS,

Acting Chief Secretary.

N. 1872
Simla
Home Department,
The 29th June 1867.

T5
The Chief Secy to the Govt.
of Fort St. George

SIR,

THE Director General of Telegraphs in India having reported the
N^{os} 1, 12 and 23. accompanying Telegraph Message as being
open to the objection indicated in the
"Formulae of Remarks" attached to them. I
request that under the orders of the Govt. any applications may be called for and
am directed to ask if you have any explanation
to submit in regard to the objections urged
by the Telegraph Department.

To be returned.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient Servant,

Asst. Secy to the Govt. of India.
Office Under-Secy. to the Govt. of India.

44.

Public 1867 & part

1891

to the
the way to the foot
of India

dated 4 September 1891