

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	1118	Press Mis.
Dated	9-9-	1867

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Copy
to
Mr. A. S. S. S.*

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,
9th September 1867.*

No. 94. Read the following :—

TO HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR OF FORT SAINT GEORGE,
Madras.

The humble Memorial of J. N. JOHNSON, Treasury Accountant, Roy Bareilly, in the Province of Oudh,

HUMBLY SHEWETH,

That your Memorialist is reluctantly compelled to lay before your Excellency the accompanying transcripts of correspondence, connected with the conduct of Dr. W. H. Roberts, Civil Surgeon of Salem, in the Madras Presidency, and to bring to your Excellency's notice that the said gentleman is indebted to your Memorialist in the sum of Rupees 661, with interest thereon since 1863, on account of rent of a dwelling house occupied by him at Seonee, in the Central Provinces, during the period that he was in medical charge of that station.

2. Your Memorialist begs that your Excellency will, after perusal of the correspondence that has passed on the subject of non-payment of rent, between the Deputy Commissioner of Seonee, Dr. Roberts, and Lieutenant Colonel Spence, the Commissioner of the Division, see into the justice of the claim now advanced by your Memorialist, who is, under the peculiar circumstances of the case, necessitated to seek redress at your Excellency's hands.

3. Your Memorialist would not have given your Excellency the trouble that this Memorial will involve, but being sanguine that your Excellency will see your Memorialist righted, and acting on the advice of the Deputy Commissioner of Seonee, contained in his letter to your Memorialist's address, dated the 23rd April 1867, your Memorialist has presumed to represent Dr. Roberts' unwarrantable conduct to your Excellency, who, as Head of the Presidency, can call on Dr. Roberts to pay his just debt to your Memorialist, who, as in duty bound, shall ever pray for your Excellency's health and equitable administration.

ROY BAREILLY, OUDH,
4th August 1867.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Seonee, to W. H. ROBERTS, Esq., M. D., Civil Surgeon, Seonee ; dated Seonee, 11th June 1864, No. 1461.

This office letter, No. 99, dated 4th of February 1863, apprized you that you were a debtor to Mr. Purcell's estate, for the rent of a house, occupied by you, from the 15th March 1861 to 31st December 1862, viz. Rupees 860 0 0

The payment acknowledged till that date were..... 389 3 0
Leaving a balance..... 470 13 0

2. Your letter, No. 6, dated 10th March 1863, stated that, besides the sum of Rupees 389-3-9 paid by you, you had executed repairs, through a person named Khundoo, amounting to Rupees 89-13-0 ; deducting this item, there now remains against you Rupees 381.

3. You also occupied the same house from 1st January 1863 to 31st July 1863, being seven months. A further balance of Rupees 280 is due, making a total of Rupees 661, which I shall feel obliged by your sending at your earliest convenience.

4. Should you be able to produce receipts for payments made beyond the figure of Rupees 470-13-0, for which credit has already been given you, of course, they will be accepted.

5. The undersigned trusts these accounts may be settled previous to your departure, or, at least, as much as possible,— monthly instalments could be accepted for any balance remaining.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,
9th September 1867.*

From the Deputy Commissioner, Seonee, to W. H. ROBERTS, Esq., M. D., Civil Surgeon, Seonee; dated 14th July 1864, No. 1779.

DOCKET.—Draws attention to this Office, No. 1461, dated the 11th June 1864, and requests him to make arrangements, previous to his departure, regarding the rent due by him to Mr. Purcell's estate.

From W. H. ROBERTS Esq., M. D., Civil Surgeon, Seonee, to the Assistant Commissioner in charge, Seonee; dated Seonee, 15th July 1864.

In reply to letter, No. 1461, and docket 1779, of the 14th instant, I have the honor to inform you that, as soon as I reach my new destination, I shall take early measures for the liquidation of my debt to the Purcell estate, by the payment of monthly instalments. I regret that circumstances will not permit of my making any payment just at present.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Seonee, to W. H. ROBERTS, Esq., M. D.; dated 14th October 1864, No. 2762.

I have the honor to call your attention to my predecessor's letters to your address, as per margin, and your replies.

No. 1461, dated 11th July 1864.
No. 1779, dated 14th July 1864.
Your letter, dated 15th July 1864.

2. It is apparent that you are indebted to the estate of the late Mr. Purcell, of which I am appointed guardian, in the sum of Rupees 661.

3. I feel myself utterly unable to allow this claim to go unsettled any longer. The money was due by you for house rent, which you should have paid monthly.

4. I must request that you will remit me this money within one month, in default of which I shall be compelled, in justice to the orphans, to lay the whole subject before authority, with my recommendation that you will be sued in the Civil Court for the amount.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Seonee, to the Commissioner, Jubbulpore Division, dated 26th January 1865, No. 219.

It is with reluctance that I forward copies of correspondence, as per margin, for your orders.

No. 2762 dated 14th October 1864, to Doctor Roberts.
" 1461, dated 11th June 1864 to do.
" 1779, dated 14th July to do.
Doctor Roberts letter, dated 15th July 1864.

2. Mr. Purcell's orphans are dependent for their daily bread and education on the rent of the two houses at Seonee under my charge.

3. The income from these is necessarily precarious; the houses urgently need large outlays in repairs; but I have only Rupees 789 to the credit of the estate.

4. You will see that the sum of Rupees 661 is due by Doctor Roberts, late Civil Surgeon at Seonee, for arrears of rent, but he has not even replied to No. 2762, of the 14th October last, though written in strong terms.

5. I am aware of decrees out against Doctor Roberts, and fear a civil suit will only make matters worse, and I trust you will see no objection to his being called upon to pay a debt due to these orphans through his official superiors.

6. Doctor Roberts is now Civil Surgeon of Salem in the Madras Presidency.

From the Commissioner, Jubbulpore Division, to W. H. ROBERTS, Esq., M. D., Civil Surgeon at Salem, dated 17th February 1865, No. 854.

I have the honor to forward, for your perusal, a copy of a letter, No. 219, of the 26th ultimo from the Deputy Commissioner of Seonee, to my address, relative to a large sum of money due by you to the Purcell estate at Seonee, as the rent, for several months, of a house appertaining to the said estate, which was occupied by you when you were in civil medical charge of the Seonee District.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,
9th September 1867.*

2. This debt has been outstanding for a very long time, and the longer the liquidation of it is postponed, the graver the case against you will become. I am averse to having recourse to any stringent measures, if there is the slightest reason to believe that the debt can be settled amicably. You are now, I believe, in medical charge at Salem, and I trust quite capable of paying up a debt due to the Purcell orphans, who are entirely dependent on the rent of the houses at Seonee (one of which you occupied) for their subsistence and education. I, therefore, earnestly solicit that, immediately on the receipt of this, you will make the Deputy Commissioner of Seonee a remittance of the sum of Rupees 661, due by you to the Purcell estate, or that you will communicate with that Officer, and arrange with him in such other way for the liquidation of the debt as shall be acceptable to him. I am, in duty bound, to record, in conclusion, that, in default of your acting promptly on this letter, I shall be compelled to refer this matter to higher authority, and that the Deputy Commissioner of Seonee must then institute a civil suit against you for the amount of the debt.

No. 855 of 1865.

Copy of the foregoing forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner of Seonee, for information, with reference to his No. 219, of the 26th ultimo, and with a request that he will inform the undersigned, after a reasonable time, if Dr. Roberts has complied with the request made from this office.

By order.

(Signed) D. S. HANNAGAN,
Head Clerk.

JUBBULPORE COMMISSIONERSHIP,
17th February 1865.

for Commissioner, Jubbulpore Division.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Seonee, to the Commissioner, Jubbulpore Division; dated Seonee, 17th March 1867, No. 734.

In reply to your endorsement, No. 865, of the 17th ultimo, I have the honor to report that, a month having elapsed, I have as yet received no communication whatever from Dr. Roberts, late Civil Surgeon at Seonee, regarding the debt due by him to the Purcell estate.

From the Commissioner, Jubbulpore Division, to W. H. ROBERTS, Esq., M. D., Civil Surgeon, Salem; dated Jubbulpore, 28th March 1865, No. 1581.

In continuation of my office letter, No. 854, of the 17th ultimo, on the subject of your debt to the Purcell estate, I have the honor to inform you that I learn from the Deputy Commissioner of Seonee that you had not, up to the 17th instant, communicated with him on the subject, and that I have, therefore, authorized him to institute a civil suit against you for the debt, should he fail to receive either a remittance or an intimation from you, by the 25th of the ensuing month of April, that you have made proper arrangements for the payment of the full amount of your debt to the Purcell estate at Seonee.

No. 1582.

Copy of the foregoing forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Seonee, with reference to No. 734, dated 17th instant, with a request that he will institute the suit against Dr. Roberts, should he fail as indicated.

(Signed) J. K. SPENCE,
Commissioner, Jubbulpore Division.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Seonee, to the Commissioner, Jubbulpore Division; dated Seonee, 10th August 1865, No. 2184.

With reference to previous correspondence, I forwarded, in original, all letters which have passed between Dr. Roberts and myself, since your letter, No. 1581, to his address, forwarded to me with No. 1582, of 28th March 1865. I have, in spite of this, not been paid a Rupee by Dr. Roberts, and, therefore, again solicit your orders.

4 *Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,
9th September 1867.*

2. I consider a suit in the Civil Court would do no good. It is impossible for me, after obtaining a decree, to take out execution, as I have no idea what property he may be possessed of, and I would desire, if possible, to avoid the arrest of the person of an Officer in Dr. Roberts' position. It seems to me the best hope lays in another communication from yourself.

From the Commissioner, Jubbulpore Division, to W. H. ROBERTS, Esq., M. D. Civil Surgeon, Salem; dated 22nd August 1865, No. 4705.

With reference to this office letters, numbers and dates as per margin, to your address, I have the honor to inform you that the Deputy Commissioner of Seonee has brought to my notice that, up to the 10th of the current month, he

had not received one Rupee from you, towards the liquidation of your debt to the "Purcell estate", contracted by you while in civil medical charge of Seonee.

2. I have to express my extreme disappointment that you should have failed so entirely to act up to your agreement for paying off this claim against you, after the great forbearance with which you have been treated in the matter. You occupied a house belonging to the Purcell estate from the 15th March 1861 to the 31st December 1862, the rent for which period, at 40 Rupees a month, amounted to Rupees 860, and out of this you paid Rupees 389-3-0 on account of rent, and Rupees 89-13-0 for repairs, leaving a balance of Rupees 381 due, and again you occupied the same house from the 1st January to the 31st July 1863, the rent for which period came to Rupees 280, making a total demand of Rupees 661, and yet, notwithstanding such a lapse of time, you have not liquidated even a portion of the debt, though you are aware that the late Mr. Purcell's orphans are dependent on the rent of the house in question and of another house at Seonee for their subsistence and education.

3. In a letter of the 16th May last, you informed the Deputy Commissioner of Seonee that you would arrange for the payment of this debt by monthly instalments of 50 Rupees, commencing from the issue of pay in June, and that you would pay the money either through Messrs. Arbuthnot and Co., by order on the Bank of Bengal, or by Bank Notes. In reply, the Deputy Commissioner informed you, in his letter, No. 1515, dated the 31st May, that, provided he received regularly 50 Rupees a month from the 15th June, until the debt was paid off, he would suspend any further action in the matter, and he begged you to understand that regularity in the payments was an absolute necessity. Again, in your letter of the 16th June, you requested that the agreement might take effect from the 15th July, instead of from the 15th June, on the ground that you had reason to expect an increase of pay from July; this request, the Deputy Commissioner very properly refused to accede to, and informed you that the former agreement must be acted up to, but, so far from paying attention to his communication, you have not made a single remittance.

4. I shall be very sorry to find myself compelled to take steps in this case which would be likely to get you into trouble, but it is impossible for me to allow the matter to run any longer in the way I have above described: simple justice to the Purcell orphans demands a strict adherence to your agreement for the adjustment of the claim, and I, therefore, beg of you to take notice that, unless you remit your instalments for June, July, and August, at the rate of Rs. 50 a month, so as to reach the Deputy Commissioner of Seonee not later than the 20th proximo, and thenceforward remit the monthly instalments with punctuality, I shall be forced, most reluctantly, to lay the correspondence before the Chief Commissioner, Central Provinces, in view to its being forwarded for the consideration and orders of His Excellency the Governor of Fort Saint George.

No. 4706.

Copy of the above forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner of Seonee, for information, with reference to his No. 2184, dated the 10th instant, and with an intimation that the original correspondence furnished by him is retained for the present in this office, in case a reference to higher authority should have to be made.

COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
JUBBULPORE DIVISION,
22nd August 1865.

(Signed) J. K. SPENCE,
Commissioner, Jubbulpore Division.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,
9th September 1867.* 5

From the Deputy Commissioner, Seonee, to the Commissioner, Jubbulpore Division, dated Seonee, 20th September 1865, No. 2483.

Your No. 4706, of 22nd ultimo.

I regret to say that I have received no communication or payment from Dr. Roberts.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Seonee, to the Commissioner, Jubbulpore Division, dated Seonee, 12th June 1866, No. 1103.

Referring to past correspondence, I must once again ask your assistance to recover from Dr. Roberts, Civil Surgeon, Salem, the sum of Rupees 661, due to the Purcell estate.

2. Although I have actually handed this over to the son of the late Mr. Purcell, as nearest of kin, yet I feel the heirs will look to me to recover this money. When I tell you that I am aware of one single suit being instituted against Dr. Roberts in the Nagpore Court lately for Rupees 3114, you will see why I am desirous to obtain this money without legal proceedings; but, of course, if you think it necessary, I can file a civil suit in the Seonee Court against him.

3. I must add that, since my last letter to you, No. 2483, of 28th September last, I have received no communication from Dr. Roberts.

No. 2050.

The enclosed copies of letters are forwarded for the information of Mr. Johnson, with reference to his letter, dated 30th September last.
26th October 1866.

(Signed) J. B. DENNYS,
Dy. Commissioner.

From the Deputy Commissioner, Seonee, to Mr. J. N. JOHNSON; dated Seonee, 23rd April 1867, No. 762.

As in the agreement made between yourself and Mr. Purcell, you accepted, as a part of your wife's share of the Purcell estate the debt due by Dr. Roberts, late Civil Surgeon of Seonee, I now forward, for your information, copy of a letter, No. 785, of 17th April 1867, from the Commissioner, Jubbulpore, expressing his inability to interfere further in the matter.

2. It is clear that, being no longer in charge of the estate, no proceedings can now be taken by me; but I forward*, for your use and guidance, copies of all correspondence regarding this debt due by Dr. Roberts.

3. I am of opinion that by proceeding legally you may incur considerable expense and inconvenience, and I should recommend you, before doing so, to solicit the interference of Dr. Roberts' superiors, but I am not aware what appointment that Officer now holds. A Madras Army List could tell you this.

4. As Dr. Roberts' last letter† to me, dated 16th May 1865, is clearly an acknowledgment of the debt, the cause of action would date from then, and under Section 8, Act XIV of 1859, could be heard in the Seonee Civil Court within the period of three years from that date.

From the Commissioner, Jubbulpore Division, to the Deputy Commissioner, Seonee; dated 17th April 1867, No. 785.

Referring to your letter, No. 1103, of the 12th June last, and to subsequent correspondence dating down to 5th ultimo, relative to the debt due by Dr. Roberts to the Purcell estate, I have the honor to return your Office file of papers in the case, and to state as follows for your information and guidance.

2. On re-perusing my predecessor's No. 3586, dated 16th December 1863, to the address of the Judicial Commissioner, and Mr. Strachey's reply thereto, No. 85, of the 4th February 1864, copy of which correspondence is in your Office file, I have come to the conclusion that it would not be regular for me to write to Dr. Roberts again in the matter of his debt to the estate, as I

* Already forwarded to Mr. Johnson, with this Office No. 2050, of 26th October 1866.

† This was sent to Commissioner, Jubbulpore, in original, with Office No. 2184, of 10th August 1865, but not returned.

1. Number of pages. 18
2. Date of repair. 1-10-
3. Initials of Referencer.

M.R.O. 1-32,400-22-11-00

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.

1118

Press
~~Mis.~~

Dated

30-10-1866.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

have no authority extra-judicially in the management of it, and of this I duly informed you in my letter, No. 5441, of the 10th October 1865. The immediate and sole administration of the property vested in you as Deputy Commissioner until you made over charge of it to Mr. Parkinson, and I am convinced, therefore, that, legally, measures should be taken from your Office to recover the amount due by Dr. Roberts to the Purcell estate in whatever manner you may deem expedient; and as the debt has been of very long standing, I may add that I believe it would be advisable not to let matters for its recovery stand over much longer.
 (True Copies.)

(Signed) J. N. JOHNSON.

No. 238.

Transferred to the Chief Secretary to Government.

GOVERNMENT HOUSE, MADRAS,
 23rd August 1867.

(By Order),

(Signed) J. H. GARSTIN.

Private Secretary.

No. 95.

ORDER THEREON, 9th September 1867, No. 1118.

The Governor in Council does not consider that the matter referred to in the petition above recorded calls for the interference of Government.

2. The amount claimed from Dr. Roberts can be recovered by a suit in a Civil Court.

(True Extract):

Chief Secretary.

To Mr. J. N. Johnson.

Exd. J. H. Burns.

Code. At the
 last post. could examine
 Dr. Roberts to pay up
 only by certificate? -
 a judgment - once
 passed adverse to him
 would have the effect
 of securing the satisfaction
 of the entire debt -
 W.D.A.

The Governor in Council
 directs that the papers
 be forwarded to the Inspector
 General Indian Medical
 Department who will
 be requested to call upon
 Dr. Roberts for an explanation
 of his conduct, in respect
 of the claim made against
 him for house rent since
 1863. - -

Why should not Mr.
 Johnson see Dr. Roberts.
 with some civil
 Court? In my mind
 would be given in his
 favor as a matter
 of com. and exca-
 -tion made by the
 Salem Civil Court -
 in letter from Dyce Court.
 23 April 1867
 J.P.

It is not desirable that the
 Govt should specially inter-
 fere in a matter of this
 kind. It would be found
 in the Court #

Read the following papers :—

No. 232. From C. N. POUHIN, Esq., Acting Collector of Trichinopoly, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Trichinopoly, 26th July 1866, No. 28.

With reference to the Government Order, dated 9th May 1866, No. 471, I have the honor to forward a Draft Notification showing—

1st.—The proposed limits of the Municipality of Trichinopoly, with a view to the introduction of the Towns' Improvement Act.

2ndly.—The names of the proposed Municipal Commissioners.

3rdly.—The number, description, and rates of pay of the Police Force to be maintained.

4thly.—Estimated amount to be raised, according to the requisitions specified in Section 25 of the Act.

2. As to the boundaries, Trichinopoly Town itself is so interwoven with the adjoining Pettahs and Villages, that the population within the proposed Municipality is calculated at not less than 100,000; the late Collector estimated it at 140,000. As the proposed limits of the town has such a large population, as large, if not the largest in the south, the Police Force ought to be somewhat proportionate; about one to every five or six hundred might be considered sufficient.

3. The Inspector General of Police appears to think that 105 is ample strength, or about one for every 1000 inhabitants. Now, considering the size and population of the Town of Tanjore, 30,180, in the adjoining District, where this same Officer proposes 102 as the Police strength, I cannot see how less than 150 will suffice in a place where the extent is greater, and the population upwards of three times as large. The Superintendent of Police, as well as the Deputy Inspector General, agrees with me in considering it impossible for so small a number as 105 Police to satisfactorily attend to the duties to which they will be called. I am also informed that the Police Force now employed for even smaller limits than those proposed is 116.

4. Perhaps, as long as the Military are retained at Trichinopoly, 150 would be sufficient, but should they be hereafter withdrawn, the number of Police will have to be increased. I believe I am right in saying that it is the wish of Government that what is called the Cantonment should fall within the Municipality; such being the case, something will have to be done with reference to the jurisdiction over the Police. According to Section 12 of Act I of 1866, the Police in a Cantonment is under the general control and direction of the Commanding Officer of such Cantonment.

5. The matter of jurisdiction within the limits of this Cantonment has been the subject of correspondence for years, and at this present time I have, in consequence of a letter from the General Commanding, a requisition to report on the matter as to what land actually belongs to Government within the limits of the so called Cantonment, with a view, no doubt, of considering the subject of jurisdiction.

6. I have added the Superintendent of Police and the Town Sub-Magistrate, Cuddapah Kristnayer, to the list of Commissioners, for approval, as I consider their services will be highly advantageous.

100
2048
26/6/72

His Excellency the Governor of,
Fort Saint George, Madras
The Humble Memorial of
J. N. Johnson Treasury
Accountant Ray Bareilly
in the Province of Oude

Humbly sheweth — That your Memorialist
is reluctantly compelled to lay before
your excellency the accompanying trans-
cript of correspondence connected with
the conduct of Dr W. H. Roberts B.M. Sur-
geon of Salem in the Madras Presiden-
cy and to bring to your Excellency notice
that the said Gentleman is indebted to
your Memorialist in the sum of Rs 661/-
with interest thereon since 1863 an ac-
count of rent of a dwelling house com-
piled by him at Seane in the Central
Provinces during the period that he was
in Medical Charge of that station. —

Your Memorialist begs that your
Excellency will after perusal of the corres-
pondence that has passed on the subject
of non-payment of rent, between the Deputy
Commissioner of Seane, Dr Roberts, & the
Commissioner of the Division,
see into the justice of the claim now advanced
by your Memorialist who is under the
peculiar circumstances of the case ne-
cessitated to seek redress at your Excel-
lency's hands. —

Your Memorialist would not have
given your Excellency the trouble that this
Memorial will involve, but being con-
vinced that your Excellency will see your
Memorialist righted and following the
advice of the Revenue

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,
30th October 1866.

7. As to the expenditure of the Funds to be raised under Clause II of Section 25 of the Act, the first thing will be the clearing away the daily rubbish and dirt that accumulates, and the repairing the roads and drains through the limits. In so large a place as Trichinopoly, almost any amount might be expended; but at first we should confine ourselves more to sanitary purposes.

8. The amount the Commissioners propose to raise (1) by rates on houses, and lands, (2) taxes on arts, professions, trades and callings, and (3) tolls payable on entering the Municipal limits, is about Rupees 38,680, as follows:—

	RS.	A.	P.
Tax on houses, buildings, &c., calculated at the rate of 5 per cent. on rental...	13,645	0	0
Tax on professions, &c...	12,063	0	0
Tolls payable on entering the Municipal limits.....	12,972	0	0
Total...	38,680	0	0
Quarter share to be paid by Government...	12,893	5	4
Total...	51,573	5	4

9. Of course the estimates are but rough calculations. The rates on the houses have been made at 5 per cent. on the estimated gross annual rental, which annual rent again has been arrived at by taking 10 per cent. of the actual value of the property. For example, a house, the value of which was 1000 Rupees would have to pay a rate of 5 Rupees per annum, or about 7 Annas per mensem.

10. There are to be 5 tolls.

	Average collections.
1. Caverry bridge.....	666
2. Tanjore road.....	58
3. Madura do.	166
4. Dindigul do.	66
5. Caroor do.	125
	1081 per mensem.
	12,972 per annum.

11. Tolls payable on entering the Municipal limits are as follows:—

	RS.	A.	P.
On every four wheeled carriage on springs, each.	0	1	0
On do. two do.	0	1	0
On Jatka, hackerry on springs, and cart drawn by men, bullocks, horses, ponies, asses, &c., laden.....	0	1	0
On do. do. not laden.....	0	0	6
Buffalo or bullock laden.....	0	0	3
Horse laden or ridden.....	0	0	3
Pony or Ass laden or ridden.....	0	0	3
Elephant.....	0	8	0
Camel.....	0	1	0

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,
30th October 1866.

12. The amount mentioned is, I feel sure, below what will be collected under Schedule B of the Act. Over the Caverry bridge alone, from the Statements of the D. P. W., upwards of 600 bandies daily pass. The Acting District Engineer, in 1861, estimated that the probable receipts from a toll over the Caverry and Coleroon alone would not be less than 20,832 Rupees.

13. Detailed estimate of the expenditure proposed under Clauses 1 and 2 of Section 25 of the Act is annexed.

I. Maintenance of Police.		RS.
1 Second Class Inspector, at 165 Rupees...	...	165
1 Fourth do. do. at 85 "	...	85
4 European Head Constables, at 30 Rupees each	...	120
6 Head Constables, at 22 "	...	132
10 Deputy do. at 12½ "	...	125
50 First Class Constables, at 8 "	...	400
78 Second do. at 7 "	...	546
150	Per mensem.....	1,573
	Per annum.....	18,876
	Clothing, &c.....	2,525
	Total	21,401

II. Municipal Office Establishment.		RS.
1 Head Writer and Accountant	...	35
2 Clerks, at 15 Rupees each..	...	30
1 Shroff "	...	10
2 Peons, at 6 Rupees each	...	12
1 Mussaljee...	...	5
	Per mensem	92
	Per annum.....	1104
Office furniture...	...	250
Saderward...	...	10

6 additional Goomastahs to be entertained for 4 months, at 15 Rupees each per mensem.	...	360
		1,724

III. Conservancy Establishment.		RS.
1 Head Overseer, including horse allowance....	...	60
6 Maistries, at 10 Rupees each...	...	60
10 Toll Collectors, at 15 "	...	150
20 Peons, at 6 "	...	120
10 Tax Collectors, at 7 "	...	70
40 Scavenging carts with men to work, at 14 Rupees per cart...	...	560
10 Toties, at 5 Rupees each	...	50
	Per month.....	1,070
	Per year.....	12,840

excuse contained in his letter to your
Memorialist addressed dated the 23rd April
1867, your Memorialist has presumed to
present Dr Roberts unammutable Con-
duct to your Excellency who as Head of the
Presidency can call on Dr Roberts to pay
his just debt to your Memorialist who
in duty bound shall ever pray for your
Excellency's health and Equitable Adminis-
tration.

Yours faithfully
Rush
The 4th August 1867

Conservancy.

	RS.	A.	P.
Filling in the Fort Ditch, and forming the circular road or Boulevard, estimate sanctioned	6,000	0	0
Repairs of roads	6,000	0	0
Drainage, lighting, &c.	3,500	0	0
Sundries	108	5	4
	15,608	5	4
Total expenditure proposed	51,573	5	4

No. 233. From the Inspector General of Police, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Madras, 10th September 1866, No. 1490.
Account Department.

With reference to the Report of the Magistrate of Trichinopoly on the introduction of the Towns' Improvement Act, I have the honor to submit a revised estimate for Police.

2. The new limits include suburbs which were not included in the Town as hitherto provided with Police; and I must admit the force of the arguments against the smaller estimate at first submitted by me.

3. I do not anticipate serious difficulty in respect to Section 12 of Act I of 1866. A certain limited number of Police will be told off for duty within the Cantonment limits, subject to the general control of the Officer Commanding.

4. With reference to this matter, I would venture most earnestly to recommend a revision, under the Order of Government, of the limits of Cantonment of Trichinopoly. The area of the Trichinopoly Cantonment is unnecessarily extensive. Trichinopoly was once a large Military Station; but there are now only two Regiments of Native Infantry, and 150 to 200 Europeans stationed there. When the Railway to Erode is opened, the latter will probably be still further reduced. The limit of the Cantonment should be greatly reduced, with reference to the actual condition of the Station.

Revised Estimate of the Town Police proposed for Trichinopoly.

1	2nd Class Inspector, at Rupees 165 per mensem.
1	5th do. do. at „ 60 „ „
4	European Head Constables, at Rupees 30 each per mensem
6	Head Constables, at „ 22 „ „
10	Deputy do. at „ 12½ „ „
43	1st Class do. at „ 8 „ „
85	2nd Class do. at „ 7 „ „

	RS.	A.	P.
Total annual cost, including clothing, &c....	21,525	0	0
Total annual cost payable by the Municipality, being			
75 per cent....	16,144	0	0
Monthly cost, including clothing, &c....	1,794	0	0
Monthly cost payable by Municipality ..	1,344	0	0

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPR. GENL. OF POLICE,
MADRAS, 8th September 1866.

(Signed) W. ROBINSON,
Inspector General of Police.

No. 234. ORDER THEREON, 30th October 1866, No. 1118.

In the first of the letters recorded above, the Acting Magistrate of Trichinopoly, Mr. C. N. Pochin, reports the arrangements proposed for the introduction of the "Towns' Improvement Act, 1865", into Trichinopoly.

2. The expenditure for the whole year 1866-67 is estimated at Rupees 51,573-5-4, of which Rupees 21,401 is on account of the Police.

3. Mr. Pochin, differing from the Inspector General of Police, considers the Police Force numerically insufficient for so large and populous a town, and he anticipates some embarrassment in respect to the jurisdiction over the Police, consequent on the provisions of Section 12, Act I of 1866. The Inspector General of Police does not share this latter apprehension, and has revised the Force, which will consist of 150 men of all grades, costing Rupees 21,525 per annum.

4. The Government do not consider it desirable that the Town Sub-Magistrate should be a Member of the Municipality.

5. The Magistrate will observe that by Section 52 of the Act, the Tolls levied must be "at the rates mentioned in Schedule B." The revenue from this source will, therefore, be considerably larger than the sum entered in his estimate.

6. The Magistrate will state why recourse has not been had to taxation under Section 57 of the Act, which seems peculiarly appropriate to a town like Trichinopoly. The tolls proposed are really a tax on the use of roads and bridges which the Government have constructed at a heavy outlay of public money, while the proceeds will be laid out exclusively for the benefit of the inhabitants of the town. Should this item appear in next year's estimates, the Magistrate, in concert with the Superintending Engineer, will consider whether the Municipality should not provide for the repair of these roads and bridges within a certain distance of the town.

7. In future estimates, the charge for lighting should be separately shown.

8. The Lines of the Troops are free from taxation, under G. O., 17th September last, No. 939.

9. The Magistrate will forward a plan of the Town, showing the proposed Municipal and the Cantonment boundaries.

10. The Act will be brought into operation from the 1st proximo, and the sum to be levied and expended from that date to the 30th April 1867, will be Rupees 25,849, of which Government will contribute Rupees 6462¼.

11. A moiety of the Government contribution may be drawn in advance.

12. Ordered that the following Notification be issued.

NOTIFICATION.

Fort Saint George, 30th October 1866.

The Governor of Fort Saint George in Council, having resolved to extend the provisions of the Towns' Improvement Act, 1865 (Madras Act No. X of 1865) in manner hereinafter set forth, doth hereby declare, in accordance with the provisions of Section 4 of the said Act, that the Town of Trichinopoly in the District of Trichinopoly, in the Presidency of Fort Saint George, together with the several

P.L.C. Dept.

1867.

1000 94113

His Excellency the

—Teacher of Nat. Hist.—

George - Mathias

The Humble Memorial

—of J. H. Williams—

—The University of Cambridge

Very Sincerely,

— in the Province of —

— 12184 —

1. *Smell.*

26th

40/305.
29 June 1977
R 29

Adhuc in domo agitur.

D. Roberts, Civil Engineer, Salem.

for rough-cut and sugar
with interest and regularity

think. Foreman went with call

upon Dr. Kitchin's day the

John Chambers.

9. *St. 1803* = 1118.

Mr Governor in Council do

not consider that the matter referred

to in the station above recorded calls

for the interference of Government.

2 The amount claimed from \$2

Roberts can be recovered by a dust.

us a Court Court.

2012

100

1/20 233

James Hood

the West-Sea.

To Government.

By order

W. G. Carter

Private Secy

Good-bye

Maclure.

Aug 4/87

10

Indust.

96-95

With our orders,

of Mrs. J. Johnson - at 11/13

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department,
30th October 1866.

towns, villages, suburbs, stations and bazaars, which heretofore have been, and now are, called and known by the several names of

- | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. Trichinopoly town, | 6. Pandamungalam, |
| 2. Cantonment, | 7. Veerupatchecpuram, |
| 3. Beemonaikenpollum, | 8. Terootanthoney, |
| 4. Varaghanary, | 9. Puttur, |
| 5. Worriore, | 10. Tennur, |

and all other places situate and lying within the boundaries hereinafter specified—that is to say

North.—By Cavery and Kodamurty rivers.

South.—By the Cantonment limits between stones Nos. 47 and 64.

East.—By the Cantonment stones 47 to 43, and a direct line to the Wyacondan from the latter, following this channel till it meets the point where the Davadanum channel commences and runs due north to the Cavery.

West.—By the Cantonment boundary stones 64 to 68, and the Irrigating Channel which takes its source from the Wyacondan opposite to the Cantonment stone No. 68, and runs direct north to the Muntapum on the south side of the Kodamurty river.—

shall be united for the purposes of the said Act, and shall be hereafter designated, for the purposes of the said Act, by the name of the “Town of Trichinopoly,” and that all persons residing within the said boundaries shall be deemed to be inhabitants, within the meaning of the said Act, of the said Town of Trichinopoly, as so declared to be united as aforesaid. The Governor in Council, in accordance with the provisions of Section 5 of the said Act, doth hereby extend the said Act to the said Town of Trichinopoly, as so declared to be united as aforesaid.

2. The Governor in Council, in accordance with the provisions of Section 6 of the said Act, hereby appoints the undermentioned persons, being inhabitants of the said town of Trichinopoly, as so declared to be united as aforesaid, to be Town Commissioners, in addition to the Magistrate of the District of Trichinopoly, and the Officer of the Public Works Department in Executive charge of the Range in which the said Town of Trichinopoly, as so declared to be united as aforesaid, is situated, who are Ex-officio Commissioners, under Section 8 of the said Act, for carrying out in such Town the purposes of the said Act—that is to say

1. Mr. William Horatio Comyn, Head Assistant to the Collector and Magistrate.
2. Major Charles Edward Bates, Superintendent of Police.
3. Davalamputtum Periasawmy Moodelly.
4. Soukar Rajaram Tanker.
5. Roobgoondy Ragoonath Row.
6. Khan Saib.
7. Lunka Kristniengar, District Moonsiff.
8. Mr. George Tweedle Oliver.
9. Chittatore Bauloo Moodelly, Native Surgeon.

3. The Governor in Council, in accordance with the provisions of Sections 26 and 27 of the said Act, further determines and directs that the number of Police

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Department.

30th October 1866.

to be maintained in the said Town of Trichinopoly, as so declared to be united as aforesaid, shall be 150, and that such Police shall be of the descriptions hereinafter mentioned, and shall be paid at the rates hereinafter specified—that is to say

- | | | | | |
|----|--------------------------------|-----------|-----|------------------|
| 1 | 2nd Class Inspector, | at Rupees | 165 | per mensem. |
| 1 | 5th do. do. | at „ | 60 | do. |
| 4 | Four European Head Constables, | | | |
| | | at Rupees | 30 | each per mensem. |
| 6 | Head Constables, | at Rupees | 22 | do. |
| 10 | Deputy do. | at „ | 12½ | do. |
| 43 | 1st Class Constables, | at „ | 8 | do. |
| 85 | 2nd do. do. | at „ | 7 | do. |

and that the total amount that shall be raised and expended in the said Town of Trichinopoly, as so declared to be united as aforesaid, during the half-year ending on the 30th April 1867, for the purposes specified in Section 25 of the said Act, shall be Rupees 25,849, of which sum 25 per cent., or Rupees 6462¼ shall be contributed by Government to the Municipal Fund.

(By Order of the Governor in Council).

Secretary to Government.

To the Acting Magistrate of Trichinopoly.

- „ Inspector General of Police.
- „ Public Works Department.
- „ Accountant General.

sd. J. H. Burns.

20 //

Notification.

His Excellency the Governor of
Port Saint George in Council having
resolved to extend the provisions of the
Towns Improvement Act 1865 / Madras
Act No. I of 1865 / in manner herein
after set forth, doth hereby declare,
in accordance with the provisions
of section 4 of the said Act, that the
Town of Trichinopoly ^{in the District of Trichinopoly.} in the Presidency
of Port Saint George, together with
the several Towns, villages, suburbs,
stations and bazzars, which heretofore
have been and now are called and
known by the several names of,

- 1 Trichinopoly Town.
 - 2 Leantornment.
 - 3 Birramachipollum.
 - 4 Saragharony.
 - 5 Worione.
 - 6 Pandamangalam.
 - 7 Veerapatchipuram.
 - 8 Perrotanthony.
 - 9 Puttur.
 - 10 Tiruvir.
- and all other places situate and
lying

lying within the boundaries hereinafter specified that is to say:

On the north by Canyay and Koda-muraty rivers.

On the south by the Cantonment limits between stones Nos. 47 and 48.

On the East by the Cantonment stones 47 to 48 and a direct line to the Wyacondan from the latter, following this Channel till it meets the point where the Baradaman Channel commences and runs due north to the Canyay.

On the West by the Cantonment Boundary stones 64 to 68, and the irrigating channel which takes its source from the Wyacondan opposite to the Cantonment stone No 68, and runs direct north to the Muratapum on the south side of the Kodamuraty river,

shall be united for the purposes of the said Act, and shall be hereafter designated for the purposes of the said Act by the name of "the Town of Trichinopoly" and that all persons residing within the said Boundaries shall be deemed to

to be inhabitants within the meaning of the said Act, of the said Town of Trichinopoly, as so declared to be united as aforesaid. His Excellency in Council, in accordance with the provisions of section 5 of the said Act, ~~thereby~~ extends the said Act to the said Town of Trichinopoly, as so declared to be united as aforesaid.

2. His Excellency in Council, in accordance with the provisions of section 6 of the said Act, hereby appoints the undermentioned persons, being inhabitants of the said Town of Trichinopoly, as so declared to be united as aforesaid, to be Town Commissioners, in addition to the Magistrate of the District of Trichinopoly, and the Officer of the Public Works Department in Executive Charge of the range in which the said Town of Trichinopoly, as so declared to be united as aforesaid, is situated, who are Ex-Officio Commissioners under section 8 of the said Act, for carrying out in such Power the purposes of the said Act, that is to say.

1. William Horatio Cornyn Esq. Head a post in charge
of the Town.

2. Davalamputtam Periaswamy Mudelli

3. Soukar Rajaram Saubher

4. Moobgoony Nagoonath Row

5. Khan said, agent of the late Nabob

6. Purna Krishnagar District Miff.

7. Mr. George Swindle Officer of the
Railway Office to represent the
East-Indian Company.

8. Schittalore Basdeo Mudelli Native
Surgeon

9. Major Charles Edward Bates Supdt. of Police

10. Cuddapah Krishnak Town Sub Magr.

3. His Excellency in Council, in a res-
olution with the provisions of sections
26 and 27 of the said Act, further deter-
mines, and directs that the number
of Police to be maintained in the said
Town of Trichinopoly, as so declared
to be united as aforesaid shall be 150,
and that such Police shall be of
the descriptions hereinafter mentioned,
and shall be paid at the rates hereinafter
specified, that is to say.

1 Second Class Inspector at 165 ^{Rs} per mensem

1 Fourth do do at 85 do

4 European H^d. Constables at 30 do

6 Head Constables at 22 do

10 Dy. D^s at 12½ do

50 1st Class Constables at 8 do

85 2^d do do at 7 do

and that the total amount that shall
be raised and expended in the said Town of
Trichinopoly as so declared to be united
as aforesaid during the year ending on
the 30th April 1867 for the purposes specified
in section 25 of the said Act, shall be
Rupees ~~57,078-5-6~~ ^{57,624} of which sum 25 per-
cent or Rupees ~~14,269-6-3~~ ^{14,406} shall be con-
tributed to Government to the Muni-
cipal Fund. —

C. H. Poston
26. 7. 66. R.

Read letter from the Mayor of Leeds - 26 July
 " - Chief of Police - 20 Sept 1866

Order known 30 Oct 1866 No 1178

1. ~~Another letter~~ the at
~~Mayor of Leeds~~ ~~Trichinopoly~~
 Mr C. N. Rochin, re-
 -ports the arrangements
 -made for the in-
 -struction of the "Journal
 Improvement Act. 1866"
 with Trichinopoly.

2. The expenditure
 for the whole year 1866
 is estimated at Rs
 57,573 - 5. 4. of which
 Rs 21,401 is a surplus
 of the Police.

W.D.

J.P.

2 differing from the
 Inspector General
 of Police.

3. Mr Rochin con-
 -siders the Police force
 numerically in suf-
 -ficient for the city &
 perhaps a little more.
 he anticipates some
 further augmentation in
 the near future in
 connection with the
 Police, etc.

1866

In a letter from the
 Inspector General of
 Police dated 25 July
 1866

Tham

C. N. Rochin Esq
 Chief of Police

Trichinopoly

Mr. W. H. W. W. W. W.

Chief Secretary to

Government

Madras

Chief Secretary to

Government

Madras

Chief Secretary to

Inserted 30th Oct 1866

W.D. 11/11/66
 No 963

dependent on the provisions
of Sect XII Act I of 1880.

The Inspector ^{does not share this} ~~will be requested~~
~~with apprehension - and has~~
~~to report on these points.~~

The Jct. do not
consider it desirable
that the Town Pub: Magt
should be a member
of the Municipality.

~~The Magt~~
~~look in will~~
have that by Sect 52
of the Act, the tolls levied
must be "at the rates
mentioned in Sch: B".
The Revenue from this
source ~~will~~ therefore be
considerably larger than
the sum entered in his
estimate.

~~The Magt~~
~~look in will~~
state why because
has not been had to
Sect taxation under
Sect 57 of the Act, which
seems peculiarly ~~at~~

revised the force,
which will consist
of 150 men of all
grades costing Rs
21525 per an:

Should the ~~then~~
appear in next years
estimates, the Magt
in concert with the
Sub: Engt with Council
whether the Municipality
should not ~~keep~~ provide
for the repair of these roads of
the with a loss to

be paid for the
charges for lighting
the streets, & the
tolls under Sect 29.

Bridges within a
certain distance
of the town.

The rate of the
the charge for lighting sh^d be
separately shown.

The taxes of the works are free from tax.
under S.O. 17 of 1880.

The Magt of Trichinopoly
Superintendent of Police
District Engineer
District Engineer

appropriate to a town like
Trichy. The tolls proposed
are really a tax on the
use of roads & bridges
which the Jct. have con-
sidered as a heavy
outlay of public money
while the proceeds will
be laid out exclusively
for the benefit of the
inhabitants of the town.

J. D.
The Magt
~~look in will~~ for
road, ~~of~~ ~~the~~
a plan of the town
showing the ^{proposed} Municipality
and the Cantonment
boundaries.

J. D.
The Act will be
brought into effect
from the 1st prox.

232-286

and the sum
to be levied &
Expended from
that date to the
30 Sept 1867 with
the Rs 25,049
of which sum
contribute Rs 6402 1/4

~~494-534~~

included in the Rs 25,049

1 month's salary

of Rs 1000

Rs 1000

Rs 1430-1876

A notice of
the sum to be
levied may be drawn
in advance

Ordered that
the following notice
be given to the
B

WV

Supervisor
30/10