Political (1899) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No.s 274-75

DATED 1st May 1899

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

M.R.Rz V. Alwar Chetti Garu

Sanctg the transfer of the services of ——— Extra Asst Conservator of Forests, to the Cochin Darbar for a period of seven years on a salary of Rs 500 per mensem rising by annual increments of Rs 50 to Rs 750 exclusive of pensionary contribution.

Copy to the Govt.

1/1/99

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Cus 969 B of 447-46 Fi.

Government {1899} of Madras.

POLITICAL.

Recd. } 1899. *Enclosures*

Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., &c., Nos. 274, 275, 1st May 1899.

MAY.

M.R.Ry. V. Alwar Chetti Garu.

Sanctioning the transfer of the services of —, Extra Assistant Conservator of Forests, to the Cochin Darbar for a period of seven years on a salary of Rs. 500 *per mensem* rising by annual increments of Rs. 50 to Rs. 750 exclusive of pensionary contribution. Copy to the Government of India.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

POLITICAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—again the following papers:—

G.O., Nos. 869, 870, Political, dated 19th December 1896.

Abstract.—Sanctioning, with remarks, the temporary transfer of the services of Mr. G. F. F. Foulkes, Deputy Conservator of Forests, 4th Grade, to the Cochin Darbar for three months on a salary of Rs. 550 *per mensem plus* a deputation allowance of Rs. 10 *per diem*. Copy to the Government of India, Foreign Department.

G.O., Nos. 192, 193, Political, dated 23rd March 1897.

Abstract.—Sanctioning for a further period of one month and a half the transfer of the services of Mr. G. F. F. Foulkes, Deputy Conservator of Forests, 4th Grade, to the Cochin Darbar.

Read—also the following papers:—

From F. A. NICHOLSON, Esq., Acting British Resident in Travancore and Cochin, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Camp, Bolghotty, 15th February 1899, Ref. on Camp No. 7.

I have the honour to forward herewith copy of a letter from the Diwan of Cochin on the question of the Cochin forests and their future working. The object of the Diwan's letter is to obtain the services of a British Forest Officer, Mr. Alwar Chetty, for a period sufficient to put the working of the State forests on a proper footing.

2. The Diwan shows that for the past five years, at least, the Darbar has been of opinion that the forests were not being properly managed, and he gives the history of the attempts during these five years to improve forest working. The outcome of the attempts was the deputation of a British officer, Mr. Foulkes, whose report was received in 1898. This report was sent to the Conservator Mr. Kohloff for remarks, which only reached the Diwan at the end of last August. Mr. Rees had meanwhile, after considerable inspection of the forests, given the Darbar the benefit of his advice on the subject, and the Diwan further consulted me in October when I advised him to deal with the matter thoroughly and in its entirety. While this was being done, Mr. Kohloff has, it seems (paragraph 11 of the letter), elected to retire from service on pension, and this puts matters on a new footing.

3. The Cochin forests are among the most valuable in Southern India and should be worked with great care and profit, especially in view of the approaching intersection of the State by a railway and the consequent development of the timber export trade by sea and land. The results of late years have been miserable, and the income is going from bad to worse, probably as the result of improvident, unscientific working in the past. I have long been of opinion, and have expressed it, that a radical change is absolutely necessary if the forests are to be worked properly; the Cochin forests require the most careful and prudent management; scientific conservancy in the overworked blocks as well as scientific working for produce and revenue

in the unworked portions are necessary; the establishment and working system require complete reorganization. For this purpose an officer *thoroughly* trained both in forestry and in forest systems and administration is needed, and there is absolutely *no one* available in the State. Hence the borrowing of a British officer is not only desirable but essential: he is a necessity not a luxury.

4. Under these circumstances I strongly support the application made in paragraph 11 of the Diwan's letter and shall be glad if Mr. Alwar Chetti's services can be spared to Cochin.

Enclosure.

From P. RAJAGOPALA CHARI, Diwan of Cochin, to the Acting British Resident, dated Ernakolam, dated 1st February 1899, Ref. on C. No. 59.

In continuation of the correspondence ending with my letter to you, dated 19th October 1898, Ref. on C. No. 59, I have the honour to address you on the present condition of the Forest department in Cochin.

2. I find from the files of correspondence in regard to the Cochin forests in my office that the Darbar has been of opinion for *at least* the last five years or so that its forests have not been properly managed. I find that, so long ago as the 26th September 1893, the Diwan, Mr. Subramanya Pillai, asked the Conservator of Forests for a full report on his department with suggestions for its improved working. I also find that, so long ago as the 9th February 1894, the Conservator, Mr. Kohloff, submitted a detailed report in reply to the Diwan's requisition. This report was sent on the 28th February 1894 to the then Comptroller of Forests, Mr. Hudson, for his remarks; and Mr. Hudson submitted his views to the Diwan on the 13th April 1894. After about a year, the Diwan, Mr. Subramanya Pillai, addressed the Resident, Mr. Grigg (*vide* Diwan's letter, dated 9th March 1895, No. 427), forwarding to him the scheme submitted by Mr. Kohloff, and the remarks thereon by Mr. Hudson, and the Diwan also offered some suggestions of his own in the matter.

3. No reply was sent by the Resident for over a year to the above communication, but on the 20th July 1896, the Resident, Mr. Thomson, asked the Diwan to inform him fully what had been done by way of improvement of the forest administration since the date of the Diwan's letter to the Resident of the 9th March 1895. In reply to this, the Diwan, Mr. Subramanya Pillai, informed the Resident that the only measures which had been carried out were those mentioned in paragraphs 217 and 218 of the report on the administration of Cochin for 1070 M.E., and that the other measures suggested in the Diwan's letter, dated 9th March 1895, had not been carried out as they were pending the approval of the Resident (*vide* Diwan's letter to the Resident, dated 3rd August 1896, No. 1862). In reply to this communication, the Resident, Mr. Rees, wrote to the Diwan on 30th October 1896, that, while he approved of the proposals for reforming the department contained in the Diwan's letter, dated 9th March 1895, he observed that, in accordance with the suggestions of the Resident, Mr. Thomson, the Darbar had already applied for the services of a British officer to report on the Cochin forests, and that if such an officer should be available, the various proposals made in the Diwan's letter of the 9th March 1895, may be placed before that officer, and nothing need be done till that officer's report was received.

4. Subsequently, in December 1896, further correspondence took place, which ended in Mr. Foulkes being deputed by the Madras Government to inspect the Cochin forests, and to advise the Darbar in regard to the conservancy and better working of the same.

5. I find from the file of correspondence in my office, that, as early as the 23rd December 1896, the Conservator of Forests was told of the deputation of Mr. Foulkes and asked to place himself in communication with that officer, to go over the forests with that officer, and to render such assistance as may be requisite to enable that officer to understand the working of the department and to report on the same. The conservator was also told at the same time that Mr. Foulkes should be given full and

free access to all the records of his office, and that he, the Conservator, should prepare and furnish such statements, &c., as Mr. Foulkes might require for the purpose of his report. It is thus clear that Mr. Kohloff was made to understand that the opportunity should not be neglected of getting a really valuable report upon the Cochin forests such as would be useful hereafter in dealing with the subject.

6. I also wrote at the same time demi-officially to Mr. Foulkes indicating to him briefly the matters about which the Darbar was particularly anxious to have full information. I pointed out to him that the main heads of enquiry were (1) conservancy, (2) revenue, (3) establishment, and (4) working. Under conservancy, I stated that, from previous correspondence, it would seem that the sub-division of the forests into new and more workeable areas was desirable, that certain areas were alleged to be over-worked and to require immediate rest by closure against felling, and possibly to demand re-productive operations, that the question of excluding temporary cultivation within the forest areas should be considered, and the subject of a Forest Regulation with its effects on forest work and on public convenience and customary privileges might be discussed. Under revenue, I told him to enquire whether it was a fact that, notwithstanding that the forests were said in parts to be quite overworked, the timber and produce did not bring in the full value, either because the system and methods were faulty or because of peculation. Under establishment, I instructed Mr. Foulkes to suggest such establishment as he might deem necessary even if they involved radical interference with the present establishment either in regard to personnél or pay. All these aspects of the forest question were dealt with in detail in two demi-official communications, and for your reference, I beg to invite a perusal of your demi-official to me, dated 9th January 1897, containing the suggestions in pursuance of which I called the attention of Mr. Foulkes to certain forest matters. I find from my demi-official file of correspondence that Mr. Foulkes acknowledged the receipt of my two communications on the 19th January 1897.

7. Subsequently, it was found that there was no chance of the special work in which Mr. Foulkes was engaged being completed within the period of three months allotted for it, and application had to be made for an extension of the period by a month and a half (*vide* Diwan's letter to the Resident, dated 20th February 1897, No. 557). This application for an extension was in due course granted. Unfortunately, even with this extension, Mr. Foulkes found that he could not finish drafting his report before he left, with the result that he was able to complete his drafting only after he reverted to his own service, and the printing of the report had to be done by him when he was at Nellore and he had to be in communication with the Sirkar Press at Ernakulam. As the result proved, this arrangement was unfortunate, and involved a delay of nearly a year.

8. In the meantime, the administration of the Forest Department for 1071 was condemned by the Diwan as unsatisfactory, and the condemnation was approved of by the Resident, and in dealing with the matter, the Madras Government observed in paragraph 11 of the Order, No. 615, Political, dated 17th September 1897, that the "facts mentioned in the Diwan's review and the additional remarks of the Acting Resident prove that the Darbar was fully justified in obtaining the services of a British Forest officer to examine into and report on the forest administration of the State." And further on, the Madras Government observed that "His Highness the Raja would be well advised to take advantage of the opportunity afforded (by the consideration of the special officer's report) to set this important branch on a thoroughly satisfactory footing."

9. As already stated by me in paragraph 7 *supra*, there was considerable delay in printing Mr. Foulkes' report, but the moment that the report was ready, I sent an advance copy of it to the Resident, Mr. Rees, with my demi-official to him, dated 1st April 1898, and I said that spare copies as well as my own remarks on the report would follow in due course. I considered that, before *I* passed any remarks on Mr. Foulkes' report, it was but fair to the Conservator that *he* should have an opportunity of submitting for my consideration *his* own remarks upon that report. Copies of Mr. Foulkes' report were also therefore sent to the Conservator in April 1898.

10. The Resident, Mr. Rees, however, in view apparently to the fact that he was about to proceed on furlough, thought fit to favour the Darbar with a detailed expression of his own views in regard to the Cochin Forest Department without waiting for what either the head of the department or the Diwan had to say. These views of Mr. Rees will be found in his two letters to the Diwan, dated 20th August 1898. I do not propose just now to offer any remarks in detail either upon Mr. Foulkes' report or on the criticisms thereon of the Resident, but with reference to the first paragraph of the Resident's first letter of the 20th August 1898, in which he refers to his not having received my letter on Mr. Foulkes' report, I feel bound to point out that I had already stated in my demi-official of the 1st April 1898, that my remarks would follow in due course; and I was certainly not in a position to offer any remarks before Mr. Rees left, for the obvious reason that the Conservator's remarks, dated 26th August 1898, reached me only on the 31st August 1898, *i.e.*, *several days after I received Mr. Rees' remarks*. I simply mention this fact, so that it can be seen that there was no avoidable delay on my part in submitting my views before Mr. Rees left. I have already pointed out this in my letter to you, dated 19th October 1898, Ref. on C. No. 59.

11. It is now the duty of the Darbar to consider the whole question, and to frame a scheme for the re-organization of the Forest Department, after taking into consideration the exhaustive report of Mr. Foulkes and the criticisms thereon of the Resident, Mr. Rees. But an event has since occurred which renders it undesirable that the Darbar should take any steps just now which might commit it to any detailed scheme for the re-organization of the department, and my object in now writing to you is to tell you of it officially, and to ask you to help the Darbar in this matter. Mr. Kohloff, the Conservator, has submitted his papers for pension, and His Highness the Raja has directed me to inform him that he is allowed to retire with effect from the date of his relief. The appointment of Conservator has to be filled up, and in view to the complicated nature of the questions involved, and the diverse opinions expressed in regard to the department, and in view also to the fact that the working of the department has been condemned by two Residents and two Diwans, it seems to His Highness that the best thing that the Darbar can do is to apply for and obtain the services of an officer of the Madras Forest Department for a definite period of time to fill the appointment of Conservator. His Highness has therefore asked me to request you to be so good as to move the Madras Government to grant to the Darbar the loan of the services of Mr. Alwar Chetti Garu, an officer of the Madras service, for a period of not less than seven years. Should the Madras Government view this application favourably, I request that I may be informed of the terms asked for by this officer. Should Mr. Alwar Chetti eventually become the Conservator, it seems to His Highness desirable that the re-organization of the Forest Department should lie over till the new officer joins, and is in a position to offer his views for the consideration of the Darbar in framing a detailed scheme.

Telegram from the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, to the Resident in Travancore and Cochin, Trivandrum, dated 27th March 1899, No. 162.

Reference your letter fifteenth February, number seven. Alwar Chetti accepts post of Conservator, Cochin, on rupees five hundred per mensem rising by annual increments rupees fifty to seven hundred and fifty exclusive of pensionary contribution. Please wire whether Darbar accepts these terms.

Telegram from the Resident in Travancore and Cochin, to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated 9th April 1899.

Your telegram twenty-seventh March. Cochin Darbar accepts terms for Alwar Chetti.

Order—No. 274, Political, dated 1st May 1899.

The transfer of the services of M.R.Ry. V. Alwar Chetti Garu, Extra Assistant Conservator of Forests, to the Cochin Darbar for a period of seven years on a salary of Rs. 500 *per mensem* rising by annual increments of Rs. 50 to Rs. 750 exclusive of pensionary contribution is sanctioned under article 806 of the Civil Service Regulations.

2. The attention of M.R.Ry. Alwar Chetti Garu will be drawn by the Board of Revenue to the instructions in articles 826 to 834 of the Civil Service Regulations in regard to the payment of contributions and the information to be given to Account officers.

(True Extract.)

G. STOKES,
Chief Secretary.

To the Resident in Travancore and Cochin.
„ Revenue Department.

Endorsement—No. 275, Political, dated 1st May 1899.

Copy to the Government of India, Foreign Department.

G. STOKES,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. A. Rungasawmy Aiyangar.

Revenue Department.

D. O. No. 170-51

Reference 6.3.99

My dear Davidson,

The Resident in Cochin has intimated to this Government that the Cochin Darbar is desirous to obtain the loan of the services of M.R.Ry. Alwar Chetty Garu, Extra Assistant Conservator of Forests for a period of not less than seven years to fill the appointment of Conservator of Forests in Cochin.

It appears that the Cochin forests have been indifferently administered in past years. The following extract from the Resident's letter shows the nature of the work which lies before the new Conservator:-

"The Cochin forests are among "the most valuable in Southern India "and should be worked with great "care and profit, especially in view "of the approaching intersection of "the State by a Railway and the con "sequent development of the timber "export trade by sea and land. The "results of late years have been "miserable, and the income is going "from bad to worse, probably as the "result of improvident, unscientific "working in the past. I have long

"been of opinion, and have expressed
"it, that a radical change is abso-
"lutely necessary if the forests are
"to be worked properly; the Cochin
"forests require the most careful and
"prudent management; scientific con-
"servancy in the overworked blocks
"as well as scientific working for
"produce and revenue in the unworked
"portions, are necessary; the esta-
"blishment and working system require
"complete reorganization. For this
"purpose an officer thoroughly trained
"both in Forestry and in Forest sys-
"tems and administration is needed".

I am accordingly directed to ask the Board's views as to whether the services of M.R.Ry. Alwar Chetty can be spared to the Darbar and as regards his qualifications for the responsible position he will occupy.

If the Board considers that his sefvices may be lent and that his quali--fications are suitable, I am to ask that the Board will communicate with the officer and ascertain from him the terms on which he would be pre-pared to accept the appointment.

(Intld). N. B. M.

28/2/99.

3/3/9

Fort St. George
3rd March 1899

D.O. was despatched on the day. Issue slip & date

O/18 4,000—18-10-98.

Revenue (1899) Department.

D.O. CURRENT No. 76

From

Board of Revenue —
Land Revenue

Order

D.O. No. 26

Dated 13/3

Received 14/3

Registered 14/3

Enclosures — ~~One~~ One.

Spare copies. —

SUBJECT.

Re - Hire loan of the Services of Mr. Alwar Chetty, Extra Assts Conservator of Forests, to the Cochin Darbar

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

"VEMUR VILLA"

Pondicherry

12th March 1899.

Sir,

In acknowledging with thanks your D.O. No 24 of the 6th instant I beg to state that I shall be glad to accept the appointment of Conservator of Forests in the Cochin State on a monthly pay of Rs 500 rising to Rs 750 by five equal yearly increments in addition to the State

paying such pensionary contributions as the Madras Government may fix.

I have noted the nature of the duties which I will be expected to perform in the Cochin State and I feel confident that I shall be able to discharge those duties to the satisfaction of my Employers. I

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Yrs very obediently
A. Alexander Chelly

D

D. O. No. 26

Revenue Board Office,
(Land Revenue),
MADRAS.
13 March 1899.

REV. DEPT. 14 MAR 99

My dear Mr

In reply to your Demi-official No. 51 of the 3d regarding the loan of Mr Ry Alwar Chetty to the Cochin Darbar, I am to enclose this reply to the Board's reference to him on the. You will see that he is willing to appointment of Conservator of Forests in his State on a monthly pay of Rs. 500, Rs 750 by annual increments of Rs 50 & pensionary contribution. I gave him to-day erstand that these terms were suggested formally by the Cochin Authorities. His ld fall under rule 2 of Clause IV 2 806 of the Civil Service Regulat

2. The Forest Member, considers that Mr Chetti is qualified to fill the appointm nservator in the Cochin State and that es can be spared for the purpose rs. He has passed the Dehra Dun

Dehra-Dun Examination with honours and under Section 34 of the Forest Code, is at present eligible for promotion to the class of Extra Deputy Conservators as he has rendered more than five years approved service in the second grade of Extra Assistants

Yours sincerely

Lionel Hudson

7 years, 4 months

Political (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 270

CHIEF SECRETARY
17 FEB. 1899
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

From F. A. Nicholson Esqr
Agt: British Resident
in Travancore & Cochin

Order

Ref on Camp No. 7

Dated 15th February 1899.

Received 17. 2. 99.

Registered

Enclosures 1

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Forwarding the report from the Dewan of Cochin on the forests of that State with an application for the loan of the services of the British officer at present in charge for the place of the Conservator.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
615	17	9-97	S.C.
192-3	23	3-97	"
869-70	19	12-96	"

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No	Department.	Date.

C No 270. Pol Dept.

dorpt 27/3/98

Telegram (No. 162)

To the Resident I & C.
Trivandrum.

Refers your letter fifteenth February, number seven.
Alwar Chetty ~~will accepts~~ post of Conservator Cochin on rupees five hundred per mensem rising by annual increments rupees fifty to seven hundred fifty exclusive of pensionary contribution.
Please ~~ascertain~~ cable whether Durbar accepts these terms.

Deferred.

C.

27/3/98

24/3/98

CWS. 24/3 25/3/98.

Telephone to Ag.

Proposed transfer service
V. Alwar Chetti Retd Asst Conservator Forests to Cochin Durbar for period seven years for employment as Conservator Forests on Rupees 500 rising

by annual increments of fifty
to seven hundred fifty (exclusive
of pensionary emoluments. Case
falls under sub. clause (2)
clause (a) article 806 C.S.R
Presume there is no objection

Cmb
24.3.99

25/3/99

Sd/-
10.5.99
24.3.99

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

Code Class. | Monthly Number.

To (Office) | From (Office) Telephonic Madras

Words	Day.	Hour.	Minute.	Official Instructions.
	27	16	44	

To (Person) Chief Secretary

From (Person) Accountant General

Your telephone message to the
effect is no account stopped to transfer
of K. Alwar Chetty to the Commissariat
under the terms proposed but the transfer
requires the sanction of the Govt of India
under article 806 II (a) C.S.R.

C/s

ask for them.

27/3/99

C.A.

File put up

27.3.99

Telegraph Master

64 Word Form. F.C 2.

Recd. from I.N. At Hrs. Mts. by

Sent to I.N. At Hrs. Mts. by

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS SECRETARIAT CHIEF 27 MAR 1899

C G P—No. 203 T—8 12 97—2000000

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS. Monthly Number.

Code Class.

To (Office)

From (Office) Telephone Message

Words.	Day.	Hour.	Minute.	Official Instructions.
	29	16	59	

To (Person) Chief Secretary

From (Person) Accountant Genl

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS * CHIEF SECRETARY * 29 MAR 1899

Regarding the grant for Value Stamps to Foreign Bankers in Calcutta by those Agents who have been the practice to remit receipts direct to all Treasuries to avoid remittance It was usually necessary under the Rules attached to the Civil Service Code Section 8 & 6 Financial Department Rule 109 dated 7th January 1889 embodied in G.O. No 611 Dated 14.3.89 Law Dept. Rule 4 which appears to be incorporated in the C.S.R. It appears to the Accountant General that the above condition regarding remittance are complied with but however question of is unnecessary if these Sections 206 IV 5 were repealing Para: question of by the Govt

Recd. from LN. Sent to LN.

Hrs. Mts. by At Hrs. Mts. by

Telegraph Master.

64 Word Form. F. C 2.

O & P—No. 202 T—8 12 97—2000000

Despd 6/4/22

Telegram

To Resdt Trivandrum

(5)

174x Reference my telegram 27th Alwar Chetti. Please expedite reply

(From CS's order)

Cl. S.
5/4/22

Despd 6/4/22

Please dispatch this message at once & return file to me.
5/4/22 (3.40 pm)

[Poll. / 7]
500—11-6-5

Political (1899) Department.

F

Osty Office
Please have
papers put up
& send to Col.
or P. Todd
13/4/99

CURRENT No. 498

Subd to C.a. Recommended
file was returned to C.a. last night.
15-4-99.

From The Resident in Cochin

Order

No.

Dated 9
Received 10 } — 4 — 99.
Registered 13

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Stating that the Darbar
accepts the terms of
Alwar chetty re: the
post of Conservator, Cochin

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

THE RESIDENCY TRAVANCORE

Bolgatty.
10 April 1882.

My dear Stokes

Your telegram 162 of 27th March, re deputation of Alwar Chetty to Cochin Forests.—

I sent you a telegram yesterday stating that the Cochin Durbar accept the terms proposed in your telegram. They would like him to join at the

Where is the telegram Nicholson speaks of? I have not seen it. If you cannot trace it here return the file here

Cmt
14.4.82

Note for a

The telegram in question has not been received here.

14.4.82.

Sent 2 d.
with c. No. 449
Cmt

[G. 124]

500—25-11-98.

Pol Secab DEPARTMENT.

C. No 270 From the Resdt in T. of , No. 7 , dated 15.2 1899.

" " " , " , " 189 .

" " " , " , " 189 .

" " " , " , " 189 .

For the C.S.

The Diwan's Letter & the Resdt's covering letter set out the case fully.

Submitted that Revenue may be consulted u.o. as to the loan of the services of the Forest Officer mentioned by the Darbar. M. R. Rg V. Alwar Chetti, Extra Asst. Conservator. 2nd Grade — both as regards his services being available and with reference to his qualifications for the responsible position he will occupy.

No. 517, d/ 18-2-99.

Rev. Dep. u.o.

G. 18/2.

u.o. No. 5 d/ 20th February 1899

To the Under Secretary.

The question will have to be referred to the Board of Revenue and the Accountant General. ~~The Political Dept may therefore be asked to ~~S~~ to transfer the file formally to the Revenue Dept.~~

BM
24.2.99

Type first & fourth lines

Draft for favour

4
28/2/99

Secy

Please see Draft do. to the Bd Secy in approval. The B.E. need only be consulted as to the terms & there are as yet undefined. It is presumed that Mr Clutk has no objection to end his services of the BE considers him suitable?

1.3.99

Done
S/3/99

~~Draft letter~~

Please see reply from the ~~Bd~~ Board of Revenue. The Board considers Mr Alwar Clutk qualified for the post & is willing to spare him for seven years. ~~The Board has proposed to the Govt to appoint him to the post~~. The terms asked for are a salary of Rs 500-50-750 Enclosure of

BM
6.3.99

pecuniary contribution. It would appear that these terms have already been informally suggested by the Durbar.

If Govt has no objection to the Board ascertaining ~~the~~ formally the Durbar's acceptance of these terms which appears suitable seeing that Alwar Clutk is to be lent if a dept in Govt & already gets Rs 350 pm & if necessary to make up to the Govt Secy is responsible only to the Govt in matters of this kind & there is therefore no objection to his corresponding with the Political Dept to whom the papers may be returned.

MM
16/3/99

S/3/99

Col Dpt W.D. 20th Mch 99

P/24

OFFICE SECRETARIAT
20 MAR 1899
Bombay

Pol Dept –

As Rev considers M. R. Ry Alwar Chetty (Vide Rev Note, above)
suitable for the post of Conservator
of Forests in Cochin & is willing
to spare his services for a period
of seven years, as required by the para 11 of Diwan's letter, @ F.
Cochin Durbar, it only remains
to ascertain whether the Durbar
accepts that officer on a salary
of Rs 500 per mensem rising by
yearly increments of Rs 50 per men- Exclusive of pensionary contribution
sem to Rs 750 p.m. It appears
from his letter to the Secy to the D/o dated 12/3/55 @ A
Board (Land Rev) that M. R. Ry Alwar Chetty names
these terms & from the Secretary's
letter to Govt in Rev Dept it D/o No 26 d/13.3.55 @ B.
appears that Cochin has itself
informally suggested ~~the salary~~
~~asked for~~ them.

2 A telegram to the Resident
Ir C requesting him to secure
the formal acceptance of M. R. Ry
Alwar Chetty's services on the
above stated salary is subm^d –
for approval – on separate
sheet.

In the Ch.
24/3/55 M. R. Ry. Alwar Chetti
apparently has ten years

qualifying service to pension (article 806 (iii) of the C.S.R) – vide page 274 of the Manual of Service & the term Rs 300 + L.A of Rs 50 in British Service. The case seems to fall under Sub. clause (2) of Clause (iv.) of article 806 of the C.S.R as the pay mentioned (500 – 50 – 750) is not more than is ~~required~~ allowed in British service for the duties which will be required of M. R. Ry. Alwar Chetti as Conservator of the Cochin Forests. No previous reference to the G of I. or to the A.G. appears to be necessary if the Cochin Durbar accepts the proposed terms. It would be safe to see if A.G. raises any objection & a draft telephonic message to him has been added below the draft telegram to the Resident below.

Cwd
21. 3 55

Yr
25/3/55

C 16-4-99

Note for the C.S.

Please see the Acct. Genl's telephonic message of the 27th instant flagged K in which he states that there is no account objection to the transfer of M.R.Ry. Alwar Chetty to the Cochin State under the terms proposed, but that the transfer requires the sanction of the Govt. of India under sub-clause (a) of clause (ii) of article 806 of the C.S.R.

This sub-clause states that an officer may be transferred to Foreign service of the first kind if the transfer is made by, or with the consent of, the Local Govt. under which the officer is serving subject, if the case is one of transfer to the service of a Native State and the remuneration proposed exceeds that specified in clause (iv) of that article, to the sanction of the Govt. of India in the Foreign Dept.

Sub-clause (2) of clause (iv) states that if an officer is transferred to a post the duties of which entail unusual responsibility and require special capacity, his pay shall not exceed the pay ordinarily allowed for similar duties in British service.

M.R.Ry. Alwar Chetty will occupy the post of Conservator of Forests in the Cochin State and it is submitted that the pay which is proposed for him (Rs.500-50-750) is not more than is allowed for similar duties in British service. It is submitted that the Acct. Genl's contention is wrong and that it will suffice if the case is reported, as required by sub-clause (5) of clause (iv) of article 806, to the Govt. of India. The Resident has yet to reply to the telegram sent him on the 27th instant regarding the Bombay acceptance of the terms on which M.R.Ry. Alwar Chetty is prepared to join the Cochin service.

Cent.
29.3.99

To the C.S.

The Acct's further telephonic message, in which he states that no previous reference to India is required, is flagged I. The Resident has not yet replied to the telegram of the 27th ultimo. A reminder might issue?

Cent.
5.4.99.

Offn
P.

Please have telegram below this despatched & keep an eye on this file.

Cent
6/4/99

Despatched today-per telegram. HDC 5/4/99

P.T.O.

J. Thought to whom I sent it. Initials were not given. 29.3.99

Shown to A.G. who agrees unless there are some other reasons in his office the other way. He will telephone on this point.

29/3/99.

For Ch.

No reply has yet been rec^d to the telegram of 5th @ X. The file is therefore subm^d for further orders on my leaving Madras for Ooty – Please see your note to me on other side –

FWG
11.4.99

For the C.S.

Please see the Resid^y's D.O. flagged . The telegram he refers to has not been received. Meanwhile a draft order is subd. Resid^y might perhaps be asked to repeat the telegram

Chd.
18.4.99.

I have not seen it.
15.4.99.

Yes. Succeed from Madras vide C. No 495
Chd
15.4.99.

[G. / L4] 500—25-11-98.

C 16-4-99
R 19-4-99

2 Numbers

Political DEPARTMENT.

2

E

Read again G.O. No 869/870 Pol. dated 19. 12. 96 (P)
" " " " No 192/193 " " 23. 3. 97 (P)
C. No 270 From the Resid^t in Travancore & Cochin No. 7, dated 15. 2. 1899. (N) w/ 1 end.
Telegram from " " " 162, " 27. 3. 1899 (N)
" 495 " " Resid^t in Trav.-Cochin " " 9. 3. 1899 (N)
" " " " " " 189

Shew CA before accepting
Cattended to 2/5/99.
H.S. The transfer

Order No 274 dated 1st May 1899
Pol.

Rer. for C.S.

19.4.99

Issued 19-4-99.

Exclusion of pensionary contribution

The transfer of the services of M. R. Ry. Alwar Chetti, Sarv, Extra Asst. Conservator of Forests, to the Cochin Darbar for a period of 7 years on a salary of Rupees 500 per mensem rising by annual increments of Rs 50 to Rs 750, is sanctioned under article 806 of the C. S. R.

2. The attention of M. R. Ry. Alwar Chetti Sarv, will be drawn by the Board of Revenue to the instructions in articles 826 to 834 of the C. S. R. in regard to

the payment of contributions &
the information to be given to
Account Officers

Cmb.
16.4.99

15.4.99

No. 275

Copy to Ld. & Fd. Dept. Foreign — Desp'd 2/5/99. — Resolution T.C. — Revenue Dept. — Desp'd 2/5/99 — Acct Genl. — Desp'd 3-5-99

Cmb.
15.4.99

15.4.99

Desp'd 19/4/99

Telegram to Secy Board of Rev. Land Rev, Madras

196 Cochin Darbar accepts
Alwar Chetti's services on
terms mentioned in D.O. 46
Revenue 13th. Duecktein
join immediately

Cmb.
15.4.99

15.4.99

B

Fair copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

Number of copies required. { Order complete. / Letter only. / Order complete with Letter. } 75

Read again G.O., No. , dated 189

Contract No.

Print as marked

Send Depr Copies

Enclosures to G. No.

14

1

USE OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH RITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED IN, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.