

6/2/98

[Poll.
8
508-15-4-98.]

Political (1898) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 384

DATED 7-6-98

INDEXED.



11/5

[Despatch Abstract.]

Informing Haji Zuhur-ud-din Ahmad Khan Bahadur that H. M. the Queen - Empress has been graciously pleased to accept with thanks, the Persian Ode presented by him in commemoration of Her Majesty's Diamond Jubilee, and that he should have forwarded the ode through this post.

T
10/6/98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
1366/98							

G. 9
1,000-19-2-97.

Pol (189) Department.

D. O No. 47

CHIEF
SECRETARIAT,

D. C.

No. 79

Dated, 2nd January 1898

From

H. Lee Warner Esq. Secy
India office

D. O.

9. 2. 98

My dear Col Pryce,

Will you please
let me know something
about the character and
status of the writer of
the ~~enclosed~~ letter which
~~please return with your~~
~~reply~~ with enclosch - to be returned

referred to in Mr.
Lee Warner's No.

Dated 7th January 1898

Received 24

Registered

Enclosures one in open cover

Spare copies

Yours sincerely
H. L. 2. 98

Cms
87/1898

Lt. Col. D. D. Pryce

Paymaster, Carnatic Stipend

with enclosch to be
returned

SUBJECT.

For forwarding with remarks
a letter addressed to Her
Majesty the Queen by one
Haji Moulavi Zohoor-
rodeen Ahmed. Khan
Bahadur.

Ref asked for papers 26-1-98

Note

The writer is a Khan Bahadur
vide page 41 of the pamphlet put up
and flagged A. He is not a Carnatic stipendary

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

DO 47 of 98

INDIA OFFICE
WHITEHALL S.W.

Recd m. 1. 98

7th January 1898.

Sir.

The inclosed letter to Her Majesty with what appears to be a Persian ode, framed 3ft x 2ft., was delivered at this Office in July last to the address of the Secretary of State. Owing to an unfortunate accident, its receipt was only brought to notice a few days ago by the receipt of

letter from the sender, Hajee Monlaver Joochoo-
-roodeen Ahmed, Khan Bahadur, 6 Patten
Road, Madras. He is being informed by the
Private Secretary that his gift has not been forwarded
to the Queen as it was not received through the
Government according to rule. But that steps
are being taken to put the matter in the right
frame.

Will you kindly let me know
as early as possible whether there is any

reason why the gift, which is being retained
here in the meantime, should not be forwarded
to Her Majesty.

I am, dear Sir,

Yours sincerely,

W. Xeebamer

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Madras.

[G. 25]

1,000 - 11-97.

Political DEPARTMENT

GO C. No. 47

HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR.

THE HON'BLE SIR HENRY BLISS, K.C.I.E.

THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.

SUBJECT.

Presentation of an ode to
Her Majesty the Queen by Haji
Zuhur-ud-din Ahmad,
Khan Bahadur

FOR ORDERS.

Secy, Pol. Dept. India Office

Mr. Lee Warner for-
wards, demi-officially, a
communication addressed
by Haji Zuhur-ud-din
Ahmad, Khan Bahadur,
~~direct~~ to Her Majesty the
Queen, ^{through the India Office} Empress of India, on
the occasion of the completion
of the sixtieth year of
Her Majesty's reign, and
request to be informed
whether there is any reason
why a Persian Ode, framed
3 ft by 2 ft, which accom-
panied the letter, should
not be forwarded to Her
Majesty. The individual
in question is a Khan

* in open cover stitched with
the warrant.

Encls to GO 9748

Bahadur and is the son-in-law of Ghansia Begam, daughter-in-law of the late Azim Jah Bahadur, the First Prince of Arcot.

The course to be adopted as regards the transmission of communications from the residents of this Presidency to H.M. the Queen, members of the Royal Family and high officials in England was clearly indicated and made known to the public in G.O. No. 460 Political dated 15th July 1893, and a Govt of India Notification of 1887 on the subject was republished in the Fort St George Gazette of the 11th May 1897 in connection with the Diamond Jubilee. Although Zuhur-ud-din Ahmad's letter was written prior to the republication of the notification in May

Vide page 44 of the list of letters to the holders of the No. 146 at pp 431-32 of the Quarterly Civil List

X
N^o 11: It would have been well had the G.O. of May 1897 [No. 521 Pub. 7.5.97] been printed & commd. to Dept of the Sect: It is clear that the Home Dept. intimation of 1887 was not considered when G.O. No. 460 Pol. 7.15.7.93 was passed, that for reasons stated in the report of the G.O. No. 7. have been mentioned. The 1873 & 1887 intimations make it clear that all communications from people & bodies in B. India should be transmitted thro' the Home Dept. & those from Native States thro' the Foreign Dept. The G.O. should be made clearer

action being taken

D in G.O. 641 Pub 25.5.97

in the file which will be here. 25/5/98

It is unlikely that Mr. Lee Warner would write if this point were not clear. They can have sent it translated. M

E

last, he must have been aware of the usual procedure to be adopted in such cases.

In its telegram dated the 20th May 1897 the Govt of India required the submission of all jubilee addresses thro' the local Govt which was to see that none containing objectionable matter were forwarded. In the absence of Zuhur-ud-din Ahmad's ode it is not possible for Govt to say whether its contents are in any way objectionable. The India Office, however, must be able to decide this point.

As the ode was addressed in connection with the jubilee it cannot be considered an ordinary present coming within the purview of the Secretary of States Despatch No 111 Political, dated 19th November 1896, printed in G.O. No. 423,

Public, dated 13th April 1897.
Similar verses and poems
were sent to the Govt of
India last year (Vide go
608.9 Pub D 19-5-97 and
go 1179 Pub D 8-9-97).

F } in the file at ...
G } where ...

It is accordingly subd
that Mr. Lee Warner may
be told that this Govt has
no objection to the trans-
mission of Zuhur-ud-din
Ahmad's ode to A.M.
if the India office finds
that its contents are un-
objectionable.

Something must be first
ascertained re the main status
of the ode & the D.O. in the docket
is subd. for approval.

Cms
2/2/98



Political (1898) Department.

D. O. No. 97

SECRETARIAT,
D. O.
No. 97
Dated 17.2.1898

From Lieut. Col. D.D. Bryce

D. O. 17.2.98

My dear Col Bryce,

Will you please
send me for reference a
copy of the "List of the Prin-
cipal Gentlemen of the
Barnatic Family" mentioned

in your D.O. of the
14th instant regarding
Zuhur-ud-din Ahmad
Khan Bahadur

Cms
11.2.98

17.2.98
Submit with file
to Col

No.
Dated 12th + 14th Feb 1898
Received }
Registered } 14th Feb 1898
Enclosures 2.
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Re Hajee Moulavee Koochoorodeen
Ahmed, Khan Bahadur

Lt. Col. D.D. Bryce, Paymaster,
Barnatic Stipends

17/2/98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

8.9.97
Madras
12 FEB 1898
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

My dear Mr. [unclear]
Haji Ibrahim
Jaharuddin Ahmed Khan Bahadur
is a grandson-in-law of Nawab
Azam Jahan - he is a fine old
man, and I understand has
influence among the Mahomedans.
He volunteered for the Afghan War
for which Government thanked
him, though his services were
not accepted.
From letters I have seen, I gather

Col. Underwood had a
high opinion of him - I
see him every week & should
say that his character &
status were decidedly good

Yrs truly
Wm. C. C. C.

[Faint, illegible handwritten text, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side]

14th Feb 1898.
D.O. 98

CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

Dear Sir,
I should have
added to my letter about Haji
Morad Ali Zarrukhda Ahmad Khan
Bahadur that his name appears
38th on the List of the Principal
Graduates of the Carnatic University
- v. page 4

Yr. Truly
[Signature]

CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

Political (1898) Department.

D. O No. 110

From Lt. Col. D. D. Bayne

D. O.

No.

Dated 19th
Received 20th
Registered 21st } Feb 1898

Enclosures with S.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Forwarding the list of principal
gentlemen of the Carnatic family.

Ref

Papers please

21/2/98

Papers please
21/2/98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Enclosure with 5

C.S. Office
Chaparral
19th Feb^y 1898

D.O. No. 110

My dear Mr. Armadillo

Enclosed the list you
asked for in your D.O. dated 14th Jan.
- you will find the gentleman's
name on page 4 - No. 38 -
Kindly return the list when no
longer required, as I have
frequently to refer to it. -

Yrs truly
Augustus Steyer

Mr. Hon^{ble} W. A. T. Armadillo
Chief Sec^y to Government

X Returned
to Paymaster's
office
11/3/98

D.O. U. No. 47, 97, 98 & 110.

~~His Excellency THE GOVERNOR.~~
THE HON'BLE SIR HENRY BLISS, K.C.I.E.
~~THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.~~

SUBJECT. *to H.M. the Queen*
Presentation of an ode by
Zuhur-ud-din Ahmad, Khan
Bahadur

FOR ORDERS.

Attention is solicited to the note at T and the D.O. to Col Pryce dated the 9th instant K. The Paymaster reports that Zuhur-ud-din Ahmad, Khan Bahadur, is a fine, old and respectable man possessing a good character and status and that his name appears 38th on the list of the Principal Carnatic and other Muhammada Jan Hemen at Madras who are eligible for invitation to ball, entertainments at foot or once. (Vide list put up and flagged H.) Col Pryce adds that Zuhur-ud-din Ahmad Khan

He is the husband of a daughter of Nawab Ghousiah Begam, the nikahi daughter of Nawab Azam Jah, the 2nd Titular Nawab of the Carnatic (1819-1826).

Cms

Bahadur volunteered his services for the Afghan War for which the Govt thanked him. The address presented by the Muhammadans on that occasion will be found in the original of G.O. No. 483-84 Pol & 20-7-85, but the name of this individual is not found among the English signatures. ~~The~~ ^{the} ~~at~~ ^{of} ~~form~~ ^{the} ~~all~~ ^{reputation} ~~consequence~~ ^{of the person being sufficiently established by the testimony of the Bagmati.}

The following draft reply to Sir Philip Anderson is submitted for approval.

Dear Sir,
In returning the enclosure to your D.O. of the 7th January 1898, I write to say that Zuhur-ud-din Ahmad Khan Bahadur is an old and respectable Muhammadan citizen of Madras, connected with the Carnatic family and ^{he bears} possesses a good character and social status. ~~The~~

P.O. is not here.

This seems right - I am both, however, to pass any address in the P.M. Dept in the absence of any intimation from H.L. that I should do so while he is absent.
I need hardly say that if H.L. should wish me to take any note of his business during his absence, I shall be glad to do so, but I do not know how to do so.
Yours faithfully,
Nawab Azam Khan, The 2nd
Tibetan
of them. MS
25/1/98

There appears to be ~~no~~ ^{no} objection to the transmission of his ode to Her Majesty the Queen if the ~~document~~ ^{document} ~~office~~ ^{office} ~~considers~~ ^{considers} that its contents are ~~not~~ ⁱⁿ ~~any way~~ ^{any way} objectionable.

Cm. 6
2/2/98
H. 2. 98

To
The Secretary, Pol
and Secret Dept
India Office
(with enclosure)

Received for late for despatch
(5.30 Pm).
25/1/98

D. O.



Chief Secretariat.

FORT ST. GEORGE.

1st March 1898

Dear ~~Sir~~ Mr. Lee Warner,

In returning the enclosure to your D.O. of the 7th January 1898, I ~~write~~^{am} to say that Zuhur-ud-din Ahmad, Khan Bahadur, is an old and respectable Muhammadan citizen of Madras. He is connected with the Carnatic family being a grandson-in-law of Nawab Azam Jah, the 2nd Titular Nawab, and he bears a good character. There appears to be no objection to the transmission of his Ode to Her Majesty the Queen if its contents are unobjectionable.

Yours truly,

AA.

To

W. Lee Warner Esq. C.I.E.,

Secretary, Political and Secret Department,
India Office.

[G.]
105.
500-22-11-07.

FROM CIRCULATION
WITH REMARKS.

Not in the CB

The paper was
marked as such. as it is
partly Public - all Public
Admission - except from Native
States - most Public

25-2-20
The D.O. may issue? yes
Cms MA 25-2-98

C. 6.6.98
No 7.6.98

Poll (1898) Department.

D. O. No. 364

S.
Out up 17 of 1700
Cmb
16/10/98
C.S. H.E. the Governor
Punjab

From

Sir W. Lee Manner K.C.S. 2

Order No. 384. of 7th June 1898

D.O.
Gms.

294
6.6.98

No.

Haji Zuhur-ud-din
Ahmad, Khan Bahadur,
will be informed that
Her Majesty the Queen-Empress
has been graciously pleased
to accept ~~with thanks~~ the
Persian Ode ~~transmitted~~ ^{for presented}
by him in commemoration
of Her Majesty's Diamond
Jubilee. ~~He should have~~ ^{and has desired that}
~~her thanks may be conveyed to him~~
~~for and the ode through~~

Dated 6th May 1898

Received 23rd — — —

Registered — — —

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Stating that H.M. the Queen
desires her thanks may be
conveyed to Haji Moulana
Zakharooddeen Ahmed, Khan
Bahadur for the Persian
ode which H.M. has been
graciously pleased to accept
from him.

~~He should have~~ Haji Zuhur-ud-din Ahmad
Khan Bahadur, will at the same time be
informed that he should ~~not~~ have forwarded
to ~~his~~ the ode through this post.

Haji Zuhur-ud-din Ahmad,

Khan Bahadur, 6 Palters Road, Madras

thru' the Paymaster, Carnatic Shipend.

from him —
Office

Stitch D.O. 133

with this order & return
after dispatch &c.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	DO 133:1.3.	98.	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

DO. No 364

To Mr. with file.



HA

23.5.98

INDIA OFFICE,
WHITEHALL, S.W.

6th May 1898.

Dear Mr Arundel

With reference to your
Q do. letter of the 10th March,
H.M. the Queen - Empress
desires that Her thanks may
be conveyed to Haji Moulvie
Zahouroddeen Ahmed, Khan
Bahadur, for the Persian
ode which Her Majesty
has been graciously pleased
to accept from him in

Do. No 364

INDIA OFFICE
WHITEHALL, S.W.



Commemoration of Her Diamond
Jubilee. Will you please take the
necessary action in the matter?
Yours sincerely,

W. Seetwamer

Then given for copying 10/6
 Then copied 11-6
 Then examined 11-6
 Then signed _____

189 8

IN G.O. No. _____, DATED
 189 ,

MENT No.

CLOSURES TO U. No

OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

TER OF GOVERNMENT.

TRIMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.