

1. No. of copies of report 1
2. Date of report 26-10-36
3. Name of the person

M.R.O. 1-82,400-22-11-30.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	999	Press Mis.
Dated	15-8-1867	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,
15th August 1867.*

No. 110. Read the following letter from the Magistrate of Malabar, to the Acting Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George ; dated Calicut, 9th August 1867, No. 91.

The Vice-President of the Municipal Commissioners of Cannanore has brought to notice that four-wheeled carriages on springs, drawn by two bullocks, are not specially provided for in Schedule C of the Towns' Improvement Act. This style of conveyance seems to be the conveyance of the place, and if it is not taxed to the Municipal Funds they will suffer seriously, and with no good reason ; but as no mention is made of four-wheeled carriages on springs, drawn by bullocks, in the schedule the Commissioners doubt their power to assess. I have the honor to request the instructions of Government on the matter.

No. 111. ORDER THEREON, 15th August 1867, No. 999.

The Government are unable to sanction the Bye-laws under which it is proposed to tax four-wheeled carriages drawn by bullocks, under Schedule C, such taxation not being apparently contemplated by the Act.

2. The omission to provide for the taxation of such vehicles will be borne in mind when the revision of the Towns' Improvement Act is undertaken.

(True Extract).

Acting Chief Secretary.

To the President of the Municipal Commission in Malabar.
,, Legislative Department.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

1. Number of pages 6
2. Date of repair 1-10-36
3. Initials of Referee
M.E.O. 1-82 400-22-11-80

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	999	Press
		Mis.
Dated	3-10-	1866.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1/10/36

1291

Malabar Magistrate's Court
Calicut 9th August 1867

From

G. A. Ballard Esquire

Magistrate of Malabar

To

The Honorable R. S. Ellis Esq.

Acting Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St. George

Sir,

The Vice President of the Municipal Commissioners of Cannanore has brought to notice that to wheel carriages on springs drawn by 2 bullocks are not specially provided for in Schedule C of the Town Improvement Act. This style of conveyance seems to be the conveyance of the place, and if denied to the Municipal Funds they will suffer seriously, and with no good reason. But as no mention is made of to wheel carriages on springs drawn by bullocks in the Schedule the Commissioners doubt their power to assess. I have the honor to request the instructions of Government on the matter.

I have the honor to be,

Sr,

Your most obedt Servant.

G. Ballard
Magistrate.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,
3rd October 1866.*

No. 35. Read the following letter from S. JESUDASEN PILLAY, Municipal Commissioner, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George ; dated Madras, 27th September 1866.

I have the honor, with reference to the observations made by Government in respect to me, in paragraph 2 of their Proceedings, No. 947, dated 19th September 1866, on the Petition of Mr. Burke, late Inspector of Nuisances, respectfully to state, for the information of Government, that I, at the time, most positively repudiated, and distinctly disavowed, the false accusation preferred against me by him, and that my colleagues in the Commission, on such repudiation and disavowal by me, did not deem it necessary to make any inquiry, though I solicited that investigation be made by them.

2. The repetition to Government of the accusation in Mr. Burke's petition was so manifestly the result of malice, that I did not consider any thing further would be expected by Government of me, nor did I anticipate that, considering the relative positions of Mr. Burke and myself, Government would attach such a value to his wicked accusation, as to think it incumbent, notwithstanding the unprejudiced opinion of my colleagues, that inquiry should be made.

3. I cannot permit this opportunity to pass without pointing out the utter falsehood of Mr. Burke's statements in respect to me. In the first place, he stated in his Diary of the 30th May 1866, which has been before Government, that he "found Ragavacharry the Hurkara gardening in my garden," but in paragraph 3 of his petition to Government, he states that he "had occasion officially to report to the Municipal Board that the Native Commissioner had been employing, for his own private use and domestic purposes, a number of the Native servants belonging to the Municipal Commission," and again, in paragraph 4, that he "found some of the servants in his (my) garden," and that he "noted this in his Diary of the 29th May."

4. I would further beg to bring to notice that the Hurkara referred to in the Diary, is a Brahmin, and that this circumstance is of itself a proof of the untruthfulness of Mr. Burke's statements regarding him, for the work on which Mr. Burke says he saw the Hurkara engaged, in my garden, is incompatible with his caste, nor would men of such caste ever employ themselves as domestics in the house of a Christian.

5. The above circumstances speak for themselves, and I trust Government will see how thoroughly malicious, and utterly false, are the charges which Mr. Burke has preferred against me.

6. In conclusion, I beg distinctly to state that I at no time avoided inquiry into the matter of Mr. Burke's malicious statements, and am prepared respectfully to submit to any inquiry which Government may desire, but I venture to express a hope that the explanation now tendered by me will be found satisfactory.

Forwarded for the consideration of Government.

(Signed) J. TEMPLE, Lieut.-Col.,
President of Municipal Commission.

No. 36. ORDER THEREON, 3rd October 1866, No. 999.

The Government accept Dr. Jesudasen's explanation, which fully meets their requisition.

(True Extract).

To the Municipal Commissioners for the Town of Madras.
Secretary to Government.

Exd. J. H. Burns.

anticipate

Madras 27th September 1866

To
The Chief Secretary to Government.
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

I have the honor with reference to the observations made by Government in respect to me in para. 2. of their Proceedings N^o 947 dated 19th September 1866, on the petition of Mr. Dinku Lal, Inspector of Ambar, respectfully to state for the information of Government, that I at the time most positively repudiated and distinctly disavowed the false accusation preferred against ~~me~~ ^{by him}, and that my colleagues in the Commission, on such repudiation and disavowal, ~~did~~ ^{did not} deem it necessary to make any enquiry though I solicited that investigation be made by them.

2. The repetition to Government of the accusation in Mr. Dinku's petition was so manifestly the result of malice, that I did not consider any thing further would be expected by Government of me, nor did I anticipate

anticipate that considering the relative positions of Mr Buntle and myself, Government would attach such a value to his wicked accusation as to think it incumbent, notwithstanding the unprejudiced opinion of my colleagues, that enquiry should be made.

3. I cannot permit this opportunity to pass without pointing out the utter falsehood of Mr Buntle's statements in respect to me. In the first place he stated in his Diary of the 30th May 1866 which has been before Government that he "found Ragavachary the Urkara gardening in my garden" - but in para 3 of his petition to Government he states, that he has occasion "officially to report to the Municipal Board that the Native Commissioner had been employing for his own private use and domestic purposes a number of the native servants belonging to the Municipal Commission" and again in para 11, that he found some of the servants in his my garden," and that he noted this in his Diary of the 29th May -

4. I would further beg to bring to notice that the Murkara referred to in the Diary is

is a Brahmin, and that this circumstance is of itself a proof of the untruthfulness of Mr Buntle's statements regarding him, for the work on which Mr Buntle says he saw the Murkara engaged in, in my garden is incompatible with his Caste, nor would men of such caste ever employ themselves as domestics in the house of a Christian.

5. The above circumstances speak for themselves, and I trust Government will see how thoroughly malicious and utterly false are the charges which Mr Buntle has preferred against me.

6. In conclusion, I beg distinctly to state that I at no time avoided enquiry into the matter of Mr Buntle's malicious statements, and am prepared respectfully to submit to any enquiry which Government may desire, but I venture to express a hope that the explanation now tendered by me will be found satisfactory.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedient Servant

forwarded for the
Consideration of Government

Wm. H. St. John

President of the Municipal Commission

S. S. S. S.

1887
Dated 18th Sept
Ston

S. Henderson Esq.
Municipal Council
London.

Dated 27th Sept 1887
Recd 20th Oct 1887

£5/255

Planning Refractor
with reference to custom
charges brought against
him by Mr. Burke,
late inspector of
Barracks.

3rd October 1887 8.4.93.

The full receipt be
fence of attend ex planatory
which fully meet the
requisition.

W. H.

3rd Nov 1887
The Council of the
Barracks