Political (1897) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 457

DATED 11-7-97

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Compassionate allowance.

Sanctg the grant @ Rs 6 each per mensem from the 12th Nov 1898 to Latifa Band & Husain Bano dependants of the late Munir-ud-Daula Bahadur.

T
13-7-98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 451, POLITICAL, DATED 11TH JULY 1899.

FROM THE PAYMASTER, CARNATIC STIPENDS, No. 115, DATED 17TH MARCH 1899.

'Ináyat-un-nisá Bégam, the shadi widow of Munir-ud-daula, received, in 1884, the sum of Rs. 16 per mensem as her share of her husband's stipend.

See No. 1 in Paymaster's list in G.O., No. 499, Political, dated 29th July 1884.

2. In the following year the Paymaster recommended, on the ground that "some female dependants of her late husband" had to be supported by her, that she be given a compassionate allowance of Rs. 24 to "enable her to support herself and those whose maintenance has been thrown on her by her husband's death." This allowance was, accordingly, added to her other stipend of Rs. 16.

G.O., No. 62, Political, dated 29th January 1885.

i.e., she got Rs. 40.

(Initd.) G. S.

3. In the quinquennial list of 1894 this charge is shown as "for the maintenance of old and infirm dependants of the late Munir-ud-daula." The same remark occurs in the list of conditional stipends appearing on page 8 of G.O., No. 135, Political, dated 1st March 1897.

Serial No. 407, page 15, of quinquennial list of 1894.

4. Two aged women (70 years) now petition through the Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, stating that they are concubines of the late Munir-ud-daula and are two out of three supported by the Rs. 24 given to 'Ináyat-un-nisá in 1885, the third having died. They claim that two-thirds of the Rs. 24 are theirs by right now that 'Ináyat-un-nisá Bégam is dead (she died in November 1898) and request that Rs. 8 each may be settled on them.

5. The Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, supports the request, stating that he is "informed through reliable sources" that the three concubines were the only dependants maintained by the late 'Ináyat-un-nisá and he considers, therefore, that, "as a very special cause," the two surviving concubines, named, respectively, Latífá Báno and Husain Báno, be granted a monthly stipend of Rs. 8 each for life.

6. It should here be brought to notice that no reference is made by the Paymaster to the Rs. 16 drawn by the late 'Ináyat-un-nisá Bégam from the stipend of her deceased husband (Munir-ud-daula) and which is returned "continuable" in the list forming an appendix to G.O., No. 359, Political, dated 22nd May 1885. Here, however, it disappears as it finds no place in the list of representatives of Munir-ud-daula printed at page 21 of G.O., No. 27, Political, dated 17th January 1891, nor is it found in the quinquennial list of 1894, though the compassionate allowance of Rs. 24 payable for life to 'Ináyat-un-nisá Bégam to aid her in maintaining her late husband's dependants is found as serial No. 407 on page 15 of the latter list. It is submitted, therefore, that the Paymaster should be requested to explain the apparent disappearance of the continuable pension of Rs. 16 and the omission of any reference thereto in his letter under disposal.

A

Yes.

(Initd.) G.S.
28-4-99.

7. With reference to the Rs. 24 which is stated in the quinquennial list to be "for the maintenance of old and infirm dependants of the late Munir-ud-daula," it will be observed from the quotation in paragraph 2 of this note that this compassionate allowance was sanctioned for 'Ináyat-un-nisá Bégam's own better support as a shadi widow as well as for the support of the three dependants who now

See also her petition in original filed with the original of G.O., No. 499, Political, dated 29th July 1884.

appear to have lived on it—the claim of the two surviving concubines to a third each of the amount appears, therefore, to be unfounded. Besides which Munir-ud-daula's nikahi widows and daughters received only Rs. 8, *per mensem*, each on his death—see G.O., No. 499, Political, dated 29th July 1884—and the status of the surviving concubines is not one calling for the same consideration that would be extended to a better class of dependants, indeed it is very unlikely that they have been in receipt of anything like Rs. 8 per mensem each (or even its equivalent) from 'Ináyat-un-nisá Bégam. The wording of the order sanctioning this allowance is, it is submitted, somewhat vague, the intention from the foot-note appears to have been to treat this Rs. 16 as non-continuable. It appears to have been the intention of Government that these dependants of Munir-ud-daula should become, in turn, the dependants of his shadi widow, and for this reason they would appear to have some ground for expecting to receive a bare subsistence allowance (on her pre-deceasing them) from the continuable stipend of Rs. 16 left by their late protector.

G.O., No. 62, Political, dated 29th January 1885.

See paragraph 13 of India's No 4644, dated 8th September 1856, quoted in paragraph 7, and of India's No 4780, dated 17th September 1856, both printed with E.M.C., No. 332, Political, dated 4th October 1856.

It is submitted, however, that in a case of this kind further proof of identity is required, and that if Government admits the right of these dependants to be any longer supported at the public expense the Paymaster should be requested to satisfy himself by due enquiry that the two petitioners were *solely* dependant for support on the deceased Bégam. This seems the more necessary as in 1885, also, when the additional allowance was proposed, the Paymaster's statement was "*I have learned* that * * * has to support some female dependants of her late husband," the number was not stated nor were any names mentioned. In fact the letter seems to point to 'Ináyat-un-nisá Bégam's condition, as a shadi widow, calling for compassion rather than the needs of the "dependants" whom she had to support.

B

Orders are solicited as to whether the Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, shall be further addressed on the points at **A B** in the note above.

(Intld.) F.W.G.
27–4–99.

The disappearance of the Rs. 16 stipend from the "continuable" lists requires to be cleared up. Another point to be mentioned in connection with these two stipends is that Colonel Baynes in 1885 suggested that the Rs. 16 should be treated as "continuable" and that the Rs. 24 "should lapse under the terms of the grant" [*vide* Nos. 34 and 54 on page 31 of G.O., No. 357, Political, dated 22nd May 1885], and Government accepted his proposals by including the former, and excluding the latter, stipend from the list of continuable stipends published with G.O., No. 359, Political, dated 22nd May 1885 [*vide* pages 13 and 14 of that order]. This stipendiary was, moreover, an 1855 stipendiary, and even if her Rs. 24 were *continuable*, not more than one-half could be continued under the rules. The Rs. 24 was a "compassionate allowance" [*vide* G.O., No. 62, dated 29th January 1885], and Colonel Baynes seems to have rightly treated it as "to lapse".

Yes.
(Intld.) G.S.
28–4–99.

It is submitted that the file may be sent unofficially to the Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, for further remarks.

(Intld.) C.M.S.
27–4–99.

No. 1127, Political, dated 28th April 1899.

To the Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends (u.o.).

(Intld.) G.S.
28–4–99.

Letter from the Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, No. 204, dated 5th May 1899.

The Paymaster's reply is contained in C. No. 591. He forwards a declaration signed by seven persons, five of whom are stipendiaries stating that the two harams were supported by 'Ináyat-un-nisá Bégam up to the date of her death, receiving Rs. 8 *per mensem* from her. He also reports that the *continuable* portion (Rs. 16) of the stipend of 'Ináyat-un-nisá Bégam was commuted for a sum of Rs. 2,544 under G.O., No. 832, Political, dated 20th November 1885. He bases his recommendation for a grant to the two harams on compassionate grounds alone and proposes Rs. 6 (six) for each if Government considers Rs. 8 too much.

It will be seen from G.O., No. 832, Political, dated 20th November 1885, that the commutation of the Rs. 16 stipend was sanctioned to enable 'Ináyat-un-nisá Bégam to purchase landed property. This property must now have descended to her heirs, and it is an open question whether, seeing that she commuted her continuable stipend and that the stipend now in question has always been viewed as a compassionate grant and therefore *non-continuable*, her dependants, as these two harams are, should not look to her heirs for support. In any case, a compassionate life grant of Rs. 5 in each case would appear to be a sufficiently generous settlement of this case.

(Intld.) C.M.S.
10–5–99.

If the landed property has been purchased and descended to the heirs of 'Ináyat-un-nisá Bégam, the fact can be ascertained. Ask Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, to inquire.

(Intld.) G.S.
11–5–99.

Letter from the Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, No. 228, dated 12th June 1899.

The Paymaster reports, with reference to memorandum No. 1287, that the late 'Ináyat-un-nisá Bégam purchased property with the proceeds of her commuted stipend, but that she sold it a year prior to her death for the liquidation of her debts and paid the remainder of the purchase-money to her adopted grand-daughter. The Paymaster adds that 'Ináyat-un-nisá left a son and a daughter; that the former draws a pension of Rs. 32 and that the latter, having commuted her pension of Rs. 16 in 1885, is now in straitened circumstances.

By right of their father, late Munir-ud-daula—see list of inheritors printed in G.O., No 499, Political, dated 29th July 1884.

It is submitted that, in view of the circumstances stated in paragraph 7 of this note (page 1), the two harams—Latífá Bánó and Husain Bánó (the petitioners whose case is under disposal)—have no claim on Government and that if an allowance is continued to them at all it must be in the form of a compassionate subsistence allowance.

It may be pointed out that in addition to 'Abd-ul-wáhid Sáhib, with a pension of Rs. 32, there are at least six * of his brothers still living, each of whom draws Rs. 16 from the continued half of the late Munir-ud-daula's pension, and to these, it is submitted, the two harams might well look for continued support.

*Nos. 9, 10, 11, 16, 17 and 18 in the list in G.O., No. 499, Political, dated 29th July 1884.

(Intld.) F.W.G.
17–6–99.
(") C.M.S.
19–6–99.

H. E. the Governor.

I think these concubines should receive a compassionate grant. If their case had been taken up and kept separate at the time of Munir-ud-daula's death they would have been entitled to a grant for life at least; but for convenience sake their existence was ignored and their support placed on the widow. I think they should be allowed what the Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, suggest.

Yes.
(Intld.) A.E.H.
9-7-99.

(Intld.) G.S.
20-6-99.

I agree with the Secretary. The compassionate grant is sanctioned accordingly.

(Intld.) A.E.H.
9-7-99.

Government {1899} of Madras.

POLITICAL.

Recd. } 1899. *Enclosures*

Regd. } *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 451, 11th July 1899.

JULY.

Compassionate Allowance.

Sanctioning the grant of a — of Rs. 6 each *per mensem* from the 12th November 1898 to Laṭîfâ Bánó and Husain Bánó, dependants of the late Munir-ud-daula Bahádur.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

POLITICAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—again the following papers:—

G.O., No. 499, Political, dated 29th July 1884.

Abstract.—Sanctioning the distribution of the stipend held by the late Munir-ud-daula among his family, and deciding that not more than one-half of the said stipend be continued.

G.O., Mis. No. 62, Political, dated 29th January 1885.

Read—the following letter from Lieutenant-Colonel C. D. BAYNES, in charge of the Government Agency, Chepâk, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated 21st January 1885, No. 36:—

I have the honour to invite reference to my report, dated 11th July 1884, No. 311, embodied in G.O., No. 499, dated 29th July 1884.

2. Since submitting the distribution list annexed to my letter, I have learnt that No. 1 on that list 'Ináyat-un-nisá Bégam has to support some female dependants of her late husband which she cannot possibly do on her share of the sum continuable from his lapsed stipend.

3. Taking into consideration the fact of his having been the nickah son of 'Azim-ud-daula, the First Titular Nabob, I think his shadi widow might be exceptionally treated, and I therefore beg to recommend that a compassionate allowance of Rs. (24) twenty-four per mensem be granted to her in addition to her stipend of Rs. 16, which will enable her to support herself and those whose maintenance has been thrown upon by her husband's death.

Order—Mis. No. 62, Political, dated 29th January 1885.

Sanctioned in the special circumstances stated by the Government Agent. The allowance must be regarded as compassionate, and as having no connection with the stipend of Munir-ud-daula Bahadur.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) E. F. WEBSTER,
Chief Secretary.

G.O., No. 715, Political, dated 8th October 1885.

Abstract.—Sanctioning commutation of the stipend of Rs. 16 a month enjoyed by Habíb-un-nisá Bégam.

G.O., No. 832, Political, dated 20th November 1885.

Abstract.—Sanctioning the proposed commutation of 'Ináyat-un-nisá Bégam's stipend of Rs. 16, but deferring the payment of the amount till next official year after due provision of funds.

Read—also the following papers:—

From Captain R. F. R. FORMBY, I.S.C., Acting Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Chepâk, 17th March 1899, No. 115.

I have the honour to forward the enclosed petition from Laṭífá Bánó and Husain Bánó, harams (concubines) of the late Munir-ud-daula Bahádur, son of His late Highness 'Azím-ud-daula Bahádur, the first Titular Nawab of the Carnatic, for the favourable consideration of Government, with my following remarks.

2. By G.O., No. 499, Political, dated 29th July 1884, one-half of the stipend drawn by the late Munir-ud-daula Bahádur was continued to the several members of the family in which the share of his shadi wife was fixed at Rs. 16 per mensem; but no share was allotted to any of the concubines of the deceased, who were then under the protection of his shadi wife 'Ináyat-un-nisá Bégam.

3. Colonel Baynes in his report, No. 36, dated 21st January 1885, recommended a compassionate allowance of Rs. 24 per mensem to 'Ináyat-un-nisá Bégam in addition to her stipend of Rs. 16 per mensem to enable her to support herself and those whose maintenance was thrown on her by her husband's death, and the Government sanctioned the additional allowance accordingly in their Order No. 62, Political, dated 29th January 1885.

4. In column 8 (duration of pension) of the quinquennial list of Political pensioners in the Madras Presidency on the 1st January 1894, the following remark occurs opposite to serial No. 407: "For the maintenance of old and infirm dependants of the late Munir-ud-daula Bahádur." In the list of "Conditional Stipends" the same remark occurs opposite to serial No. 6—*vide* Appendix C to G.O., No. 135, Political, dated 1st March 1897, page 8.

5. I am informed through reliable sources that the three harams of the late Munir-ud-daula Bahádur named Laṭífá Bánó, Husain Bánó and 'Izzat Bánó were the only old and infirm dependants of the deceased whom the late 'Ináyat-un-nisá Bégam was maintaining. Of the three abovenamed harams, the last named ('Izzat Bánó) is since dead and the other two are very old (60 and 65 years respectively) and in utterly destitute circumstances.

6. I consider them fit objects of the charity of Government and beg respectfully to recommend, as a very special case, the grant of a monthly stipend of Rs. 8 each to them for their lives on strictly compassionate grounds, with effect from the date of order sanctioning the same.

From Captain R. F. R. FORMBY, I.S.C., Acting Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Chepâk, 5th May 1899, No. 204.

With reference to No. 1127, dated 28th April 1899, I have the honour to state that my recommendation was not based on the point of *right*, but on purely compassionate grounds and as a very special case.

2. I received a declaration signed and sealed by seven persons, of whom five are stipendiaries, in which they state that the late 'Ináyat-un-nisá Bégam was supporting up to the date of her demise the surviving two harams of the late Munir-ud-daula Bahádur. I have again enquired into the matter and learn from reliable sources that they were actually maintained by the deceased lady.

3. Petitioners are no doubt in needy circumstances, being deprived of the only support they derived, and, besides, they are very old and infirm. If Government are not disposed to continue them Rs. 8 each, considering their status being less than the nikahi widows of the late Munir-ud-daula, I beg to propose a reduction and would respectfully recommend Rs. 6 each be allowed to them from the date of the order sanctioning the same.

4. The other question raised is the disappearance of the Rs. 16 drawn by the deceased lady from the list of continuable stipends. With regard to this, I beg to state that her name was struck off the list of continuable stipends as she commuted her said allowance of Rs. 16 per mensem for a lump sum of Rs. 2,544 by G.O., No. 832, Political, dated 20th November 1885.

Enclosure.

We, the undersigned, do hereby declare that the allowance of Rs. 24 per mensem granted by Government in 1885 in the name of the late 'Ináyat-un-nisá Bégam Sáhiba, shadi widow of the late Munir-ud-daula Bahádur, son of his late Highness 'Azím-ud-daula Bahádur, the first Titular Nawab of the Carnatic, was for the support of the three harams of the late Munir-ud-daula Bahádur named Laṭífá Bánó, Husain Bánó and 'Izzat Bánó.

We do further declare that the deceased 'Ináyat-un-nisá Bégam Sáhiba was regularly paying the abovenamed three harams of the late Bahádur in the sum of Rs. 8 each up to the time of her death. Of the three harams 'Izzat Bánó has since died and the remaining two are very old, being 60 and 65 years of age respectively, and are in utterly destitute circumstances.

In proof of the genuineness of the above statement we affix our marks, signature or seals, this 21st day of February 1899.

(Signed) HABIB-UN-NISA BEGUM
(and five others).

From Captain R. F. R. FORMBY, I.S.C., Acting Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Chepák, 12th June 1899, No 228.

With reference to your memorandum, No. 1287, Political, dated 15th May 1899, I have the honour to state that from enquiry I learn that the late 'Ináyat-un-nisá Bégam did purchase a house out of the commutation amount she received in 1886. The property was, owing to certain pecuniary difficulties, first mortgaged by her a few years prior to her death and was finally sold a year before her demise, and from the sale-proceeds she liquidated her debts and paid the remainder to her grand-daughter whom she had adopted. I enclose a declaration from five persons (four of whom are Carnatic stipendiaries) on the subject.

2. 'Inayát-un-nisá Bégam left a son and a daughter as her heirs surviving. The son, 'Abd-ul-ahad Sahib, draws a monthly stipend of Rs. 32 in right of his father, and the daughter, Habíb-un-nisá Bégam, disposed of her share of Rs. 16 she received in right of her father in 1885 and is now in straightened circumstances.

Enclosure.

We, the undersigned, do hereby declare that we are fully aware of the fact that the late 'Ináyat-un-nisá Bégam Sáhiba, shadi widow of the late Munir-ud-daula Bahádur, during her lifetime bought a house out of her bonus money received from Government in lieu of her stipend. She was residing in a portion of this house and let the remainder for rent, but owing to indebtedness she mortgaged it a few years before her demise, and a year prior to her demise sold it and paid her debts and the remainder she gave to her grand-daughter whom she had adopted. We have written the above few lines in proof thereof.

(Sealed) Abd-ul-ahad Sahib.
(Signed) Habib-un-nisa Begam.
(Sealed) Gulam Rasul.
(Sealed) Ghulam Muhammad Khalil-ulla.
(Signed) Gulam abd-ul Qadir.

Order—No. 451, Political, dated 11th July 1899.

The Governor in Council sanctions a compassionate grant of Rs. 6 each *per mensem*, from the 12th November 1898, to Latífá Bánó and Husain Bánó.

(True Extract.)

G. STOKES,
Chief Secretary.

To the Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends.
„ Accountant-General.

Rgd. S. J. Pereira.

Political (1899) Department.

CURRENT No.

Sutures in Pithka Index.

Petn forwarded with the subject noted under Para III of Petn Rules by GO No 338 dy 25-1-88.

CHIEF SECRETARY
17 MAR 1899
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

From

The Acting Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, Chepauk.

Order

No. 115 of 1899

Dated 17
Received 17
Registered 18
} March.

Enclosures 2

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

~~Under the circumstances~~ Recommending as a special case, a compassionate allowance of Rs 8 each to the 2 dependants of the late Munir-ud-daula Bahadur for their lives.

Rs for recommendation
Rec'd 4-30
17/3/99

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
RA	33	Pol	28.1.99
Se	135	—	1.3.97
Jud	62	-	29.1.85
Jud	499	-	29.7.87

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

RLCD 4-2-99

Trichinopoly, 3rd February 99

To

The Paymaster,
Carnatic Stipends
Chepauk

Sir,

With reference to your endorsement dated 31st January 99, on a copy of Government Order R.A. No. 33, Political, dated 25 January 99, we beg to enclose herewith Copy of our Memorial, dated 16 January 99, submitted to His Excellency the Right Honorable the Governor in Council and pray you will be mercifully pleased to lay before the benign Government our just and rightful but long standing claims, both your kind recommendations for the Continuance of the grant on our behalf.

For which act of kindness we shall ever remember you with heartfelt gratitude and as in duty bound ever pray.

We beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servants

1. Clerk of Lalgudi Bando
2. Clerk of Nannai Bando

Triplicane 16 January 99

To (True Copy)

His Excellency the Right Honorable
the Governor in Council
Fort St. George.

The humble petition of Lateefa Bano and
Hussain Bano

Most Respectfully Sheweth,

That your Excellency's petitioners
are the Begams of the late Munir-ud-doula Bahader
the Nikahi Son of His late Highness Azim-ud-
doula Bahader, the first Tetulan Nawab of the
Carnatic.

2 That both your Excellency's petitioners are of advanced age being over 70 years old and having no one to look after them in their dotage. Their husband died in 1884 since then petitioners were supported by the deceased's Shadi Wife Inayat-un-nissa Begam Saheba who received a special grant from Government in addition to her share on the Condition of supporting petitioners and one Izzat Bano (another Begam of the said Bahader who has since deceased).

3. Inayat-un-nissa Begam Saheba died on the 11 November 98 upto which date petitioners were receiving Rs 8 each from the deceased besides her denure petitioners are left to starve and have heard that the 24 Rs which the deceased was receiving

Received 21 Feb 1899
Registered 22 Feb 1899
Returned for information
with Government order
Recd 24 Feb 1899

receiving from Government by Government order
dated 29 Jany 1885 for petitioners support lapsed
to Government on the above Begum Sahiba's death.

4. Petitioners do not for a moment
think that what they hear may be true as 2 out
of the 3 petitioners being still alive how could
the Compassionate grant lapse to state on the
demise of Inayat-un-nisa Begum Saheba, who
was nothing more than a pay mistress of petitioners
if she is dead petitioners should either get their
shares for their lives in their separate names and
the share of the 3rd who is dead should revert to
Government or Government should select a member
from the family of the late Bahadur to take up the
duties of the deceased. There are still living the
shadi son and shadi daughter of the late Mamu-
ud-daula Bahadur.

5. Petitioners may hereby be pointing
out for your Excellency's kind perusal that the
amount which the deceased drew is shewn in the
quinquennial list prepared by the Accountant-
General as well as Appendix C to Government order
dated 6 March 1894 as Conditional for the main-
tenance of the old and infirm dependants of
the late Mamu-ud-daula Bahadur and while 2
out of the 3 Harams of the deceased Bahadur
are still alive, the allowance could not lapse to
Government in full. Petitioners therefore humbly
pray that their deplorable case may be en-
quired into and justice done by the benign

Government

Government on their behalf,

For which Act of benevolence
petitioners shall as in duty bound ever pray

(Signed) Lalefa Bano
(Signed) Hussain Bano

Political (1899) Department.

CURRENT No.

CHIEF SECRETARY'S OFFICE
GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY

From The Asst. Paymaster
Commanding Chelpak.

Order

No. 204 of 1899

Dated 5th May 1899

Received 6th —

Registered

Enclosures one & 1 File

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Replies to the W.O. note No. 1127 dated 28th April 1899 Political in re the 2 charges of the late Mohammed Jaula Bahadur.

1899
Dept.
Serial No. 2
No.
Date

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[$\frac{Q}{24}$.]

1,000—25-8-97.

Political Department.

Q C. No. 590 From the P.A. , No. 178 , dated 6/3 1897.

Q " 591 " " Do. , " 244 , " 3/4 1897.

" " " " , " , " 1897.

" " " " , " , " 1897.

Secretariat No. 1287 J 15-5-97

The Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends,

is requested with reference to C. No. 590 Pol., d/30th Nov. 1896, to ascertain and report

(1) whether the proceeds of the bonds received by Hayat-un-nisa Begam on the commutation of her stipend of Rs.1[illegible]5 were utilized for the purchase of ~~an estate~~ landed property and if so,

(2) whether the property has descended to her heirs and

(3) who the heirs are and in what relationship they stand to her.

The Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends. } Secy. to Govt. 15/5/97.

Govt.

Political (1899) Department.

CURRENT No.

SECRET SECRETARIAT
14 JUN 1899
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

From The Hy. Paymaster Carnatic Subsidy Fleet

Order

No. 228 of 1899.

Dated 12th
Received 13 June 1899.
Registered
Enclosures 2.
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Reports on Enemy's 12th Field Coy. 16th May 1899 and states that the Lan Inayat-un-nisa Begum purchased a house out of her own money and let it before her demise.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Political — Department.

[G. / Ind.]

C. No. 390 From the Paymaster Carnatic Stipends. } No 115 , dated 17—3—1893.

" " " " 189 .

" " " " 189 .

" " " " 189 .

Mat in Paymasters
See list in GO No 499 Pol
29.7.84

Bhagat — an — Deva Bagam, the
Hindu widow of Pranner — and duly
received, on 1884, the sum of
per annum as her share of
husband's stipend.

2 In the following year the
Paymaster recommended, and
found that "some female depen-
-dents of her late husband" had to
be supported by her, that she be
given an compassionate allowan-
ce of Rs 24 to "enable her to suppor
"herself & those whose maintan-
"ance has been thrown on her by
"her husband's death". This allow
ance was, accordingly, added to
her other stipend of Rs 16.

3 In the Consequence of

GO No 62 Pol of 29.1.85

L. S. [illegible]

(1899)

No. 285 of 1899.

From,

Abdul Wahid Sahib
& 4 Sons.

Dated 31st May 1899.
Read. 5th June 1899.

Declare that the late Dugah-
-nu. mich Begum, purchased
a house out of her moneys &
disposed of the same prio-
-ther death

5

سنہ ۱۸۹۹ء ماہ مئی ۳۱

ہم نیچے دستخط دہندگان خوب جانتے ہیں

کہ مرحومہ و مغفورہ عنایت النسا بیگم بنت مرحوم و مغفور
اپنے حین حیات میں معاوضہ کے مبلغ کا سے نہیں کر
اسمیں ایک مکان خرید جسمان اپنے طرف خود آپ اور عیال رہتی
تھی اور نصف اسکا کرایہ کو جھوڑی تھی لاکن بسبب قرضداری کے
اسکو چند سال آگی رہن لیے اور دینے کے ایکسال آگے اسکو فرخت
کرکے قرضداری ادا کر دیئی اور تتمہ اپنی طرف سے اسکو اپنا تھی
کئی تھی دیدیئے۔ اسلیئے ہم جملہ فقرہ لکھدیئے تا سند رہے

حبیب النسا بیگم
دختر عزیز الدولہ بہادر

فرزند عزیز الدولہ بہادر

علم و رسول داد (illegible)

Serial No 407 - page 15 - of Quinquennial List /54. —

of 1894 this charge is shown as "for the maintenance of old & infirm dependants of the late Munir-ud-daulah". The same remark occurs in the list of Conditional stipends appearing on page 8 of GD No 135 Pol dt/1-3-57.

4. Two aged women (Jogias) now petition through the DC S stating that they are concubines of the late Munir-ud-daulah & are two out of three supported by the Rs 24 given to Brayat-un-nisa in 1885, the third having died. They claim that Thirds of the Rs 24 are their right now that Brayat-un-nisa Begam is dead (she died in Nov: /98) & request that Rs 8 each may be settled on them.

5. The DC S supports the request, stating that he is "informed through reliable means" that the 3 concubines were the only dependants maintained by the late Brayat-un-nisa Fitzmaurice, therefore, that "as a very special case", that the surviving Concubines, named, respectively, Latifa Bano & Hussain Bano, be granted a monthly stipend of Rs 8 each for life.

6. It should be brought to notice here that no reference is made by the Paymaster to the Rs 16 drawn by the late Brayat-un-nisa Begam from the stipend of her deceased husband (Munir-ud-daulah) & which is returned "Continuable" in the list forming an Appendix to GD No 357 Pol dt/22-5-85. Here, however, it disappears as it finds no place in the list of Annuitants & Representatives of Munir-ud-daulah printed @ page 21 of GD No 27 Pol dt/17-1-51, nor is it found in the Quinquennial list of 1874, though the Compensate allowance of Rs 24 payable for life to Brayat-un-nisa Begam to aid her in maintaining her late husband's dependants is found as Serial No 407 on page 15 of the latter list. It is submitted therefore, that the Paymaster should be requested to explain the apparent disappearance

the continuable pension of Rs 16
the omission of any
reference thereto in his letter under despatch.

Mrs Mayall.

7. With reference to the Rs 24 which is stated in the Quinquennial list to be "for the maintenance of old "infirm dependants of the late "Munir-ud-daula" it will be observed from the quotation in para 2 of this Note that this Compassionate allowance was sanctioned for Inayat un nisa Begum's own better support as a Pardah widow as well as for the support of the three dependants who now appear to have lived on it — the claim of the 2 surviving Concubines to a third each of this amount appears, therefore, to be entirely unfounded. Besides which, their Status is not one calling for the same consideration that would be extended to a better class of dependants, indeed it is very likely that they have been receiving compassionate allowances in receipt of anything like Rs 8 or 12 each (or even 4 to 5 equivalent)

See, also, Her petition in original filed with the original of Sec No 499 Pol 29.7.89
affixed

Note — Munir-ud-daula's other widows & daughters received only Rs 8 per mensem each on his death — See Sec 499 Pol d/29.7.89

1956
27.11.91

GO No 62 Pol
29.1.92

The intention from the footnote appear to have been to treat this Rs 16 as non-continuable

Cons

See para 13 of Judl's No 46444 8.9.56 (note) in
Judl's No 11780 17.9.58 —
note printed with Exc No 332 Pol
4.10.56

from Inayat un nisa Begum. The wording of the order sanctioning this allowance is, it is submitted, somewhat vague but it appears to have been the intention of Govt that these dependants of Munir-ud-daulah should become, on the death of their protector, the dependants of his Pardah widow for this reason they would appear to have some grounds for expecting to receive a bare subsistance allowance (on her pre-deceasing them) from the continuable stipend of Rs 16 left by their late protector.

It is submitted, however, that in case of this kind some proof of identity should be required that if Govt admit the right of these dependants to be any longer supported at the public expense the Paymaster should be requested to satisfy himself by due enquiry that the two petitioners were solely dependant for support on the deceased Begum. This seems the more necessary as in 1885, when the allowance

allowance was ~~enclosure~~ proposed

The Paymaster's statement was — (Has letter filed with Mem. 82)

"I have learned that" x x x has to
"support some female dependants
"of her late husband", the number
"was not stated nor were any names
"mentioned. In fact the letter seems
"to point to Inayat un nisa Begum's
condition, as a ~~shadi~~ widow, calling
for compassion rather than the
needs of the "dependants" whom she
had to support.

Orders are solicited as to whether
the PCS shall be further addressed
on the points @ in the
above note — above.

Hd/B
27.11.87

For the CS

Re discontinuance of the
Rs 16 stipend from the "Continuable"
list requires to be cleared
up. Another point to be
mentioned in connection
with these two stipends is
that ~~Col~~ Col. Baynes in
1885 suggested that the
Rs 16 should be treated as
"Continuable" and that the
Rs 24 "should lapse under
"the terms of the grant" (vide
Nos 34 and 54 on page 31 of
G.O. No 357 Political 27
22nd May 1885) & Govt.
accepted his proposals by
excluding the former, & re-
cluding the latter, ~~as~~ from
stipend from the list of
continuable stipends published
with G.O. No 357 Ds. 7 22nd
May 1885 (vide page 13 & 14
of that order). Rs 16 stipend
was moreover, on 1855 stipends
and even if her Rs 24
were continuable, not more
than one half could be
continued under the rules.
Re Rs 24 was ~~one~~ a
"compassionate allowance"
(vide fo. No. 62 of 29.1.85)
and Col. Baynes ~~in~~ recently
decided it as "to lapse"

It is submitted that the
file may be sent to the
Hr. PCS for further remarks

Cal
27.11.87

Yr. Hd/B

No 1127 Pol D 28-4-99

To

The PCB etc

C. No 591 Pol. from the PCB No 204 D 8. 4. 99

For the CS.

The Paymaster's report is contained in C No 591. She forwards a declaration signed by 7 persons of whom are stipendiaries stating that the two karams were supported by Inayat-un-nisa Begam up to the date of her death, receiving 8 Rs per mensem from her. She also reports that the continuable portion (Rs 16) of the stipend of Inayat-un-nisa Begam was commuted for a sum of Rs 2544 under G.O. No 832 Pol. D 20.11.85. She bases her recommendation for a grant to the two karams on compassionate grounds alone & proposes Rs 6 (six) for each if sanctioned Rs 8 too much.

It will be seen from G.O. 832 Pol. D 20.11.85 that commutation of the Rs 16

stipend was sanctioned to enable Inayat-un-nisa Begam to purchase landed property. Has property must now have descended to her heirs & it is an open question whether, seeing that the commuted continuable stipend & the stipend now in question has always been viewed as a compassionate grant & therefore non-continuable to her dependants, as the two karams are, should not look to her heirs for support. In any case, a compassionate life grant of Rs 5 in each case would appear to be sufficiently generous settlement of the case.

Draft Memo dated 11.5.99

Cmd

10.5.99 If the landed property has been purchased and alienated by the heirs of Inayat-un-nisa Begam the fact can be ascertained by the P.C. & inquiry.

Sd/-

C No 756 –

From the Pol. Dept. No 228
of 12. 6. 99

The Paymaster reports, with reference to Memo No 1287 – that Mayat un nisa Begum the late purchased property with the proceeds of her commuted stipend & that she sold it a year prior to her death for the liquidation of her debts.

The Paymaster adds that Mayat un Nisa left a son & a daughter; that the former draws a pension of Rs 32 & that the latter, having commuted her pension of Rs 16 in 1885, is now in straightened circumstances.

It is submitted that, in view of the circumstances stated in para 3 of the Note (page) the two harams – Latifa Bano & Hussain Bano – have no claim on Govt & that if an allowance is continued to them at all it must be in the form of a compassionate subsistence allowance.

It may be appointed out that

and paid the remainder of the purchase money after deducting Mrs Douglas's

by right of their father – late Munir-ud-daulah – See list of inheritors printed in 8D No 499 Pol 29.7.89

(The petitioners whose case is under disposal).

C 21/6/99

Nos 9-10-11-16-17-18 in the list in 8D 499 Pol 29.7.89

Cons. 8. 19.6.99

in addition to Abdul Vahid Sahib, with a pension of Rs 32, there are at least any of his brothers still living each of whom draws Rs 16 from the continued half of the late Munir-ud-daulah's pension – & to these – it is submitted, the two harams might well look for continued support

Secret

17.6.99

I think these comments the memo a commonsense point. If their case had been taken up & kept separate of the Munir-ud-daulah's the Begum & Munir-ud-daulah's the two ladies might well have been entitled to a pension for life at least, but in connection with the Begum's case they were ignored & their support placed on other grounds. I think they

Sd – H. Mac Lean

Approved the Secy. to the Govt. of India in Secret Dept.

Sept 11, 1899.

Approved
[illegible]
19/9/99

Sh^d be allowed what the P. L. C suggests.

[signature]

[C / 71 C.]
250—13-6-99.

Political DEPARTMENT.

Read again G.O. 495 Pl. of 29.7.84 (P).
62 Pl. of 29.1.85 (P).
715 " of 8.10.85 (P).
852 " 20.11.85 (P).

19 P

C. No 390	From the Paymaster C. Stipends	No. 115	dated 17-3-1899
" 591	Do	" 204	" 5-5-1899
" 756	Do	" 228	" 12-6-1899
"	"	"	" 189

~~Order~~ Wh. 451 of 11-7-99.

~~His Excellency~~ The Gov^r in Council ~~is pleased~~ to sanction a ~~grant~~ Compassionate grant of ×Rs 6 each per mensem, from the ~~date of~~ 12^th Nov 1898, to Latifa Bano & Husain Bano ~~dependants of the late~~ ~~Nawab~~ Bahadur.

Cleared 10. VII

[signature]

following the death of their ... Begam

×Note -
The amount is for approval as the petrs claimed Rs 8 each as of right. On further ref. the P.C.S recommended Rs 6 (see C 390, 591). ~~...~~ to ~~...~~ indicate the latter ~~...~~ as proper grant ~~...~~ intended.

Cleared 10. VII.

~~To Petrs~~
~~through~~ The P.C.S ~~(...)~~ despd 27.7.99.

To the A.G. ~~(...)~~

C (with ...)

P

Have order printed ... (...)
& show me proof ...

Cleared

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

Number of copies required: Order complete. / Letter only. / Order complete with Letter.

Read again (G.O.), No. 1892 —

Serial No.

Remarks re G. No.

Orders of Government

Letters of Government

Statement with price.

Papers not other wise specified for returns as received.

N.B.—
a = Print in full.
b = Not to be printed.
c = Print abstract only.
d = Print heading only.

Go. 62 fol 24. 1. 85

read again Accd

read —

Read 4e 13. 7. 99