[Foll. 8]

500—15-4-98.

Political (1898) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 656.

DATED 13.9.98

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Informing Raiyan Ali Sahib that his request for the continuance to him of his wife's lapsed pension cannot be complied with

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[Poll. / 7]
1,000—25-11-96.

Political (1898) Department.

Note
Entered in the Dist. Regr.
15/6/98.

CURRENT No. 815

Note PS

Please see the notes in
GO No. 759 Political dy 30.11.97.
and No. 431 Political dy 30.6.97.
Order It seems impossible to
clear up the case without
a reference to the P.C.S. Enst
to Supt.

From Qaiyum ali Sahib, Madras.

No.

Dated 10th
Received 14th
Registered 15th
Enclosures one
Spare copies

} June 1898.

Cmt 29.6.98. for 4/7 | 2/7/98.

No 1448 dy 1-7-98

Referred to the P.C.S. for report. The reason for the exclusion of the petitioner from a share in the continuable portion of the stipend of Sakina Begam (vide Colonel Underwood's letter No. 113 dy 23d. March 1889 disposed of by G.O. No. 176 Pol. dy 4.4.89) should be stated. From the present petition & from that dated the 20th May 1897 disposed of by G.O. No. 431 Pol. dy 30/6

SUBJECT.

Forwg. the original endt: No. 17, dy 10-1-98, of the Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends re his late wife's lapsed pension.

PTO.

June 1897, Qaiyum Ali would appear to have married two sisters

Cmb
29.6.98

21/6/98.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
R150:	26.5.98	Smc 17418	30.4.39.
759:	30.11.97	Smc 24158	29/1/39
431:	30.6.97.		
R73:	23.2.97		
176:	4.4.89		
359:	22.5.85		
515:	6.12.82		
835:	9.5.82		
540:	24.2.82		
548:	30-8-75		
345:	7.6.75		

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

PCS [with C. No: 707 + 815, panel. petition (C. No 666) in G.O. 431 of 30.6.97 in original to be returned)

Desp 6/7/98.

Current N° 815

To C.S.
No.
A.A. 4.6.98

CHIEF SECRETARY * MADRAS
14 JUN. 1898
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

The Honorable
A. J. Arundel. I.C.S.
Chief Secretary to Government.
Ootacamund.

Respected Sir.

In obedience to G.O. R No. 150 dated 26th May 1898 Political Department, I beg most respectfully to enclose herewith the original endorsement given to me by the present Paymaster of Carnatic Stipends Cheplak.

It may not be out of place to mention here that cases of longer standing than mine were liberally disposed of by the generous Government & under a ruling published in March 1881, lapse of time does not bar on a claim..

I beg to remain.
Honoured Sir.
Your most obediently.

قیوم علی صاحب

Qaiyum Ali Sahib

Madras
10th June 1898

Political (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 973

dew 217

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
20 JUL 1898
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

From The Paymaster Carnatic Stipends Chepak.

Order

No. 258 of 1898

Dated 19th July 1898.

Received 20th July 1898.

Registered

Enclosures Four (4) (C. No. 166.707 of 15 not sent and one enclosed)

Spare copies

(These have been restored to their places 21/7/98)

SUBJECT.

States the cause for excluding Lingumals from a Share in the Continuable Stipend of Rs 10. drawn by the late Sakina Begam.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. 258 of 1898. Paymaster Carnatic Stipends.
Current No. 973. Office Chepak 19th July 1898.

From

Lt. Colonel D. D. Pryce I. S. C.
Paymaster Carnatic Stipends
Chepak

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

With reference to your Memorandum No. 1448 dated 1st July 1898 "Political", I have the honour to state that the cause of Colonel Underwood excluding petitioner's name from a share in the continuable Stipend of Rs 10. drawn by the late Sakina Begam, was, I presume, the absence of any claim from the petitioner, and I think, it was for this reason that a small margin (Rs 1-10-8) was left out from the continuable portion which is two-thirds in the cases of 1801 Stipends, as only Rs 5. were continued to the Son of the late Sakina Begam. vide G.O. No. 176 dated 4th April 1889 "Political".

2. Petitioner first married the Eldest Sister of Sakina Begam, who died issueless prior to the publication of G.O. No. 548 dated 30th August 1875 "Political", sanctioning pensions to the grand daughters of Rahmani Begam, and subsequently to Sakina Begam who died in 1889.

I have the honour to be
Sir
your most obedient Servant
D. D. Pryce Lt. Colonel
Paymaster Carnatic Stipends
Chepak

Political Dept

C. Nos 816 & 973

Memo. No. 1614 d/2.8.98

The P.C.S. is requested to report whether there are any special circumstances in the case of Quayum Ali, which would appear to call for the grant of a compassionate allowance to him, and if so to state what they are

Chief S.
24.2.98

[illegible]

P. C. S (98 & 973)
(with C. Nos 707 & 815 in origl
file returned)
Desp'd
2/3/98.

R.N.J 1618

Political (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 1089

Soon

CHIEF SECRETARY'S GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS 16 AUG 1898

Order

From

The Paymaster,
Carnatic Stipends
Chepauk.

No. 293 of 1898.

Dated 15th
Received August 1898.
Registered
Enclosures ~~Two~~ One & C.N. 707 and 815 with 12 enclosures ret.
Spare copies

Returned to their proper places 17/8/98

SUBJECT.

Reports on the petitions of Zainun Ali Sahib as directed by Government Memorandum No. 1614 dated 2nd August 1898 "Political".

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No 149 of 1898.

Sneer Inahal
11th August 1898.

RECD. 12-8-98

D.P.

To,
Colonel D. D. Pryce,
Paymaster Carnatic Stipends
Chepak.

Sir,

In returning the 2 petitions with an enclosure from Laiyum Ali Sahib and the Government Memo thereon received with your docket of the 8th Instant, I am desired by the Prince of Arcot to furnish you with the following remarks.

Laiyum Ali Sahib is a son of a maternal uncle of the father of the Prince. He receives a Share in the family allowance allowed by the Prince to the several members of his late father's Cousins. The Share he receives is inadequate for the maintenance of his large family consisting of 2 wives and 7 children, two of whom are grown up and their nikah Ceremonies though performed 2 or 3 years ago yet their marriages were not Consummated for want of funds.

Laiyum Ali Sahib is in debt and towards its liquidation he pays a larger portion of his allowance and puts it very hard to pull on in the remainder. The Prince recommends that under the present straightened circumstances Laiyum Ali Sahib is a fit object for the Charity of Government and any sum that may be given to him in the Shape of bonus in lieu of a Share from his late wife's lapsed pension, will be a great boon to him either to liquidate his debts or for the expenses of the marriages of his son and daughter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

Azeem Hussain
Secy. to the Prince of Arcot.

(1898)

No. 412 of 1898.

From,

The Secretary to the
Prince

Dated 11th Aug: 1898
Recd 12th Aug: 1898

Gives his remarks, ~~as desired by the Prince of Arcot~~, on the Petition of Dayumm Ali forwarded with the Govt: Memo: on the 8th Instt, & states that he may be granted a share from the lapsed stipend of his late wife

Under No. 1089 Current

Rec'd

CURRENT NO. 1089

No. 293 of 1898

Paymaster Jamatic Stipends
Office Chepak 15th August 1898

From

Lt. Colonel D. D. Pryce. I. S. C.
Paymaster Jamatic Stipends
Chepak.

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St. George

Sir,

With reference to your Memorandum No. 1614 dated 2d August 1898, on ~~petition~~ Current Nos. 707 & 815 (herewith returned) I have the honour to state that petitioner being a dependant of the Prince of Arcot I asked the Prince for his remarks on the petition of Dayumm Ali Sahib and the reply received from the Prince through his Secretary is herewith submitted for the information and orders of government.

I have the honour to be
Sir
your most obedient servant

D. D. Pryce Lt. Colonel
Paymaster Jamatic Stipends
Chepak.

c 26/7
A 29/7

c 14/8
A 13/9

Political Dept

Cs 875 + 973

1089

H.E. the Governor

For Orders

Petitioner, Quaiyum Ali, married two sisters

Quiyum ali's claim for his late wife's lapsed pension.

i. Khadija Begam, a decd grand-daughter of Rahmani Begam She died issueless prior to the publication of G.O. No

A 5248 Pol dy 30.8.1875

which continued Rs 65 out of the stipend of Rs 100 drawn by Rahmani Begam amongst her relatives.

ii Sakinah Begam who received Rs 10 in the foregoing distribution a proportion by which her pension was continued thereon Shulam Muhamad Ali in G.O. No 176 Pol dy 4.4.1889

M

2. Petitioner's first claim as the husband of Khadija Begam was rejected

Y in G.O. 431 Pol dy 30.6.97, to the notes in which attention is solicited. His present claim is qua the husband of Sakinah Begam. In para 4 of his petition of November last he asked that "the remainder of his wife's lapsed pension "be continued (to him) for the "rest of his life". Please see the notes at K in G.O. 759 Pol dy 30.11.97 which gave petitioner para II of the C. Petition Rules

R

= G.O. 759 Pol dy 30.11.97

III & VII

3

He now submits the

Pay masters Endt 6 the (C.815) IV
Effect that "his request for
"a share of his late wife's
"lapsed pension cannot
"be complied with after
"a lapse of nine years"

4 In Col. Underwood's letter No 113 d/23.3.89, disposed of in G.O. 176 Pol d/4.4.89, no mention is made of Quaiyum ali. ~~&~~ The present Paymaster ~~Cod. Boyce~~ presumes that this was because petitioner made no claim at the time, and thinks that "it was for this reason "that a small margin (Rs 1-10-8) "was left out from the con- "tinuable portion which is "two-thirds in the cases of "1801 stipends, as only Rs 5 "were continued to the son of "the late Sakina Begam." "vide Go. No. 176 Pol d/4.4.89"

M, which submitted proposals regarding the distribution of the stipend of Sakina Begam,

(Current 1973) VIII

* vide page 1980. 150 Pol 2.6.91 (T)

This surmise is not warranted by the facts, it is submitted, as Col. Underwood made no mention of Quaiyum Ali.

Cwt

× the 1801 ~~Regn~~ ruling is not available

5 Quaiyum Ali argues that lapse of time is no bar to his claim and that in similar cases grants were made to the husbands of deceased female pensioners. The precedents quoted by him at R and S, and dealt with in paras 6 to 8 of the note with Go. 431 Pol d/30.6.97

Go. 789/30.11.97 & 431/30.6.97 & 73(K)/23.2.97

Y

So far as these are relevant they show that although the continuation of stipends in favour of collaterals is strictly opposed to the principles on which the rules for the admin- -istration of stipends were

G.O. No 73 Pol d/23.2.97
(K) and in embarrassed circumstances.

Go. 789 Pol d/30.11.97

3 were framed, there are instances in which the rules have been relaxed for special reasons.

Petitioner states at Q that he is "in (his) dotage "being over 50," (*) and at R that "he is nearly 60 years "old". He therefore apparently considers himself as one deserving of special treatment.

6. At first sight it would appear as if it took Quaiyum Ali 22 years to come to the conclusion that he had ~~some~~ any right to pension before (vide para 5 of the note at Y.) But ~~then~~ no doubt for many years subsequent to 1875 he enjoyed the pension of his second wife Sakinah Begam, who died in 1889 only. Even allowing however for this, there seems to be no reason why he should have ~~allowed~~ suffered eight years to elapse before making ~~his~~ any claim.

If Govt are pl. to grant him a pension of Rs 1-10-8 the sum will need to be commuted under para 114 HO 359 Pol d/22.6.85

CS 21.7.98

P

The whole case turns, it is submitted, on whether Quaiyum Ali's

* (Q) his first petition is dated 5.2.97:) (Ko) it is true that in it he came up as the husband of Khadija Begam

circumstances are such as to
"demand a compassionate grant.
"No number of precedents can help
the petitioner as each such case
"must be decided on its merits.
The facts against petitioner are
that at the time of the distribution
of Sakina Begam's stipend in
1889 he apparently made no
claim to a continuation from
that pension, that the Paymaster
of the day did not apparently
consider him deserving of
consideration, and that he waited
eight years before preferring his
claim. The present Paymaster's
argument that because the
full two thirds of Sakina Begam's
stipend was not continued, therefore
the margin was intended to
meet her husband's possible
claims cannot, it is submitted,
be admitted. There is nothing to
prevent Govt continuing an 1801
stipend in full. The rule is to
continue two-thirds, but even
this rule is not absolute and
much must depend on the
circumstances brought to light
at the time of the distribution.
In Sakina Begam's case there was
only one sharer whose claims
were considered & the Paymaster

23/7/98.

22/7/98.

and the continuation of a
society met the case. On the
other hand, it would be hard on
the petitioner to make him suffer
for the omission of the then
Paymaster to enquire into the
respective claims to Sakina
Begam's stipend in 1889, if
there was such an omission - and
that is apparently unascertainable
now. If it is decided that
the petitioner's case is prima
facie one for consideration, the
Paymaster might be directed
to report further as regards the
petitioner's alleged state of
destitution &c - It is obvious
that some of his statements are
not correct. E.g. he having
reached his dotage. The whole
claim has, however, a suspicious
air.

22.7.98 The Paymaster might be asked if there are any special circumstances in this case that they are aware of that a compassionate grant should be made in this case -

22/7/98.

This should be done.
A.PH
27.7.98

5

C6.

With reference to the foregoing letter please see C. No. 1089 from the PCS, which encloses a letter from the Prince of Arcot in regard to Qaiyum Ali who is a dependant of the Prince's. From this it would appear that Qaiyum Ali is a second cousin of the Prince and receives a share (sum amount not mentioned) in the allowance made by the Prince to the cousins of his late father. This share, the Prince reports, is insufficient for the maintenance of Qaiyum Ali's family which consists of 2 wives & 7 children, 2 of whom are apparently unmarried females. Qaiyum Ali is stated to be in debt & to be compelled to devote a large part of his allowance to the liquidation of his liabilities. The Prince recommends his case as a fit object for the charity of Government

The facts are reported from a different light on the matter. It was probably the fact ~~that it became~~ of Qaiyum Ali being in receipt of an allowance from the Prince which led the Paymaster in 1869 to

XV
XIV

Salima Begam

refrain from recommending him for a share in his wife's stipend. In any case he is not destitute & the fact that his allowance is insufficient & that he is in debt is a matter rather for the bounty of the Prince of Arcot, his kinsman, than for Govt. The Paymaster has, in his last letter, offered no opinion on the matter, but it is suggested that Qaiyum Alis petition should be rejected

Yes.
A.S.H
12.9.88

Cuds
19.8.88

Simeon

17.8.87

Political Dept

Cs. 815, 973 + 1089

C.S.

Order No. 656, dt 13th September 1898
Mis

Qaiyum Ali Sahib
will be informed
that his request cannot be
complied with.

(from orders)

C.W.
13/9/98

C.W.
15.9.98.

C.W.
19/9/98.

Qaiyum Ali Sahib this
To the P. C.S. (Q=all)
with original mem. dtd

Despd 14/9/98

*When given for copying*_____
*When copied*_____ } 189 .
*When examined*_____
*When signed*_____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No								
ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.								
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.								
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.								

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.