

(1) மக்களின் எண்ணிக்கை

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள்

(3) ஆதாரக் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

ஒப்பிட்டுத் தரப்பட்டது

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புகள் தா. எண்.	618	10/10/1859	அச்சகம் பலகை
நாள்	05/02/1859		199

மாநிலக் கோவைத் துறைமுகக் கட்டிடக் கட்டுரை

மாண. கா. 1-16,006-69-90.

பாதுகாப்பு பிரதி-1

செப்பியெவர் பெயர்: *Lo. ஜெ. குமார்*

செப்பியெவர்காக எடுத்துக்-
கொள்ளப்பட்ட நாள்

08/03/88
செப்பியெவர்
பெயர்: *செப்பியெவர்*
கொண்ட பெயர்

08/03/88
செப்பியெவர்
பெயர்: *செப்பியெவர்*
கொண்ட பெயர்

08/03/88
செப்பியெவர்
பெயர்: *செப்பியெவர்*
கொண்ட பெயர்

Revenue Survey
Superintendent's Office
Madras 5th July 1859.

From Captain J. S. B. Priestley
Superintendent Revenue Survey

To Mr. Sewell Esquire
Director of Revenue Settlements

5 July 1859 Sir

18:571 I have the honor to acknowledge the
18:981 receipt of your letter of yesterday's date and the accompanying
Proceedings Madras Government dated 23rd June.

2. With reference to your letter I have to
inform you that no copy of the Proceedings in question were
sent to me.

3. I beg that you will bring to the notice of
Government that relying on the permission distinctly granted
18:488. (per Sim of me) in Para 2 of the Let. Min. Gov. dated 8th April
1859 I have entertained a number of Lithographers and
draughtsmen who have not passed the examination set forth
in the Notification of 18th March last.

Let them
be paid
per

4. More particularly I have given the post
of Head Lithographer to Mr. Packer on the
understanding that the employment was to be permanent.
He made this stipulation as he was then in the receipt
of Rs. 75 per mensem as Schoolmaster.

5. I beg furthermore to draw attention to the
fact that except one individual (who wished for employ-
ment as Record Keeper) of the numbers that sought
not a single individual had passed the examination.

A

6. I have made application to the Chief Engineer, to the Commandant of Engineers to the gentleman lately Head Draughtsman in the Railway Office / Mr. Crockett and to the Principal of the Civil Engineering College.

7. One of the first three named gentlemen

(and from the Civil Eng. College) not only have not passed the examination but have not obtained high Certificates of proficiency from the Principal of the College.

8. I think it my duty to represent that if the rule requiring all persons to pass the examination before obtaining employment as Draughtsmen and Lithographers be adhered to, the organization of my office may be postponed sine die.

As far as I can judge I do not believe that there are in all Madras as many of the above classes who would thus be eligible as would be required by me.

10. Their employments are almost purely mechanical and very many of the best draughtsmen in the Dept. have but a very slight knowledge of English.

11. I will in conclusion merely touch on the injury that I shall have unwittingly inflicted on the people whom I have engaged in good faith as permanent servants. It appears to me that it is difficult to place any other construction than I have done on the Govt. order of the 8th April.

12. I was not put in possession of your letters to Government on the subject, but the abstract dated 4th

60/26
of that one given in the Lt. Genl. Com. of the 8th April. Certainly affords no clue to the idea that the relaxation sought for was intended only to apply to the District Establishments.

13. When I state moreover that my office and Lithographic Establishments on my arrival here consisted of 5 persons it will I trust be plainly perceived that it is perfectly impossible that an adequate number of passed Candidates qualified for the posts should be met with if indeed they were all willing to accept service in the Department.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your Most Obedient Servant

Richard W. Kestley Captain
Superintendent Revenue Survey

No 618 (60)

Thos. J. & R. D. Dwyer

Wm. Dwyer
No. 3 1/2 Dwyer
2nd July

Original due to Jan 17

July 1/59 with no 584

Dec 12/59

Thos. J. & R. D. Dwyer
that the Dwyer of Jan 17
asking that Govt. would
prospecting the open of the
R. Dwyer from the back of the
house. Examination of the
house of the Dwyer in 1865
shows one substantial
not to make of the
R. Dwyer.