[Poll. / 8.]
1,000--24-8-97.

Political (1898) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 608

DATED 27-8-98

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Chitralis.

Informing the D.M., the Wilgries, that, if necessary, separate quarters should be found for Amin-ul-Mulk & that he should select suitable quarters more remote from the Station than the Jail Hill; and directing him to report the Exact Expenditure in that Case & whether it can be met from the Lindred provision.

1
2.9.98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government {1898} of Madras.

POLITICAL.

MISCELLANEOUS.

Recd. } 1898. *Enclosures*

Regd. } 1898. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 608, 27th August 1898.

AUGUST.

Chitrali Detenus Amir-ul-mulk.

Informing the District Magistrate, the Nilgiris, that, if necessary, separate quarters should be found for Amir-ul-mulk and that the quarters selected should be more remote from the station than Jail hill, and directing him to report the exact expenditure in case of Amir-ul-mulk's separation and also whether there is budget provision for the same.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

POLITICAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper:—

From A. BUTTERWORTH, Esq., I.C.S., Acting Collector and District Magistrate of the Nilgiris, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Ootacamund, 13th August 1898, Cur. No. 291.

I have the honour to report, for the information of Government, that considerable ill-feeling exists among the Chitrali State prisoners at Ootacamund.

2. The ill-feeling exists between Amír-ul-mulk on the one side and all the other Chitralis on the other. The animosity is natural if it is true, as I am informed, that Amír-ul-mulk murdered his brother who was son-in-law of the Chief Shér Afzal Khán, and whose widow is now, I understand, residing at "Brookhampton". Recently Amír-ul-mulk made a complaint that certain of the Chitralis had attempted to beat him and requested that he might be confined in a separate house so as to be out of their reach. It appears to be true that there was a *fracas* of some sort and I am told that Amír-ul-mulk caught up a kitchen knife and tried to stab one of the other men. The opponents of Amír-ul-mulk profess to be afraid that he will poison them and, if what one hears of that person's previous history is true, it is not extremely improbable that he will do somebody an injury.

3. I visited "Brookhampton" on the 10th instant and warned all these men to be of good behaviour. But I do not suppose that my warning will have much effect, and they were disinclined to say anything about their dissensions to me.

4. In this connection, I have the honour to submit an extract regarding the character of Amír-ul-mulk from the (Dharmsala) Deputy Commissioner's note, dated 22nd August 1896, and to draw the attention of Government to the concluding portion of Mr. Stuart's letter to Government, No. 3, dated 7th January last, which was forwarded to the Government of India with G.O., No. 58, Political, dated 24th idem.

5. Under the above circumstances, I have the honour to request the permission of Government to rent, should further dissensions arise, a small house for Rs. 15 or 20 *per mensem* for Amír-ul-mulk and to employ a cook on his account. A constable or two will also have to be deputed to watch his movements, if he is confined separately. I noticed to-day a small building to let on Jail hill which might do for him and there may be some Government building there wherein he could be confined. This is a matter into which I can enquire if Government sanctions the proposal to confine Amír-ul-mulk separately.

Enclosure.

Dharmsala Deputy Commissioner's Note, dated 22nd August 1896.

* * * * *

7. I have occasionally allowed Amír-ul-mulk a small sum, about Rs. 5 a month, to spend in any way he liked.

He is the most difficult prisoner to manage. He has a bad temper, gets sulky, and remains so for some time, and he also has occasional violent outbursts of passion. He is at other times quite childish. Though not idiotic he is certainly of weak intellect. He requires to be treated with firmness. His cash allowance has been cut whenever he became quarrelsome.

* * * * *

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O., No. 608, POLITICAL, DATED 27th AUGUST 1898.

Letter from the District Magistrate, the Nilgiris, dated 13th August 1898, Cur. No. 291.

Note for orders.

The District Magistrate of the Nilgiris reports that considerable ill-feeling exists between Amír-ul-mulk and the other Chitralis at "Brookhampton." He sets out the facts in his letter and asks that in the circumstances reported, should further dissensions arise, he may be permitted to rent a small house for Rs. 15 or Rs. 20 *per mensem* for Amír-ul-mulk and to employ a cook on his account. A constable or two, Mr. Butterworth adds, will also have to be deputed to watch his movements, if he is to be confined separately. If the Collector's proposal is approved he will, he says, make enquiries as to a suitable house. He reports that there is a small house to let on Jail hill and suggests that there may be Government quarters available. It is a fact however that at present there is not sufficient accommodation available for the Secretariat clerks on Jail hill.

The detailed account of expenditure on the Chitrali prisoners is given in G.O., Nos. 812, 813, Financial, dated 1st October 1897, and the budget estimate for the current year is printed on page 170 of the Civil Budget Estimate for 1898–99. It is not known whether the additional expense that would be incurred if the Collector's proposals were approved could be met from the sanctioned budget.

Submitted that the District Magistrate may be told that, if he finds it necessary, he may do as he suggests reporting to Government the exact amount of expenditure that will be incurred and whether he can meet it from savings in his budget.

Attention is solicited to paragraph 5 of Mr. Stuart's letter No. 3, dated 7th January 1898, in G.O., No. 58, Political, dated 24th January 1898, where he says:—

"There is considerable ill-feeling between Amír-ul-mulk and Shér Afzal, and were it not for the additional expense, I should recommend that the two factions be accommodated in separate houses. But matters have improved somewhat of late (and) I do not consider it necessary to incur this extra expenditure."

Please see also the enclosure to the current.

(Intld.) C.W.
18–8–98.

C.S.—

It does not appear to be advisable to bring Amír-ul-mulk into quarters on Jail hill as he will be in the heart of the town. The District Magistrate will doubtless be able to find suitable quarters for him somewhere more remote from the station itself. It does not appear to be necessary to enquire whether Provincial buildings are available, as there seems to be no reason why Imperial should not find the house-rent if a separate house is required for Amír-ul-mulk.

Approved.
(Intld.) A.E.H.
24–8–98.

(Intld.) C.M.S.
18–9–98.

Yes. They do not seem to spend anything like the budget provision.

(Intld.) G.S.
20–8–98.

Order—No. 608, Political, dated 27th August 1898.

The District Magistrate, the Nilgiris, will be informed that, if necessary, separate quarters may be obtained for Amír-ul-mulk. The District Magistrate will in that case report to Government the exact expenditure to be incurred and whether it can be met from his budget provision.

2. The Government does not however approve of the proposal to bring Amír-ul-mulk into quarters on Jail hill. The District Magistrate will doubtless be able to select suitable quarters more remote from the station itself.

(True Extract.)

G. STOKES,
Chief Secretary.

R.N.J 578

Political.

~~Judicial~~ (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 1078

CHIEF SECRETARY
14 AUG. 1898
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Soon

From

The District Magistrate
the Nilgiris.

Order

cwr No. 291

Dated 12 - 8 - 1898
Recd. 13 - 8 - 1898
Received 14 — do —
Registered 15 — do —
Enclosures one
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Chitralis

Informing Government of the ill feeling among the — and requesting sanction to rent a building for Rs 15 per mensem to pal. Amirul Mulk and to employ a cook and a constable to watch his movements

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Enclosure (small type)

Dharmsala Deputy Commissioner's note dated 22 August 1896

Print 3a 194

(2)

× × ×

7. I have occasionally allowed Amir-ul-Mulk a small sum about Rs 5 a month to spend in any way he liked.

He is the most difficult prisoner to manage. He has a bad temper, gets sulky, and remains so for some time, and he also has occasional violent out-bursts of passion. He is at other times quite childish. Though not idiotic he is certainly of weak intellect. He requires to be treated with firmness. His cash allowance has been cut whenever he became quarrelsome.

× × ×

(True extract)

A Butterworth.

District Magistrate

13/8/88

Encl. No. 1
to Pol. Cor. 1078.

CURRENT NO. 1078 1a 495

cor No. 291.

The Nilgiri District Magistrate
Ootacamund Dated, 13 — 8 — COLLECTOR'S OFFICE, 1898.

FROM

A. Butterworth Esqre. I.C.S.
& District Magistrate
COLLECTOR OF
The Nilgiris,

To

Chief
THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Political DEPARTMENT.
Write the Governor
Stone House Hill
Ootacamund

SIR,

I have the honour to report for the information of Government that considerable ill-feeling exists among the Chitrali state prisoners at Ootacamund.

2. The ill-feeling exists between Amir-ul-Mulk on the one side and all the other Chitralis on the other. The animosity is natural if it is true, as I am informed, that Amir-ul-Mulk murdered his brother who was son-in law of the Chief Sher Afzul Khan, and whose widow is now, I understand, residing at Brookhampton. Recently Amir-ul-mulk made a complaint that certain of the Chitralis had attempted to beat him and requested that he might be confined in a

p85 of book file

see List A on page 111 of book file
intervierd
+ makes 2 daughters
of Sher Afzul Khan

separate house so as to be out of their reach. It appears to be true that there was a fracas of some sort and I am told that Amir-ul-Mulk caught up a kitchen knife and tried to stab one of the other men. The opponents of Amir-ul-Mulk profess to be afraid that he will poison them and, if what one hears of that person's previous history is true, it is not extremely improbable that he will do some body an injury.

3. I visited Brookhampton on the 10th Instant and warned all these men to be of good behaviour. But I do not suppose that my warning will have much effect, and they were disinclined to say anything about their dissensions to me.

4. In this connection I have the honour to submit an extract regarding the character of Amir-ul-Mulk, from the Dharmsala Deputy Commissioner's note

dated 22nd August 1896, and to draw the attention of Governm to the concluding portion of Mr. Stuart's letter to Government No. 3 dated 7th January last, which was forwarded to the Government of India with G.O. No 58 Political dated 24th idem

Since obtained Abstract from up. A Under Sec in Mil Dept

5. Under the above circumstances I have the honor to request the permission of Government to rent, should further dissensions arise, a small house for Rupees Fifteen or twenty per mensem for Amir-ul-Mulk and to employ a cook on his account. A Constable or two will also have to be deputed to watch his movements, if he is confined separately. I noticed to-day a small building to let on Jail hill which might do for him and there may be some Government building there.

198

where in all he could be confined.
This is a matter into which
I can enquire if Government
Sanctions the proposal to
Confine Amir-ul-mulk
separately.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your obedient Servant
A Butterworth
Ag. District magistrate

18/9/98

22/8/98
25/8/98

8

Ill feelings among the Chitralis.

H.E. The Govt.

Notes
Note by J.W.

Dy. D. M of the Nilgiris reports that considerable ill feeling exists between Amir-ul-mulk and the other Chitralis at Brookhampton. He sets out the facts in his letter and asks that in

1 5a 199

Political Dept

C1078

Connected with S.O. No 608 Pol dy 27th August 98

Orders

Read:- letter from the District Magistrate, the Nilgiris, dy Cir No 291 dy 13-8-98.

In the circumstances reported, should further dissensions arise, he may be permitted to rent a small house for Rs 15 or Rs 20 per mensem for Amir-ul-mulk & to employ a cook on his account. A constable or two, Mr Butterworth adds, will also have to be deputed to watch his movements, if he is to be confined separately. If the Collector's proposal is approved he will make enquiries as to a suitable house. He reports that there is a small house to let on Jail Hill and suggests that there may be post quarters available.

It is a fact however that at present there is not sufficient accommodation available for the Secretariat clerks on Jail Hill.

~~It would not be easy to [illegible] a-~~
~~-commend.~~

The detailed account of expenditure on the Civil prisoners is given on page 149 of the book file (Sp. No. 812 Finl dy 1.10.97). The B.E. for the current year is printed and on page 170 of the C.B.E. for 1898-99. It is not known whether the additional Expence that would be incurred if the Collector's proposal were approved could be met from the sanctioned budget: ~~[illegible]~~

Submitted that the Dist Magte may be told that if he finds it necessary, he may do as he suggests reporting to Govt the [illegible] expenditure that amount that will be incurred

and

and whether he can meet it from savings in his budget.

CW 18/8/98

Attention is solicited to para 5 of Sec'y Statistics letter No 3147.198 dated 24.1.98 wherein he says:-

A

just returned from Madras

"There is considerable ill-feeling between Amildar and Sheristadar, & were it not for the additional Expense, I should recommend that the two factions be accommodated in separate houses but matters have improved somewhat of late (and) I do not consider it necessary to incur the Extra Expenditure

Please see also the enclosure to the corresp

CW 18/8/98 CS.

~~[illegible]~~

~~Govt not approved~~ 15.8.98 It does not appear to

202

4 (a)

be advisable to bring Amir-
ul-mulk into quarters on Jail
Hill as he will be in the
heart of the town. The D.M. should
will doubtless be able to find suitable
quarters for him somewhere more
remote from the station itself. It
does not appear to be necessary
to enquire whether Provincial
buildings are available, as there
seems to be no reason why Imperial
should not find the house rent if
a separate house is required for
Amir-ul-mulk.

C.M.S.
4.8.98

Yes. They do not seem
to spend anything like the
budget provision.

Watson.

4 (a) 203

Political Dept
C 1078.

C.S.

Rder Wi. 608 & 27 aug 98
Mis

The Nilgiri

The Dt. Magte. mi
be informed that if
~~he considers it necessary to~~
~~obtain~~ separate quarters
~~house~~ Amir-ul-mulk
~~considers~~ he may do
The D.M. will in that case
~~so~~, reporting to Govt the
exact Expenditure
~~will~~ be incurred an
whether it can be met
from his budget
provision.

2. The Govt does not
however approve of
the proposal to
~~bring~~ Amir-ul-mulk
into quarters on Jail
Hill ~~which is in the~~
~~heart of the town~~
The D.M. will doubtless
be able to ~~find~~ select suitable
Quarters ~~for him some~~
~~where~~ more remote
from the Station its

204

3. It will not be necessary to enquire whether any Provincial buildings are available as in case a separate house is required, the expenditure entailed must be met from Imperial funds.

(from Orders)

Cwt 25/4/98

Cwt 25/4/98

The D. M., The Nilgiris (G) despd 30-8-98

addressed to Chitali Rao

When given for copying ____
When copied ____
When examined ____
When signed ____
} 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
189 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.							
STATEMENT WITH DITTO							
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.