

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	<i>1011</i>	Press ~~Mis.~~
Dated	<i>17-8-1967</i>	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,

17th August 1867.

No. 128. Read the following letter from the Examiner of Claims, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Fort Saint George, 3rd August 1867, No. 596.

I have the honor, by direction of the Accountant General, to forward, for the orders of Government, the accompanying letter from Dr. J. L. Paul, Surgeon to the General Hospital, claiming house-rent under paragraph 6 of G. O. G. G., No. 370.

2. Dr. Paul's case is similar to Dr. Colvin Smith's (already submitted), in so far as Dr. Paul also draws, under paragraph 11 of the G. O., the old consolidated salary of Rupees 1000 a month, in place of the new rate of pay; but it differs from Dr. Smith's materially in this respect, that, in addition to his salary, he was at one time allowed public quarters, at what, in comparison with the rent charged for private houses, may be said to have been almost a nominal rent; and it is this point in the case that appears to me to render it necessary that Government should pass orders upon the claim.

From Surgeon J. L. PAUL, M. D., M. A., General Hospital, Madras, to the Examiner of Claims, Fort Saint George; dated Madras, 31st July 1867, No. 278.

I have the honor to transmit for audit a bill for Presidency house-rent from the 13th of November last, the date on which the revised Staff salaries sanctioned in G. O. G. G., No. 370 of 1867, came into operation.

2. By paragraph 11 of G. O. G. G., 370, I am permitted the option of retaining the old rate of pay, or of accepting the new rate, whichever may be most advantageous to me.

3. In paragraph 6 of the Order above quoted, it is laid down that Medical Officers, holding an appointment at the Presidency, and not provided with public quarters, will be granted house-rent according to relative rank, and on the scale granted to Officers of the Staff Corps holding appointments at the Presidency towns.

The grant of Presidency house-rent is limited by paragraph 6 to salaries not exceeding 1400 Rupees per mensem.

4. The pay drawn by me has always been Rupees 1000, with Rupees 150 as Professor of Surgery.

5. The pay of my appointment, whether on the old or new rates of pay, is equally given to me as holding an appointment at the Presidency, and the amount of it is below the sum appointed by Government as the maximum compatible with the granting of house-rent.

6. No portion of my consolidated pay of Rupees 1000 is Presidency house-rent, and although numerous applications were made from the Medical Department that, in addition to the then existing salaries, Presidency house rent should be allowed, the consideration of the question was invariably withheld till the revision of the Medical Staff Salaries.

It is no where specified in paragraph 2 of G. O. G. G., 370, that the rules under which pay is drawn should regulate the granting or withholding of house-rent.

7. Under these circumstances, I trust my being specially permitted, under paragraph 2 of G. O. G. G., 370, to elect the rates of pay under which I now draw pay should not prevent my being granted the same privilege which is now granted by order to Medical Officers holding appointments at the Presidency more lucrative than that I hold.

8. I observe that the Right Honorable the Governor General considers, and has ruled, that Presidency house-rent is specially allowed to meet the extra expenses in the item of house-rent in living at the Presidency, and it seems to me that a Medical Officer holding an appointment at the Presidency is fully entitled to the compensating provision authorized under the designation of Presidency house-rent specially to meet such extra expense.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,
17th August 1867.

9. I may further state that when I was first appointed to the charge I now hold, one of the emoluments of the office was a public quarter, which I obtained for Rupees 50, the house rent of my rank. In March 1866, I was required to vacate these quarters which were attached to my appointment, as they were required for public purposes, and to provide myself with a house elsewhere at a much heavier charge.

No. 129. ORDER THEREON, 17th August 1867, No. 1011.

The Government are not prepared to accede to the application made by Dr. Paul for house-rent.

2. Dr. Paul has elected to remain under the old rules, and it has been ruled by the Government of India that "if an Officer desires to retain the old rate of pay he must abide by the old rules, and that if he desires the advantages of the new pay rules he must forego the old rates of pay".

3. The fact that Dr. Paul at one time occupied public quarters at a favorable rent would not justify an exception to the rule above quoted being made in his case.

(True Extract).

Acting Chief Secretary.

To the Inspector General, Medical Department.
" Examiner of Claims, with two enclosures, returned.

Exd. J. H. Burns.

No. 276.

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
The 31st August 1867.

FROM

THE EXAMINER OF CLAIMS,
Fort Saint George.

TO

THE CHIEF SECRETARY

TO

THE GOVERNMENT OF FORT SAINT GEORGE,
Public Department.

SIR,

I have the honor ~~with reference to Proceedings of Government No. 1011~~ dated ~~17th August 1867~~ *1011* by direction of the ~~Accountant General~~ *Accountant General* to forward for the orders of Government the accompanying letter from Dr. Paul, Surgeon, to the General Hospital claiming House rent, under para 6 of G.O. G. 18370. Dr. Paul's case is similar to that of Smith's (already submitted) in so far as Dr. Paul also demands, under para 11 of the G.O. the old consolidated salary of Rs. 1000 a month in place of the new rule of pay; but it differs from Smith's materially in this respect that in addition to his salary he was at one time allowed Public Quarters at which, in comparison with the rent charged for private houses, may be said to have been almost a nominal rent - and it is this point in the case that appears to me to render it necessary that Government should pass Orders upon the claim -

I have the honor to be,

Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

A. Donald
Examiner of Claims.

Memo. for order.

Imbued that the fact
that at one time quarters
were available for Dr
Paul's occupation can
only affect the case if
under the old Rules the
Govt would have been
prepared to station
Honor. Quarters to Dr Paul.
I think whether Dr
Paul had desired this
whether he would have
quarters at quarters who
a nominal rank with

favorable?

No 31 July 16 Aug 307-308
" 29 " " 288-286
" 5 March 188 " 11-12
" 21 Feb 188 " 10-11

At the 187 Schenck
Circ. Nov 12, 1871
The Secretary of Service
to the Chief Secretary
of the Dept
1873
1873 500000

Joseph.
Lucas

1874
Census of the
with his remarks, the
Secretary of the
from 1873 to 1874,
including those who
for the order of 1873

Law

In did not make, the

Govt would have assisted
to this request. no JP

The Home Dept is given
under the new Rules
at the Presidency on
a reconsideration of
the whole question

of Medical Salaries,
and makes the

pay of Medical Officers
very similar to what
it was before.

I think ^{not} ~~JP~~
WOM

St Paul having elected
to remain under the
M. Rules has no
claim to a portion
of the New Rules
with decision of
India & the
Pro: M. in Dr
Colvin Smith's case

Per

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter
from the Examiners of Claims.
(Here enters 18th August 1867 No. 596)

Order thereon 17 August 1867 No. 104.

The Government are
not prepared to accede to the
application made by Dr.
Paul for house rent.

2 Dr. Paul has elected
to remain under the old Rules
and it has been ruled by
the Government of India,
that "if an Officer desires
to retain the old rate of
pay he must abide by the
old rules, and that if he
desires the advantages of the
new pay rules he must
forgo the old rates of pay."

Letter from the Lt. Secy. to Govt. of India,
Military Department, Lt. William,
dated 8th July 1867 No. 187.

3 The fact that St. Paul at one time occupied public quarters at a favorable rent would not justify an exception to the rule above quoted being made in St. Paul's case.

To the Supr. Gen. & Asst. Secy. }
" Examiners of Claims } des. 23/8
with 2 Enclos. etc.

1867
No. 1011
Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT.

Dated 17 Aug 1867

1867

1867

~~The New~~ Spain

from his pay Abstract.

2. If the Civil Dispensary funds must bear the cost of repair of the Assistant Apothecary's quarters surely they ought to be credited with the amount of rent paid for them - The person who pockets rent for a building is every where I believe bound to keep it in repair, and this the Apothecary's quarters now urgently require -

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Yours most affectionately.

Enoch Burdett
H. of the

J. H. Casey
Collector

$$\begin{array}{r} 1281 \\ 6707 \end{array}$$