I
9/3/22

184-18

[Foll. 8]

500—13-4-98.

Political (1899) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 590

DATED 5 - 9 - 99

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Coming to the Resident in Travancore-Cochin
No 865 (Ry Branch) dated 7-8-99
from P.W.D. passing orders on the adjustment
of the expenditure incurred on the location of the
Metre gauge Shoranur - Cochin Railway Survey.

PRINTED IN G.O., dated

19-9-1899, No. 626 Pol.

1

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
1221/88							

[Poll. 7 500—11-6-97.]

Political (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 1032

R 7-9-99

From The P. W. Dept. Railway Branch

Order W. 590 of 5 Sept 99 Issue and Desp

Comm' to the Resdt in T.

No. 885 Ry.

H.

Circ 11.8.99

Resdt in Tor (Ans). Desp 8.9.99.

Dated 7th
Received 7th } Aug: 1899.
Registered 8th
Enclosures
Spare copies

Fwd. Dfbd.

Memo

With ref: G.O. No 885 Ry of 7 August 1899 the PWD (Ry Branch) is requested to communicate to the Fwd Dept. copies of all papers regarding the cost of the survey of the Thoranur-Cochin Railway. It is observed that Page 535 of the C.A Code, Vol II, bounds to the head 41 Miscellaneous Ry Expenditure being Provl.

SUBJECT.

Thoranur-Cochin Railway Surveys

Passing orders on the adjustment of the expenditure incurred on the location of the metre gauge—

CHIEF SECRETARIAT 4-SEP.1899 OOTACAMUND.

Circ Expenditure Rs. 26,452. minor point and in 95-96 & under R.C. in Inquiry.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT NO. 1032

Public Works Department,

Railway Branch.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following:-

Schedule G of the Finance Accounts for the year 1897-98.

G. O. No. 144 Ry , dated 6th February 1899.

G. O. No. 546-47 Ry., dated 9th May 1899.

Letter from the Government of India, Public Works Department, No. 180 A. R., dated the 22nd June 1899.

In reply to your letter No. 547 Railway, dated 9th May 1899, I am directed to say that the outlay on the surveys in question should be treated in the following manner:-

2. As regards the Shoranur-Cochin Railway Survey it will be observed, from paragraph 2 of Public Works Department letter No. 143 R. C., dated 26th January 1899 to your address, that the Estimate for the "final location" was sanctioned on the understanding that the cost of the survey would eventually be recovered from the Cochin State. The necessary recovery on this account should now be made and the amount credited to head "41--Miscellaneous Railway Expenditure." As regards the survey for this line undertaken prior to 1896-97, I am to say that the Government of India leave the question of adjustment of its cost to the Madras Government for settlement.

G. O. No. 739-40 Ry., dated 6th July 1899.

Order thereon:-No. 865 Ry., dated the 7th August 1899.

The Agent and Manager, Madras Railway Company is authorised, in communication with the Examiner, Guaranteed Railway Accounts, to adjust the expenditure incurred on the location of the metre gauge Shoranur-Cochin Railway Surveys, by crediting the Imperial Accounts Head 41 and debiting the Cochin Durbar.

2. Expenditure incurred prior to 1896-97 in making a survey for a standard gauge line will not be debited to the Durbar, but will remain at debit of Accounts Head 41.

3.

3. A copy of these Proceedings will be forwarded to the Government of India with reference to ~~their~~ its letter No. 180 A. R., dated 22nd June 1899.

(True Extract)

Joint Secretary.

To,

The Consulting Engineer for Railways.
" Examiner, Guaranteed Railway Accounts.
" Political Department.
" Agent and Manager, Madras Railway.

[G/71.]
500—21-2-98.

2

Department. Political

For C. No.

MEMORANDUM.

REQUESTED for reference record a copy of the the undermentioned papers :—

Lr. No. 546-547, Ry, 2/9.5.99
re Shoranur-Cochin Railway

3

The proceedings are being sent to you. When ready Mr Dyer will get - 97.
The matter here is in no sense political or it is a new piece of work
Portion of current Diplomatic file is also shown only as contained in
Contents

For Cy
Please see my further note on inside of the docket. JHTP 17/8/99

Chief Secretariat,
Mdu. August 1899.

Ag Secretary to Government.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
17 AUG 1899
MADRAS

To
The
Public Works department, Railway Branch.

Political (1899) Department.

To be added to 'Shoranur. Cochin Ry' Intract file

CURRENT No. 1032

From The P. W. Dept
Railway Branch

Order
Nil

Comm[r] to the Resident in S. C.

for information.

No. 865. Ry

Dated the 7th August 1899

Received

7 - AUG 1899

Registered 8 — do

Enclosures

Spare copies

OOTACAMUND.

Jus
7/8/99

to Res[t] in S. C.
(a 40)

1

SUBJECT.

Shoranur - Cochin Railway Surveys

Passing orders on the adjustment of the expenditure incurred on the location of the metre gauge —

Note -

It may be noted that India's letter agreed to in that read "A" in the Ct "anticipated" the recovery of cost of the Survey & that this "anticipation" was based on this Govt's (P.W.D. - Ry) statement that "so far as can be judged at present" those costs would be recovered from the Cochin State. (para 3 of P.W.D. letter qtd at top of pp 6 in S.D. 1414 Ry 6.2.99)

It must be presumed that the later correspondence

connected with the Go's read "Q" in the Current (not comm? to this Dept) include definite arrangements with Cochin by which the latter understands that the debit to that State now ordered will be made. —

JWG
17/8/99

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
9ni	484	Finl Pol	21.4.99
—	326	—	20.5.99
—	339	—	29.5.99

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed
} 189 .

SAID AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
189 .

RESENT No.

LOSURES TO C. No

DER OF GOVERNMENT

TTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH AS ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

In Cl

Since writing the above I have conceived a doubt as to whether it is any part of Pol's business — in a case like the present — to check or interfere in a question like this & should like to know certainly. If it is, I think all correspondence (Mis or Procs (Pol)) should be comm? to Pol, when a Native State Ry is the subject thereof, in order that full data may be at hand for reference.

JWG
17/8/99

Cl. (24)

Note marginal Note — From the Secy? note on the requisition for Go 846 Ry d/3/5/99 it appears that there is nothing on that Go showing that Cochin has been consulted before debiting that State with the cost of the Survey. The point raised in my note above is, therefore, in none — viz; Whether in such Cochin shd be first comm? with — The question is raised with reference to the wording of the correspondence with India, quoted in the note.

JWG
16/8/99

Of course it is — & our file should be complete If we have not got the papers call for copy in please (urgently) — Pl take steps to have taken in Stranks Trial 41 Myscellaneous Ry Expenditure Para 555 of CR Codes Vol II points to it being Provincial Please put it up separately with Pol's

Cwl
17/8/99

For Cl

There appears to be some Notes missing in this Go — i.e. both Clerks Notes as they were numbered in My Branch & the issue

Cwl