

Number of copies 11
Date of issue 26-10-36
Initials of reference
M.R.O. 1-20,000-10-10-36

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	939	Press ~~Mis~~
Dated	1-8-1937.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,

1st August 1867.

No. 1. Read the following letter from the President of the Municipal Commissions, Tanjore District, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Point Calimere, 25th July 1867, No. 179.

With reference to paragraph 4 of the Proceedings of Government, Public Department, dated 11th July 1867, No. 820, I have the honor to request that I may be informed whether the Government wish any report on the proceedings of the five Municipalities in this District to be furnished to them for the six months ending the 30th April 1867, or whether the report for these six months is to be included in that which will have to be furnished in 1868.

No. 2. ORDER THEREON, 1st August 1867, No. 939.

The report on the working of the several Municipalities in the Tanjore District for the half-year ending on the 30th April 1867 should be furnished with as little delay as possible.

(True Extract).

Acting Chief Secretary.

To the President of the Municipal Commissions in Tanjore.

Edw. J. H. Burns.

18179 Point Calimere Sritrapuradi Talug
25th July 1864

From J. L. Morris Esq
President of the Municipal Commission
Tanjore District

To The Honble G. S. Ellis C. B.
Chief Secretary to Government
Fort Saint George

Sir
With reference to para 4 of the Proceedings
of Government Public Department, dated 11th
July 1864, No 826, I have the honor to request
that I may be informed, whether the Govern-
ment wish any report on the proceedings of the
five Municipalities in this District, to be
furnished to them for the six months ending the
30th April 1864, or whether the report for those
six months is to be included in that which will
have to be furnished in 1868.—

I have the honor to be,
Sir

Your most obedient servant

J. L. Morris.
President

Pro 11 July 1891 No 11

mail of 6 Aug 91

Pro 1 Aug 91

Buttill's Deputation
from

The President
Municipal Commission

London District
to the 1st District
to movements

dated 25th July 1889
No 30

179

1891

to be informed

the Commission

my report on the

to be informed

to be informed

to be informed

1st Dec 1889 No 1839

The report on the work of the Municipal
Commission in the London District for the
year ending on the 31st April 1889
shall be furnished with as little
delay as possible.

179

1891 Commission

10
28-9-36

M.R.O. 1-30,000-10-10-30.

[Handwritten mark]

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 939	Press Mis.
98-101)	
Dated 17. 9	19 1860

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,
17th September 1866.

Read the following papers :—

No. 98. From G. A. BALLARD, Esq., Magistrate of Malabar, to the Acting Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Calicut, 19th June 1866, No. 82.

In G. O. dated 9th ultimo, the Governor in Council expressed himself unable to admit the validity of the reasons advanced by me against the application of the Towns' Improvement Act in Can-

nanore. It is observed that the Town being a Military Cantonment, under the Military Cantonment Act, ought to be brought under the provisions of the Towns' Improvement Act.

I had spoken of the Town of Cannanore, and had not alluded to the Cantonment; and Government is evidently unaware how distinct the Town and Cantonment are.

2. The Town of Cannanore is separated by a small inlet from the sea for some distance from the Cantonment limits, whilst the Town Bazaars and the Cantonment Bazaars are kept entirely distinct by the extensive intervening parade ground and a tract occupied by gardens and detached dwellings. The classes of tradesmen are equally distinct: those in the town supplying the wants of the Native seaport; those in the Cantonment, the requirements of the Troops and their followers.

The Town contains a population, mostly Moplah, of some 8600 inhabitants, and is under a Native Sub-Magistrate. The Cantonment has a population of some 8000 inhabitants (including the Troops), and its Cantonment Magistrate has nothing to do with the Town.

And separate Police Establishments under different Inspectors are now maintained.

3. In my opinion, the Town and Cantonment should be kept distinct, and as Government see no objection to the Act being applied to the Cantonment as such, and do not admit my reasons for rather desiring to delay the introduction of the Act in the Town, I think the Town and Cantonment should be constituted distinct and separate Municipalities.

4. I have requested the Joint Magistrate of Malabar to submit, in communication with the local Officers and some of the Residents, details of information and proposals for introducing the Act in both places, and will address you in detail as soon as I hear from him.

5. Meanwhile, I submit this letter, in order that Government may, if it sees fit, express approval of the two Municipalities being formed.

P. S.—Copy of this letter has been sent to the Inspector General of Police, for any remarks he may desire to make on its subject.

No. 99. From G. A. BALLARD, Esq., Magistrate of Malabar, to the Acting Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Calicut, 26th July 1866, No. 99.

I have been looking for orders on my letter of the 19th ultimo, No. 82, regarding the arrangements to be made with regard to Act X of 1865, and the Town and Cantonment of Cannanore.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,
17th September 1866.*

2. I had the honor to point out that the Town and Cantonment were quite distinct. To appoint a willing and efficient Commission in the Town will not be easy; but, nevertheless, I hope, before long, to lay a report before Government that will enable them to apply the Towns' Improvement Act there.

3. I would respectfully, however, solicit further consideration as to whether this Act should be extended to Cannanore or any Cantonment where Act I of 1866 is in force. The latter Act provides for general conservancy, as well as for the regulation of other matters more especially connected with the peculiar requirements of a Cantonment. In a Cantonment, I cannot but think that conservancy may well be left to the Cantonment authorities and Act I of 1866. The application of Act X of 1865 is uncalled for, unless it be determined that it is necessary as a means of raising local funds.

4. And only to raise funds for the maintenance of the Police is the Towns' Improvement Act required from a Police point of view. In all other respects, Police arrangements can be made, as well without the Act, as with it.

5. But a Cantonment proper is generally fairly distinguishable from the Civil part of a Town.

Its houses, bazaars, &c., are mainly for the accommodation and supply of the Military. The forces could not get on without them. If local rates and taxes are levied on these subjects, or in any manner as provided in the Towns' Improvement Act in a Cantonment, their incidence will indirectly, but certainly, be on the Officers, men, and followers inhabiting the Cantonment limits. This is perhaps hardly desirable. The Troops being necessary for the empire, the bazaars, &c., for the troops, and a certain establishment of Police for the bazaars, it may be well to consider whether a Cantonment Police should not be chargeable to Imperial revenues, rather than to local rates, as will now generally be the case in ordinary towns.

6. If the Towns' Improvement Act is introduced in the Cantonment, Commissioners must be appointed under it; and I think it will be difficult to prevent clashing between the Civil Commissioners, working under the Towns' Improvement Act, and the Cantonment authorities, working under the Cantonment Act.

7. Meantime, I have requested the Acting Sub-Collector to collect the information required both for Town and Cantonment. The changes that have taken place in the Sub-Collectorate lately have prevented as speedy progress as would otherwise have been made.

Forwarded to the Inspector General of Police, in connection with the letter from the Magistrate of Malabar of the 19th June last, No. 82.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
2nd August 1866.

(Signed) A. J. ARBUTHNOT,
Chief Secretary.

No. 100. From the Inspector General of Police, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Madras, 8th August 1866, No. 1279.

With reference to Mr. Ballard's letter, dated 19th June, No. 82, I have the honor to state that the Police Establishment of Cannanore has hitherto been budgetted for as one body; but I believe that practically nearly the whole budgetted strength has worked in the Cantonment alone. The Town has been reinforced by a few drafts from other parts of the District.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,
17th September 1866.*

2. As remarked by Mr. Ballard, there is a well defined separation between the Town and the Cantonment of Cannanore; and I would recommend, as advocated by him, for the sake of convenience and harmony, that the Native town and the Cantonment be treated as separate Municipalities. If this suggestion be acted upon, I beg to propose some increase on my estimate, submitted with my letter, dated 6th March, No. 378, as per statement appended. Mr. Ballard had submitted no recommendation, and I was obliged to enter the existing Establishment as budgetted. The local Officers, and, I believe, the Magistrate, are satisfied that the establishment then proposed would not suffice for both places.

3. However, in his letter of the 26th July, Mr. Ballard practically raises the question whether Act X of 1865 (*Towns' Improvement Act*) shall be extended to any Cantonment brought under Act I of 1866 (*Military Cantonment Act*). He evidently deprecates the measure, and considers that the Police charge ought to be an Imperial charge, and that conservancy ought to be left to the local authorities under Act I of 1866. It may be incidentally remarked that the latter Act contains no provision for raising money for conservancy or other purposes.

4. If exemption be conceded in one case, the privilege must be extended to all Cantonments of the same class. The Cantonments which would be affected by such arrangements, with the charge to the State for Police, are as follows, say—

	No. of Police.	rs.
Bellary.....	72	about 10,765
Cannanore, say.....	45	„ 6,805
Saint Thomas' Mount.....	32	„ 4,765
Trichinopoly.....	87	„ 12,423
Total...		34,758.

Of this sum Rupees 26,000 would continue to be a charge on the State, instead of being, as contemplated by the Government, paid by the respective Municipalities.

5. It may be expedient not to tax the Native soldiery; but, as the Law stands, this can only be done by exempting the entire Military limits. In all cases these limits are very extensive, and include the property, residences, &c., of a large civil population residing within the limits of these Cantonments, who are in no way connected with the Army. The limits of the Cantonment of Bellary, for instance, practically include the whole place, with the rateable residences and property of all Civil Officers and general population; the Cantonment of Cannanore comprises much property which is unconnected with Military bazaars and clearly rateable; within the Trichinopoly Cantonment, all the Civil Officers live; and so on. The introduction of the Towns' Improvement Act would, as Mr. Ballard suggests in respect to Cannanore, have to be given up entirely and for all time to come, in all the large Military Stations. This I am satisfied is out of the question.

6. I do not think that, taking Act I of 1866 with the subsidiary rules now under the consideration of Government, there is any thing that need cause difficulty in working both the Acts together. The Government has it in its power to constitute Committees who can work in harmony.

7. The only solution I can suggest to relieve, if it be expedient, Native soldiery from taxation, is to treat their Lines as public buildings or Barracks, and to

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,
17th September 1866.*

pay the Municipal rates from the public purse. Sepoys have no other property that will be subject to rates.

8. I do not think that ordinary Shop-keepers and Bazaarmen in Cantonments require any consideration whatever. They are not sutlers or Army traders and contractors, who follow the Army into the field, or aid and supply the soldiery on the line of march. They are permanent residents, occupying good shops, where they drive a prosperous trade under more than ordinary advantages, and clearly ought to contribute to the well-being of the Town of which they are permanent residents.

Estimate for Police of Cannanore.

Cantonment.	Town.
1 4th Class Inspector, at Rs. 85 per mensem.	1 5th Class Inspector, at Rs. 60 per mensem.
1 European Head Const. „ 30½ „	2 Head Constables „ 22 „
2 Head Constables „ 22 „	2 Deputy „ 12½ „
3 Deputy „ 12½ „	6 1st Class „ 8 „
11 1st Class „ 8 „	12 2nd Class „ 7 „
21 2nd „ „ 7 „	Total annual cost, including clothing, &c. Rs. 3,598
Total annual cost, including clothing, &c. Rs. 5,985	Total annual cost payable by the Municipality being 75 per cent... 2,699
Total annual cost payable by the Municipality, being 75 per cent... 4,489	Monthly cost including clothing, &c. 300
Monthly cost including clothing, &c. 499	Monthly cost payable by Municipality 225
Monthly cost payable by Municipality..... 374	

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPE. GENL. OF POLICE,
MADRAS, 8th August 1866.

(Signed) W. ROBINSON,
Inspector General of Police.

No. 101. ORDER THEREON, 17th September 1866, No. 939.

As the Town and Cantonment of Cannanore are quite distinct from each other, they will be treated as separate Municipalities, as originally proposed by Mr. Ballard.

2. The course proposed in paragraph 7 of the letter from the Inspector General of Police will be followed.

(True Extract).

Secretary to Government.

To the Magistrate of Malabar.

„ Inspector General of Police.

„ *Magistrate of Malabar.*

Knd. J. H. Burns.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,
17th September 1866.*

Read the following papers:—

No. 98. From G. A. BALLARD, Esq., Magistrate of Malabar, to the Acting Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Calicut, 19th June 1866, No. 82.

In G. O., dated 9th ultimo, the Governor in Council expressed himself unable to admit the validity of the reasons advanced by me against the application of the Towns' Improvement Act in Cannanore.

It is observed that the Town, being a Military Cantonment, under the Military Cantonment Act, ought to be brought under the provisions of the Towns' Improvement Act.

I had spoken of the Town of Cannanore, and had not alluded to the Cantonment; and Government is evidently unaware how distinct the Town and Cantonment are.

2. The Town of Cannanore is separated by a small inlet from the sea for some distance from the Cantonment limits, whilst the Town Bazaars and the Cantonment Bazaars are kept entirely distinct by the extensive intervening parade ground and a tract occupied by gardens and detached dwellings. The classes of tradesmen are equally distinct: those in the town supplying the wants of the Native seaport; those in the Cantonment, the requirements of the Troops and their followers.

The Town contains a population, mostly Moplah, of some 8600 inhabitants, and is under a Native Sub-Magistrate. The Cantonment has a population of some 8000 inhabitants (including the Troops), and its Cantonment Magistrate has nothing to do with the Town.

And separate Police Establishments under different Inspectors are now maintained.

3. In my opinion, the Town and Cantonment should be kept distinct, and as Government see no objection to the Act being applied to the Cantonment as such, and do not admit my reasons for rather desiring to delay the introduction of the Act in the Town; I think the Town and Cantonment should be constituted distinct and separate Municipalities.

4. I have requested the Joint Magistrate of Malabar to submit, in communication with the local Officers and some of the Residents, details of information and proposals for introducing the Act in both places, and will address you in detail as soon as I hear from him.

5. Meanwhile, I submit this letter, in order that Government may, if it sees fit, express approval of the two Municipalities being formed.

P. S.—Copy of this letter has been sent to the Inspector General of Police, for any remarks he may desire to make on its subject.

No. 99. From G. A. BALLARD, Esq., Magistrate of Malabar, to the Acting Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Calicut, 26th July 1866, No. 99.

I have been looking for orders on my letter of the 19th ultimo, No. 82, regarding the arrangements to be made with regard to Act X of 1865, and the Town and Cantonment of Cannanore.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,
17th September 1866.*

2. I had the honor to point out that the Town and Cantonment were quite distinct. To appoint a willing and efficient Commission in the Town will not be easy; but, nevertheless, I hope, before long, to lay a report before Government that will enable them to apply the Towns' Improvement Act there.

3. I would respectfully, however, solicit further consideration as to whether this Act should be extended to Cannanore or any Cantonment where Act I of 1866 is in force. The latter Act provides for general conservancy, as well as for the regulation of other matters more especially connected with the peculiar requirements of a Cantonment. In a Cantonment, I cannot but think that conservancy may well be left to the Cantonment authorities and Act I of 1866. The application of Act X of 1865 is uncalled for, unless it be determined that it is necessary as a means of raising local funds.

4. And only to raise funds for the maintenance of the Police is the Towns' Improvement Act required from a Police point of view. In all other respects, Police arrangements can be made, as well without the Act, as with it.

5. But a Cantonment proper is generally fairly distinguishable from the Civil part of a Town.

Its houses, bazaars, &c., are mainly for the accommodation and supply of the Military. The forces could not get on without them. If local rates and taxes are levied on these subjects, or in any manner as provided in the Towns' Improvement Act in a Cantonment, their incidence will indirectly, but certainly, be on the Officers, men, and followers inhabiting the Cantonment limits. This is perhaps hardly desirable. The Troops being necessary for the empire, the bazaars, &c., for the troops, and a certain establishment of Police for the bazaars, it may be well to consider whether a Cantonment Police should not be chargeable to Imperial revenues, rather than to local rates, as will now generally be the case in ordinary towns.

6. If the Towns' Improvement Act is introduced in the Cantonment, Commissioners must be appointed under it; and I think it will be difficult to prevent clashing between the Civil Commissioners, working under the Towns' Improvement Act, and the Cantonment authorities, working under the Cantonment Act.

7. Meantime, I have requested the Acting Sub-Collector to collect the information required both for Town and Cantonment. The changes that have taken place in the Sub-Collectorate lately have prevented as speedy progress as would otherwise have been made.

Forwarded to the Inspector General of Police, in connection with the letter from the Magistrate of Malabar of the 19th June last, No. 82.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,

2nd August 1866.

(Signed) A. J. ARBUTHNOT,

Chief Secretary.

No. 100. From the Inspector General of Police, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Madras, 8th August 1866, No. 1279.

Account Department.

With reference to Mr. Ballard's letter, dated 19th June, No. 82, I have the honor to state that the Police Establishment of Cannanore has hitherto been budgeted for as one body; but I believe that practically nearly the whole budgeted strength has worked in the Cantonment alone. The Town has been reinforced by a few drafts from other parts of the District.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,
17th September 1866.*

2. As remarked by Mr. Ballard, there is a well defined separation between the Town and the Cantonment of Cannanore; and I would recommend, as advocated by him, for the sake of convenience and harmony, that the Native town and the Cantonment be treated as separate Municipalities. If this suggestion be acted upon, I beg to propose some increase on my estimate, submitted with my letter, dated 6th March, No. 378, as per statement appended. Mr. Ballard had submitted no recommendation, and I was obliged to enter the existing Establishment as budgeted. The local Officers, and, I believe, the Magistrate, are satisfied that the establishment then proposed would not suffice for both places.

3. However, in his letter of the 26th July, Mr. Ballard practically raises the question whether Act X of 1865 (*Towns' Improvement Act*) shall be extended to any Cantonment brought under Act I of 1866 (*Military Cantonment Act*). He evidently deprecates the measure, and considers that the Police charge ought to be an Imperial charge, and that conservancy ought to be left to the local authorities under Act I of 1866. It may be incidentally remarked that the latter Act contains no provision for raising money for conservancy or other purposes.

4. If exemption be conceded in one case, the privilege must be extended to all Cantonments of the same class. The Cantonments which would be affected by such arrangements, with the charge to the State for Police, are as follows, say—

	No. of Police.	RS.
Bellary.....	72	about 10,765
Cannanore, say.....	45	„ 6,805
Saint Thomas' Mount.....	32	„ 4,765
Trichinopoly.....	87	„ 12,423

Total... 34,758

Of this sum Rupees 26,068 would continue to be a charge on the State, instead of being, as contemplated by the Government, paid by the respective Municipalities.

5. It may be expedient not to tax the Native soldiery; but, as the Law stands, this can only be done by exempting the entire Military limits. In all cases these limits are very extensive, and include the property, residences, &c., of a large civil population residing within the limits of these Cantonments, who are in no way connected with the Army. The limits of the Cantonment of Bellary, for instance, practically include the whole place, with the rateable residences and property of all Civil Officers and general population; the Cantonment of Cannanore comprises much property which is unconnected with Military bazaars and clearly rateable; within the Trichinopoly Cantonment, all the Civil Officers live; and so on. The introduction of the Towns' Improvement Act would, as Mr. Ballard suggests in respect to Cannanore, have to be given up entirely, and for all time to come, in all the large Military Stations. This I am satisfied is out of the question.

6. I do not think that, taking Act I of 1866 with the subsidiary rules now under the consideration of Government, there is any thing that need cause difficulty in working both the Acts together. The Government has it in its power to constitute Committees who can work in harmony.

7. The only solution I can suggest to relieve, if it be expedient, Native soldiery from taxation, is to treat their Lines as public buildings or Barracks, and

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,

17th September 1866.

to pay the Municipal rates from the public purse. Sepoys have no other property that will be subject to rates.

8. I do not think that ordinary Shop-keepers and Bazaarmen in Cantonments require any consideration whatever. They are not sutlers or Army traders and contractors, who follow the Army into the field, or aid and supply the soldiery on the line of march. They are permanent residents, occupying good shops, where they drive a prosperous trade under more than ordinary advantages, and clearly ought to contribute to the well-being of the Town of which they are permanent residents.

Estimate for Police of Cannanore.

Cantonment.	Town.
1 4th Class Inspector, at Rs. 85 per mensem.	1 5th Class Inspector, at Rs. 60 per mensem.
1 European Head Cons. „ 30½ „	2 Head Constables „ 22 „
2 Head Constables „ 22 „	2 Deputy „ 12½ „
3 Deputy „ 12½ „	6 1st Class „ 8 „
11 1st Class „ 8 „	12 2nd Class „ 7 „
21 2nd „ „ 7 „	Total annual cost, including clothing, &c... Rs. 3,598
Total annual cost, including clothing, &c... Rs. 5,985	Total annual cost payable by the Municipality, being 75 per cent... 2,699
Total annual cost payable by the Municipality, being 75 per cent... 4,489	Monthly cost including clothing, &c. 300
Monthly cost including clothing, &c. 499	Monthly cost payable by Municipality... 225
Monthly cost payable by Municipality... 374	

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPR. GENL. OF POLICE,
MADRAS, 8th August 1866.

(Signed) W. ROBINSON,
Inspector General of Police.

No. 101. ORDER THEREON, 17th September 1866, No. 939.

As the Town and Cantonment of Cannanore are quite distinct from each other, they will be treated as separate Municipalities, as originally proposed by Mr. Ballard.

2. The course proposed in paragraph 7 of the letter from the Inspector General of Police will be followed.

(True Extract).

J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

To the Magistrate of Malabar.

„ Inspector General of Police.
„ Magistrate of ~~Malabar~~

Erd. J. H. Burns.

Pro 9 May 1866 Nov 4 3000

of course we are, that
the whole of the
on the 1st of the 11th from
the 12th to the 13th
the 14th to the 15th
the 16th to the 17th
the 18th to the 19th
the 20th to the 21st
the 22nd to the 23rd
the 24th to the 25th
the 26th to the 27th
the 28th to the 29th
the 30th to the 31st

215
212
211
210
209
208
207
206
205
204
203
202
201
200
199
198
197
196
195
194
193
192
191
190
189
188
187
186
185
184
183
182
181
180
179
178
177
176
175
174
173
172
171
170
169
168
167
166
165
164
163
162
161
160
159
158
157
156
155
154
153
152
151
150
149
148
147
146
145
144
143
142
141
140
139
138
137
136
135
134
133
132
131
130
129
128
127
126
125
124
123
122
121
120
119
118
117
116
115
114
113
112
111
110
109
108
107
106
105
104
103
102
101
100
99
98
97
96
95
94
93
92
91
90
89
88
87
86
85
84
83
82
81
80
79
78
77
76
75
74
73
72
71
70
69
68
67
66
65
64
63
62
61
60
59
58
57
56
55
54
53
52
51
50
49
48
47
46
45
44
43
42
41
40
39
38
37
36
35
34
33
32
31
30
29
28
27
26
25
24
23
22
21
20
19
18
17
16
15
14
13
12
11
10
9
8
7
6
5
4
3
2
1

20934
17.9.66

1866 Sept 10
of the 1st of the 11th from
the 12th to the 13th
the 14th to the 15th
the 16th to the 17th
the 18th to the 19th
the 20th to the 21st
the 22nd to the 23rd
the 24th to the 25th
the 26th to the 27th
the 28th to the 29th
the 30th to the 31st

Report on the introduction
of the 1st of the 11th from
the 12th to the 13th
the 14th to the 15th
the 16th to the 17th
the 18th to the 19th
the 20th to the 21st
the 22nd to the 23rd
the 24th to the 25th
the 26th to the 27th
the 28th to the 29th
the 30th to the 31st

the 1st of the 11th from

the 1st of the 11th from
the 12th to the 13th
the 14th to the 15th
the 16th to the 17th
the 18th to the 19th
the 20th to the 21st
the 22nd to the 23rd
the 24th to the 25th
the 26th to the 27th
the 28th to the 29th
the 30th to the 31st

the 1st of the 11th from
the 12th to the 13th
the 14th to the 15th
the 16th to the 17th
the 18th to the 19th
the 20th to the 21st
the 22nd to the 23rd
the 24th to the 25th
the 26th to the 27th
the 28th to the 29th
the 30th to the 31st

the 1st of the 11th from
the 12th to the 13th
the 14th to the 15th
the 16th to the 17th
the 18th to the 19th
the 20th to the 21st
the 22nd to the 23rd
the 24th to the 25th
the 26th to the 27th
the 28th to the 29th
the 30th to the 31st