[Foll. 8.]
1,000—24-8-97.

Political (189) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 615-6

DATED 30-8-98.

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Furnishing the Pol Resident in the Persian Gulf, Shiraz, with information regarding the pensions to the descendents of the late Nawabah Jaffer Kuli Khan of Masulipatam & as also with the date & reasons of stoppage of the pension in each instance

Papers

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[Poll. 7]
1,000—25-11-96.

Political (1898.) Department.

Record office for papers.

CURRENT No. 1052

9/8/98

Cents
to Govt

Recd 12/8/98.

There is apparently a confusion of names in the Petition's letter. He alludes to the Nabob - the only grantee - "who rendered eminent services to the British Govt in Persia" and that was Jafar Ali Khan - Vide Go 22-3-64 Jafar Ali whose name is mentioned 4 93 in the current case is really his grandson Go 17-7-76 [illegible] a letter & papers relating to the Jan 95 [illegible] favour of [illegible] on the death of Jafar Ali Khan the result is submitted.

Order

60 Rs

P 17/8/98

17/8/98

From The Govt of Bombay. Poll Dept

No.

Dated -
Received 9th
Registered } August 1898.
Enclosures one
Spare copies -

SUBJECT.

Re. the stoppage of the pension granted to the descendants of the late Nawab Jaafer Kuli Khan of Masulipatam.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
385:	5-8-90 Sc	371/2: 20-2-62 Sc	
1956:	17-7-76 "	7 " 6- 2-62 "	
455:	9-11-74 Mis	2262 " 5 12-6 Sc	
391:	21-9-74 "	1378 " 29 9-37 Mis	
2252 "	19-9-65 Sc	638 " 7 10-22 "	
1635 "	8-9-64 "	Pols Dept 21-6-22 "	
1108 "	28-6-64 Mis	" " 12-10-21 "	
4932 "	22-3-64 Sc	754 2-10-21 "	
494			
1207/8 "	2-6-62 "	2 15-9-18 "	
679/1 "	27-3-62 "		

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. 619 of 1898.

Shiraz, 17th July 1898.

From

Lt-Colonel M. J. Meade,
Political Resident in the
Persian Gulf.

To,

The Secretary to Government,
Political Department,
Bombay.

Sir,

I have the honor to request the favor of your being so good as to furnish me with all the information you can about the pensions to the decendants of the late Nawab Jaafer Kuli Khan of Masulipatam, who rendered certain services to the British Government in Persia, for which these pensions were awarded; giving me also the date

and

and reasons of stoppage of the pension in each instance.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

H. J. Meade

Political Resident in the
Persian Gulf

Encls: Nil
Pol: Enc: 1052

CURRENT NO. 1052

No. OF 1898.

POLITICAL DEPARTMENT:

Bombay Castle, August 1898.

FROM

S. W. EDGERLEY, ESQUIRE, C.I.E., I. C. S.,
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
BOMBAY;

CHIEF SECRETARY
9 AUG 1898
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

TO

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Madras.

SIR,

I am directed to forward herewith, for favour of disposal by the Government of Madras, a letter No. 619, dated 17 ultimo, received from the Political Resident in the Persian Gulf regarding the stoppage etc. of the pensions granted to the descendants of the late Nawab Jaafer Kuli Khan of Masulipatam in the Madras Presidency.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

S W Edgerley

Secretary to Government.

C.S.

Acc 1052 of 98 Political Department

ORDER Mis No. 615, dated 30 August 1898

The following letter will be despatched.

Wm 1/9/98 Desp 6.9.98

LETTER No. 616, dated 30 August 1898

To

The Political Resident in the
Persian Gulf,
Shiraz.

Copies 2 x 2 sent 5/9 3/9/98

W2 1/9/98

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No.619, d/17th July 1898, which has been forwarded by the Govt. of Bombay to this Govt. for disposal, and you desire to be furnished with all the information available regarding the pensions to the descendants of the late Nawab Jaffer Kuli Khan of Masulipatam, who rendered certain services to the British Govt. in Persia for which these pensions were awarded, as also with the date and reasons of stoppage of the pension in each instance. In reply I am to state as follows

2. Husain Ali Khan was originally an officer under the Subah of the Deccan but subsequently attached himself to the interests of the East India Co: who granted him the jaghirs of Tantapaka and Paroor. He died in 1771

He was known as the Nawab of Masulipatam

1771 leaving five sons and daughters and in 1772 a pension of a lakh of rupees per annum to be continued during the Company's good pleasure was sanctioned for the support of his family. In 1779 disputes arose between the several members of the family and the pension of one lakh was allotted in shares, Jaffar Ali Khan, the fourth son of Husain Ali Khan, receiving as his share a monthly pension of Rs.419/6/0 - As Jaffar Ali Khan was at that time frequently employed in Persia by the British Govt., the payment to his wife Durdana Bi of the sum of Rs.119-6-0 out of his monthly pension was authorized.

Jaffar Ali Khan died in Persia in 1818 and then his pension was distributed as follows:--

To his son Muhammad Ali Khan (as head of the family) ---------- Rs.300-0-0
To his widow Durdana Bi ---" 119-6-0

" 419-6-0

3. On the death of the widow Durdana Bi, in 1835, the Madras Govt. continued three-fourths of her pension in equal shares (Rs.29-13-6) to her three daughters for life. On the deaths of two of the daughters their pensions lapsed to the State. The third daughter, Moti Begam, perished in the cyclone of the 1st Novr. 1864 at Masulipatam and in consideration of the fact that her family has no

Note
Letter from the Collr., Kistna No.4 of 1862 in G.O.No.371 Rev d/20-2-62

Vide page 4 of Statement attached to G.O.No.2252 Rev., d/19th Septr. 1865.

Vide paras 34 & 35 of note attached to G.O. 388 Pol. dt 5.5.20 A also No 12 on p. 3 of the same XVI S.O. and No. 12 on p. 6 ibid XVII

Note
vide G.O.No.175, Pol. d/28.3.93 S
and G.O.No.673, Pol. d/ 11.11.91 T

Note
Letter from the Collr. of Kistna No.36, d/28-2-62, in G.O.No.670 Rev., d/27-3-62

Note
G. of I. Foreign Dept. memo No.297, d/8-6-63, on page 2 of G.O.No.493 Rev., d/22-3-64.

Foreign Dept. letter No. 58, d/9th October 1861, in G.O.No. No.2262 Rev., d/5-12-61.

means of subsistence other than her pension, a sum of Rs19 was continued to her grandson Mir Haidar, for the support of himself and the family. In 1890 amount this amount was being drawn by Mir Haidar and his younger brother, Mir Sultan Ali, the former receiving Rs.12 and the latter Rs.7. It was then decided that a moiety of the stipend of Mir Haidar should on his death be continued to his widow and that the pension of Mir Sultan Ali lapse. Should Both brothers have however commuted their stipends.

4. Muhammad Ali Khan, the son of Jaffar Ali Khan, resided in Persia and his monthly pension of Rs.300 was paid to his Agent at Masulipatam up to the 16th March 1860, on which date he was reported to have died.

5. At this time it transpired that Muhammad Ali Khan was also in receipt of a Persian pension of Rs.400 per mensem, representing a pension which had descended to him from his father, Jaffar Ali Khan, on whom it had originally been conferred by the Imperial Govt. for political services in Persia. The Govt. of India sanctioned the continuance of Rs.200 out of this pension to the two eldest sons of Muhammad Ali Khan, viz Husain Ali Khan and Muhammad Husain Khan "on the understanding that the "grant should cease and determine at "their death."

6. There was some misunderstanding regarding the double pension drawn

drawn by Muhammad Ali Khan and the Madras Govt. was requested to by the Govt. of India to report on the matter.

7. The Governor in Council pointed out that there was no information on record in this Presy. relating to the pension of Rs.400 per mensem paid to Muhammad Ali Khan by the Bombay Govt; Muhammad Ali's stipend of Rs.300 per mensem derived from his connection with the Masulipatam family had been duly sanctioned by the Madras Govt. in accordance with the recommendation of the Commissioners appointed to investigate the claims of that family; that as it had been charged to the revenues of the Madras Presy. it was for this Govt. to submit proposals for a continuation of it to his descendants; and that the consequences of the error, if any, in continuing any portion of the good service pension to Muhammad Ali Khan could not be visited on his children. This Govt. would, it was stated, take into consideration, when making its ultimate recommendations, the separate grant of Rs.200 made by the Supreme Govt. to the two sons of the deceased.

7. The Collector of Kistna subsequently reported that he had ascertained that Muhammad Ali Khan had left seven sons and two daughters, all of whom resided at Shiraz in Persia. As the pension of Rs.300 was continuable

"during

Nil
Letter No.494 Rev., d/22-3-64

Nil
Letter No.1103 Rev., d/28-6-64

Nil
G.O.No.1635 Rev., d/8-9-64

E. Boards Prs. No. 3440 &.
5 August 1871

"during the Company's good pleasure the Madras Govt. recommended that according to the practice obtaining in this Presy. a reduced amount (Rs.200) might be sanctioned to the relatives of the deceased. The title of the family to the good services pension granted to Jaffer Ali by the Supreme Govt. must, it was pointed out, depend on the nature of the service and the terms of the grant, on neither of which points had the Madras Govt. any information.

8. In Govt. of India Foreign Dept. letter No.237, d/3rd August 1864, sanction was accorded to the continuance of Rs.200 a month out of the "Masulipatam pension of Rs.300 a month enjoyed by Muhammad Ali Khan" to Muhammad Hasan Husam Khan and Jaffer Kuli his second and third sons, for the support of themselves and their brothers and sisters "on the "distinct understanding that one-half "of the pension shall lapse on the "death of each of the grantees". The terms of the grant have been taken to mean that Rs.100 of the pension should be resumed on the occurrence of the first death amongst the two grantees, and the remaining 100 on the death of the other. These two brothers jointly drew their pension on the production of a life certificate obtained from the authorities at Teheran and Bushire. Jaffer Kuli Khan died in October 1870 and a moiety (Rs.100) of the allowance continued to

S/cc

at Masulipatam

Husain Khan

17[illegible] the Agent of Muhammad [illegible]

[illegible] certificate up

1st May

Mad[illegible] 1874, when at his request the payment

Go's transferred to Teheran [illegible]ect

[illegible] the 1st May 1874.

Nil

Letter No.391 Poll., d/21-9-74 after B

From the foregoing facts

would appear that the information

u desire is [illegible] in regard to the pen-

on of the fourth son of Husain Ali

Jaffer Ali Khan,

Khan, who was in receipt of a pension

or services rendered in Persia and not

the pension of Jaffer Kuli Khan, the

Jaffer

Jaffer

d[illegible]andson of [illegible]r Ali, who [illegible] as far

the records of this [illegible] Govt.

was

now never in receipt of a pension

or good service in Persia but drew

a political pension of Rs.100 per

mensem which lapsed on his death in

1870

October [illegible].

Plus

30.8.95

1/37/8[illegible]

When given for copying ____
When copied ____
When examined ____
When signed ____
} 189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189 , DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.								
STATEMENT WITH DITTO								
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.								

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.