

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	<i>1019</i>	Press Mis.
Dated	<i>20-8-1967</i>	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,
20th August 1867.

No. 143. Read the following letter from the Acting Magistrate of Vizagapatam, to the Acting Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Vizagapatam, 8th August 1867, No. 279.

Referring to G. O. dated 19th June last, No. 713, I have the honor now to forward, herewith, three letters in explanation of the discrepancies pointed out in the said G. O., and which I beg to summarize below for facility of reference.

Vizagapatam.—The chief reason of difference between the amount transferred in the Treasury accounts, under Section 35 of the Towns' Improvement Act, to the credit of "XIV, Police," and the statement of expenditure furnished by Captain Frazer, is owing to two causes, viz., (1) to the omission of clothing charges in the statement, and (2) to the sum of Rupees 2450, estimated for two Police Station-houses, being debited to the Police.

2. In G. O., dated 3rd October, the sum mentioned by Government for the Police is Rupees 12,470. One-half of this was paid over, as already stated, to the credit of the Police. This of course included Rupees 1225 on account of Station-houses, which, it was believed, they would build. If, as the Superintendent of Police argues, this sum should *not be* credited to the Police, then I beg that instructions may be given to the Accountant General to allow the amount, Rupees 1225, to be refunded to the Municipality.

3. I beg here to add that no portion of the clothing charges (which are included now in the revised statement from the Superintendent of Police) has been debited in the Treasury accounts of this office. I understand from the Deputy Inspector General that the adjustment will probably take place through the Accountant General's Office, but as yet no instructions have been received.

4. Besides these items, there is a saving of some five hundred and odd Rupees in the Government Constabulary charges as well.

Bimlipatam.—5. The difference in the accounts of this Association is consequent on the adjustment of a sum of Rupees 675 outstanding against the old Association when the Towns' Improvement Act was introduced.

Vizianagram.—6. The discrepancy in this Association's accounts is the result of a clerical error in entering the Government grant twice over, as shown by Major Grove, the Vice-President. A revised statement is now submitted.

— — —

From the Vice-President, Municipal Commission, Bimlipatam, to the Acting Magistrate of Vizagapatam; dated Bimlipatam, 2nd July 1867, No. 52.

In reference to paragraph 4 of Government Order, No. 713, I have the honor to say that the money received from, and paid on account of the late Municipal Association being so nearly balanced, and it being, moreover, a matter not, strictly speaking, pertaining to this Commission, it was not thought necessary to enter into an explanation.

2. The explanation is this—The late Association had sold to the District Engineer for Rupees 1000, for the purpose of being turned into a Post Office, the small building referred to in paragraph 4 of our Report. Subsequently the District Engineer, after having paid the money, found that the building was not sufficiently substantial for a Post Office, and he asked the Association to take it back. To this they agreed, repaying him in part Rupees 400, and the remaining, Rupees 600, were paid subsequent to, the 1st November last, and hence the amount figures in this Commission's account. The Rupees 75 were paid away on account of some small bills for stationery, &c., &c.

From the Vice-President, Vizianagram Municipal Commission, to the President Vizianagram Municipal Commission ; dated Vizianagram, 8th July 1867, No. 99.

I find by paragraph 3 of the Proceedings of Government of the 19th June last, No. 713, that through a clerical error in this office the Government grant to the Vizianagram Municipality has been twice included in the Memorandum appended to paragraph 3 of my letter to you, dated 13th May last, whereby the sum of the collections during the past official half-year is made to appear to have been Rupees 6814-1-10, whereas it should have been (including the Government grant) Rupees 5173-9-10). I beg to annex an abstract account of the receipts and expenditure, which will supply all the information called for in paragraph 3 of the Proceedings in question.

2. All that was meant by a "deficit" was, that the actual collections were below the amount estimated.

Dr.

Abstract account of the Vizianagram Municipality from 1st November 1866 to 30th April 1867.

To balance of funds received from late Municipal Association...		ES.	A. P.	ES.	A. P.			ES.	A. P.	ES.	A. P.
" Tax on professions under Schedule A...	...	3,302	9 3			By Superintendence and accounts...	...	668	11 2		
" " on animals and vehicles, C...	...	976	0 0			" Cost of Establishment...	...	799	11 9	1,468	6 11
" Tolls on carts, &c., B...	...	602	0 0			" Roads...	...	1,140	12 8		
" House tax under Section 37...	...	1,538	11 10			" Municipal office...	...	219	4 7		
" Government grant...	...	331	7 0			" Road culverts...	...	259	8 0		
" Sundries...	...	1,640	8 0			" Privies...	...	310	8 3		
		84	15 0			" Wells...	...	30	1 11		
				8,476	3 1	" Cut stone drains.....	...	225	0 0		
						Rough stone drains...	...	150	0 0	2,335	3 5
						<i>Miscellaneous.</i>					
						Collection of tolls...	...	330	6 8		
						Printed forms...	...	41	14 6		
						Contingencies...	...	44	5 5		
						Lighting town...	...	109	4 10		
						Repairing Office furniture, &c...	...	14	7 0		
						Amount outstanding with Superintendent for Sundries...	...	60	0 0	600	6 5
						Police for six months...	...	2,518	0 0	2,518	0 0
						Balance on hand, 30th April 1867...	...			1,554	2 4
Total...	...			8,476	3 1	Total...	...			8,476	3 1

(Signed) H. L. GROVE, Major,
Vice-President.

From the Superintendent of Police, to the President of the Municipal Commission, Vizagapatam District; dated Vizagapatam, 26th July 1867, No. 124.

In reply to paragraph 6 of the Order of Government, No. 713, dated 19th June 1867, calling upon me for an explanation in regard to the difference between the actual and estimated expenditure for the Municipal Police, I have the honor to report that the Statement marked A shows only the actual amount of pay and allowances of the Town Police from November 1866 to April 1867, and that the amount does not include the charges for clothing, accoutrements and contingencies which is included in the Municipal estimates.

2. The revised statement appended includes these charges.

3. With regard to the amounts entered in paragraph 6, I beg to point out that to the estimated amount of Rupees 10,050* for Municipal Police, sanctioned by G. O. 3rd October 1866, No. 986, was added a sum of Rupees 2450 for the construction of station-houses and incidental expenses. I am not aware if the Municipality have expended any part of this amount, but it should not be included in my accounts, and the sanctioned amount for the six months should be Rupees 9368 as shown below:—

	Estimated.			Actual.		
	RS.	A.	P.	RS.	A.	P.
Vizagapatam.....	5,010	0	0	4,678	5	2
Vizianagram.....	2,518	0	0	2,513	2	2
Bimlipatam....	1,840	0	0	1,649	0	0
Total.....	9,368	0	0	8,840	7	4

4. The difference between the estimated and actual expenditure in Vizagapatam is caused by the removal of the European Head Constable at Waltair, his services being no longer required; since the removal of the European troops, and during the months of January and February 1867, it appears that the Town Police was considerably worked under strength.

5. The difference in Vizianagram is caused by a Constable absent on leave without pay, and in Bimlipatam a European Inspector of the 4th Class, instead of an Inspector of the 3rd grade, was employed; the difference of the Inspector's pay should be credited to the Municipality.

MADRAS POLICE
VIZAGAPATAM DISTRICT.
Revised Statement showing the actual expenditure on account of the Town Police in the District of Vizagapatam up to 30th April 1867.

Municipalities.	Amount of expenditure for the months of						Remarks.
	1866. November.	December.	1867. January.	February.	March.	April.	
	Cost includ- ing clothing, &c.	Cost includ- ing clothing, &c.	Cost includ- ing clothing, &c.	Cost includ- ing clothing, &c.	Cost includ- ing clothing, &c.	Cost includ- ing clothing, &c.	
Vizagapatam.....	793 8 0	800 8 0	749 8 0	764 8 0	787 13 2	782 8 0	ES. A. P. 4,678 5 2
Bimlipatam.....	278 10 8	270 10 8	263 10 8	278 10 8	278 10 8	278 10 8	ES. A. P. 1,649 0 0
Vizianagram.....	420 5 4	420 5 4	413 5 4	420 5 4	420 5 4	418 7 6	ES. A. P. 2,513 2 2
Total.....	1,492 8 0	1,491 8 0	1,426 8 0	1,463 8 0	1,486 13 2	1,479 10 2	ES. A. P. 8,840 7 4

(Signed) T. E. TENNANT, Captain,
Deputy Inspector General of Police,
for Superintendent of Police, Vizagapatam.

OFFICE OF SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
VIZAGAPATAM, 26th July 1867.

No. 144. ORDER THEREON, 20th August 1867, No. 1019.

The explanation afforded with reference to the apparent deficit in the accounts of the Vizianagram Municipality, and the entry of Rupees 675-12-8 in the Bimlipatam accounts, is satisfactory. The Police charges in Vizianagram were, as appears from the Vice-President's statement now submitted, Rupees 2518, the exact amount estimated.

2. It appears that the difference between the amount transferred in the Treasury accounts, under Section 35 of the Act, to the credit of Police, and that entered in the statement of expenditure furnished by the Superintendent of Police, is owing to the omission of clothing and contingent expenses in the latter statement, and to a sum of Rupees 2450, estimated for the Police stations and incidental expenditure in Vizagapatam, being debited to the Police. The slight difference between the amount actually expended, as shown in the revised statement of the Superintendent of Police, will be credited to the several Municipalities.

3. The sum estimated for Police charges in Vizagapatam was Rupees 12,470, half of which, including Rupees 1225 for building two Station-houses and for incidental expenses, was actually paid by the Commission; nothing having, as it appears, been expended on the buildings and expenses for which this sum was sanctioned, the amount will be refunded to the Municipality.

4. The attention of the Accountant General will be directed to the 3rd paragraph of the President's letter.

(True Extract).

Acting Chief Secretary.

To the President of the Municipal Commission, Vizagapatam.

„ Accountant General.

„ Inspector General of Police.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

Ex. 10. Disbursement, showing the actual Expenditure on account of the Coast Police in the District of Wyoga between 1st April 1891 and 31st March 1892.

Harvard

Amount of Expenses incurred for the Month of

9251

Microphallia

~~Copy to Queen's Camp 10/19/87
Cotton back in. Cattle in good
condition. Sheep & goats all right.
They are making no clothing.~~

7938. 800 8. 7498. 7648. 787132 7828. 463852

278 108 270 108 263 108 278 10 " 278 108 278 10 8 11/0 "

4205 / 1705 / 195 / 120

Total 14928 " 14918 " 14268 " 14638 " 286132.1439 1028840 34

W. E. McCune & Co. Boston

Deputy Inspector of Police N. H.

Chas. Schencklin Grant. Appraised

Agazaphatium

see A. Lapomertke's mit. Abhandl.
ergonomische 26 (Febr. 1963)

26th Feb. 1867

Virianagram
8th July 1867

No. 99

To
Major W. Le. Grove
Vice President Virianagram Municipal
Commission

And
Mr. W. Master Squire
President Virianagram Municipal
Commission

Sir,

I find by Para 3 of the Proceedings of Government of the 19th June last that through a clerical error in this Office the Government Grant to the Virianagram Municipality has been twice included in the Memo appended to Para 3 of my letter to you dated 13th May last, whereby the sum of the collections during the past Official Year is made to appear to have been Rs 814. 1. 10. whereas it should have been (including the Government grant) Rs 5173. 9. 10. I beg to annex an abstract account of the receipts and expenditure which will supply all the information called for in Para 3 of the Proceedings in question.

2. All that was meant by a "deficit" was that the actual collections were below the amount estimated.

I

1867
Registered. No. 2338

From
The District
of Police

To
The Mr. Magistrate
Virianagram

4/26th July 1867
10/27th do do

Received statement showing
the actual expenditure in
the account of the Virianagram
Municipality for the year 1867.
up to 30th June 1867.

From 20th July 1867 to 1/11/67

In Rule No. 11/107
1280

Alfred Major
Vice President
Arkansas Grand Municipal
Commission

[illegible]

William W. Magnus
Vice President

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter
from the Dy Magistrate of
Vizianagaram.

Here enter 2nd August 1867. 15279

Order. 1079 of 20 Aug 67

The explanation offered
with reference to the apparent
discrepancy in the accounts of the
Vizianagaram Municipality and
the entry of Rs. 675^{rs} 12^{rs} 0^{rs} in
the Vizianagaram accounts
is satisfactory. The police charge
in Vizianagaram p.w.c., as
appears from the Vice Presi-
dent's statement now submitted
Rs. 25 10 the exact amount
estimated.

2. It appears that the
difference between the amount
transferred in the Treasury
Accounts under Section 35 of
the Act to the credit of Police
and that entered in the
Statement

WOM

Wizianagaram, 15th Aug 67

15th Aug 67 15279

One Vice President

Wizianagaram Municipality

15th Aug 67

Wizianagaram Municipality

15th Aug 67

Wizianagaram Municipality

15th Aug 67

Wizianagaram Municipality

15th Aug 67

Wizianagaram Municipality

15th Aug 67

Statement of expenditure furnished by the Superintendent of Police is owing to the omission of clothing and contingent expenses in the latter statement and to a sum of Rs 2450 estimated for the Police Stations and incidental expenditure in Vizagapatnam being debited to the Police. The slight difference between the amount actually expended as shown in the revised statement of the Superintendent of Police will be credited to the several Municipalities.

3 The sum estimated for Police charges in Vizagapatnam was Rs 12470, half of which including Rs 1225 for building 2 Station houses and for incidental expenses was actually paid by the Commission, nothing remaining, as it appears, was expended on the buildings and expenses for which this sum was sanctioned, the amount, will

will be refunded to the Municipality.

4 The attention of the Accountant General will be directed to the 3rd paragraph of the President's letter.

To the President Municipal
Commissioner Vizagapatnam
Accountant General
Chief of Police } deposited

1861. Department.

No. 111.

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT.

Dated 27th August 1861.

1861. 111.