[Poll. / 6.]
1,000—24-8-97.

Political (189 8) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 755

DATED 25—10—98

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Informing Nawab Begum grand-daughter of the late Nawab Sir Kamaruddin Ali Khan Bahadur, that he sees no reason to reconsider the terms of G.O. No. 612 P.W. of 16-12-90 declining to entertain any claims connected with the Jerram Konda Estate & to furnish copies of certain documents, and stating that future petitions on the subject will not be noticed.

T
10/98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

c/w 20/10/98

Political (1898) Department.

Note
m.o. for papers.
SJ
10/10/98

CURRENT No. 1314

Rec'd 12.10.98
Ord 12.10.98
Order

From

H. A. Sim Esquire I.C.S.
Private Secretary to
H.E. the Governor.

No.

CHIEF SECRETARY
10 OCT. 1898
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Dated 7th October 1898.
Received 10 do
Registered
Enclosures
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Transfers a petition from Nawab Begum, Tinnevelly Province, praying that she may be furnished with copies of certain documents.

By order,

Private Secretary

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department	Date.
Act.	778/94	Lodged	26/12/1894
120:	20.2.94	Mil	
24:	16.1.94	"	
66:	2.2.91	"	
612:	10.12.90	"	
261:	17.7.38	"	
244:	26.6.38	"	
179:	26.5.35	"	

Sm. Journ. 29/12/1905. 56.
" 29/12/1905. 56.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

To

His Excellency the Governor of
Madras

Excellency Sir

We have received an order from
the Resident of Hyderabad to send
Hon'ble Hon'ble Sir Salar Jung Bahadur
the Copy of the order passed when our Gurram-
-Hamdan Jahangir was escheated to Government.

Order No. 201 dated 25th May 1887 and No. 169
of 4th September 1890.

In reply No. 612 dated 7th November 1890
from your Excellency, it is stated that no
copies will be given.

Please let us know how are we to
obtain copies and the reason for not giving
the copies applied for.

We have been involved in great
many difficulties and if the Hyderabad Government
were to refuse to grant copies applied for there
is no other source to live upon.

No. & date given are wrong in both Cases. Now may be the order of the Resident

B

2

Therefore we humbly request the favoured prayers being met with success and the copies applied for may be graciously be granted.

We beg to remain

Excellencies Sir

Yours Excellencies Most Obedient and very humble Servants

(Signed) نواب بیگم

Nawab Begam

Grand daughter of S. N. Mir

Kamaruddin Allikhan Bahadur

Jahazirdar of Gurram Konda and

Two Each Land Pensioner

Lam Kur

Mysore Province

[G. / 25]
200—20-8-98.

Political DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 1314 of 10/10/98

SUBJECT.

HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR

THE HON'BLE SIR HENRY BLISS, K.C.I.E.

THE HON'BLE MR. ARUNDEL.

Petition of "Nawab Begam" grand-daughter of S N Mir — Kamaruddin Allikhan Bahadur

FOR ORDERS

In this petition the petitioner pursues a request which has been repeatedly made to & refused by Govt for copies of certain documents relating to the escheatment of the Gurramkonda Jahagir to the British Govt @ the opening of the present century.

2. In 1890 one "Sha Begam" who styled herself "daughter-in-law to late Nawab Meer Kamaruddin Ali Khan, Jagirda of Gooramkonda" petitioned for copies of these documents which she avowedly required to enable her to "represent her circumstances to the higher authorities".

After an exhaustive examination of the history of this

Jaghir Govt ordered that — "the Jaghir of Gurram Konda "was escheated to the British "Govt on Mir Jamr-ud-din "Khan's death & that a pension was "granted to his family as an act "of Grace" — and with regard to her other questions Govt ordered that "it cannot supply her "with any documents & desires "her to understand that no claims "connected with the Jaghir will "be entertained."

GO No 612 d/10/12/90 flagged A

3. To this order the petitioner replied with a renewed request for copy of what she termed the confiscation of the Jahagir after the Jahagir's death "together with other important documents which were detailed. She was, however, referred to the order already issued on the subject to which was added the statement that "Govt seeing no reason to re-consider the refusal to grant her copies of documents referred to".

Shah Beg

GO No 66 d/2/2/98. B.

4. On 18-12-93 a similar petition was received from a

"Mr Azzim-uddin Ali" addressed to His Excellency the Govr in Council but as it lacked definiteness the petitioner was requested to specify clearly the papers he required. On his stating his inability to do so he was referred to the GO No 612 d/10/12/90 (the order issued on petitions the first of this series — Vide para 2 supra) & was further informed that copies of documents relating to the matters referred to by him could not be furnished. A further communication received from the same source about a month later was "Lodged" with reference to the order above referred to.

GO No 24 d/16-1-94. C.

GO No 120 d/-20-2-94 - D.

C.N. 928 of 1864 E.

5. After more than four years of silence on the subject a new petition is now received in which, protesting against the orders of refusal which have hitherto issued & stating that copies of the documents are required for production before & under the orders of, the Resident of Haiderabad the petitioner asks

to be informed how she is to obtain the Copies & the reason of Govt for having refused them.

The petition bears no date & adduces no evidence that any official orders to produce have issued from the Haiderabad Residency although there is an incoherent reference in para 1 of petition to two GO's of 1887 & 1890 & respy which do not pertain to this office & therefore may possibly have come from the Resy.

In that case the probability is that they, as well as the "order from His Honor the Resident of Haiderabad" have issued in reply to representations from petitioner similar in character & result to those made to Govt referred to in the foregoing paras. —

6 It is submitted that one or other of the following orders may issue —

1 – The petitioner will produce the orders said to have been received from the Resident of Haiderabad in support of her

claim for copies of documents prayed for —

or No 7550 25–10 98

2 Govt sees no reason to reconsider the terms of GO No 61 d/ 10–12–98 referred to by the petitioner. Future petitions on this subject will receive no reply.

Order No 61 GO

A Co. 612 Pol. D 10. 12. 98

Petitioner (Mrs) despd 27/1/99

CS

Order No 61

A W Cook 18/10/98.

11/11/1898.

CS.

The second order proposed above is subt for approval. The first would only encourage further correspondence on a subject which as pointed out in the notes in the order of 1890 has been finally closed

Cull 21.X.98

When given for copying /
When copied 25.X
When examined 26/X
When signed _____
} 1898.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
189

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.								
STATEMENT WITH DITTO								
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.								

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.