

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 292

DATED 28-4-88

[Despatch Abstract.]

Informing Bahadur
Azim-ud-Din & Azim-ud-Din
Begam that the Govt. declaration is
in regard to the question of the distribu-
tion of the share of their grand-
father, Haider Ali Bahadur, and that they
cannot recognise any claim
in their part to a continuance
from the pension of their grandfather
Saddat-ud-Din Begam.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
800/98							

[Poll. 7]
1,000-25-11-99.

Political (1898) Department.

Entered in the P. & R. Cl.

10/3/98
in Time not taken
C. J. W.

CURRENT No.

From

Richardson Aguirre - 100 - 100
Rogers

No.

Dated 7

Received 8

Registered 8

Enclosures 1

Spare copies

March 98

SUBJECT.

Enclosing the reply to his
petition to the Paymaster,
Carrasco, praying
for a share of his paid
father's unpaid pension
L. O. P. papers

9/2/98

Order

BACK PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
90:	3.2.28		
646:	17.9.85		
282:	21.4.84		
359:	22.5.85		
664:	4.12.77		

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

L

The chief Secretary
to Government
Fort St George



Sir

In obedience to G. O. No.

AD 9, dated 3rd February 1898

I beg humbly to state

that I put in an application to the Paymaster
General's Office, praying for a share
from my grandfather's unpaid pension
and I beg to attach herewith the reply
I received from that office.

I beg to remain
Sir,

your most obedient servant

Richard Agnew

1st March 1898
A. Agnew

NOTE FOR THE Chief Assistant.

This file was returned to me to have papers connected with the Stipend of Saadut-un-Nisa Begam put up, as it was necessary to have documentary evidence to show that her Stipend lapsed on her death. The file has been delayed while search was being made for the papers in question.

RJT
12/11/98.

12/11/98

Note for the Chief Asstt

Mr. Thorpe gave this file to me to put up papers to show that the pension granted to Saadat-un-nisa Begam was only a life grant: he wanted me also to see if her name was mentioned in the lists in G.O. No. 357 & 359 Bd dated 22^d May 1885. I made a careful search for any papers that may contain the death report or any petitions claiming her pension but none could be traced. Her name appears in the "List of Political Prisoners in the Madras Presidency on the 1st January 1884" and there it is stated that the pension was for life. In the G.O.s of 1885, a Saadat-un-nisa Begam is mentioned: the relationship given of her to the original grantee is also correct & in the Remarks Column it is also stated that the pension should lapse but the amount of the stipend given is not the same.

22/6/98

C. No. 389

HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR.

THE HON'BLE SIR HENRY BLISS, K.C.I.E.

THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.

SUBJECT.

Petitioners from Muhammad Azim-ud-din and Azim-un-nisa Begam.

FOR ORDERS.

The petitioners apply for a share of the stipend of their grandfather Haidar Jang Bahadur.

2. Haidar Jang at the time of his death on the 29th July 1877, was in receipt of a stipend of Rs. 175 per mensem derived from two sources—

(1) Rs. 100 from the stipend of his ~~uncle~~ aunt Said-un-nisa Begam, and

(2) a pension of Rs. 75 granted to him in right of his mother from the stipend of ~~his mother~~ Sir Shairful-ul-umra Bahadur [vide letter of the ^{Asst. Agent} ~~Chief~~ ^{Chief} ~~Stipendiary~~ dated 16th November 1877. In G.O. No. 664, Polt., dated 4th December 1877].

3. The first pension should under the rules have lapsed on the death of Haidar Jang. The second was continuable in consideration, however, of his character and services ^{and} of the fact that Haidar Jang and his family were blood relations of the Nawab of the Carnatic. ^{the} Stipend of Rs. 175. was continued in full

full to Haidar Jang's family by G.O. No. 664 above quoted, but the present petitioners, shadi grand-children of a deceased shadi daughter, were excluded from any participation in the division on the ground that their father, Muhammad Anwar-ud-din, Khan Bahadur, was in receipt of a monthly stipend of Rs. 204.

4. In their petition dated the 20th January 1898, which was rejected under para. 11 of the G. Petition rules in G.O. No. 20, Political, dated 3rd February 1898, Muhammad Azin-ud-din and Azin-un-nisa Begam urged that the fact of a father being a pensioner does not bar his children from getting a share, quoting as a precedent the case of the Nawab Begam of the Carnatic who while in receipt of a pension of Rs. 5,000 per mensem was, on her mother's death, granted a pension of Rs. 300 in addition [G.O's. Nos. 646 and 222, Pol., dated 17th September 1885 and 27th April 1884, respectively]. They further stated that on the death in 1886 of their grand-mother Sadat-un-nisa Begam, the shadi widow of Haidar Jang Bahadur, her stipend [vide No. 4 in the list on p. 3 of G.O. No. 664, Pol., dated 4th December 1877 also Page 33 of the 1884 quinquennial list in the Vol. 1] ~~was~~ ^{was} ~~lapsed~~ ^{lapsed} to Govt., although the pension ought to have continued for another life, and cited the case of Amir-ud-daulah Bahadur, the stipends of whose three widows are returned as "continuable" in appendix F to G.O. No. 352, Political, dated 2nd May 1883.

Para 5 of Letter from the Govt. Agent, Chapank, on page 14 of No 664 Pol of 4.12.77.

The petitioners therefore further praye that the lapsed pension of Sadat-un-nisa Begam (Rs. 18) might be continued to them.

5. In G.O. 389 Muhammad Azin-ud-din encloses, in accordance with G.O. No. 30, Pol., dated 3rd February 1898, the Paymaster's ~~Carnatic~~ ^{Carnatic} reply to the petition presented to him. Col. Pryce has informed the petitioner that after a lapse of 21 years ~~him~~ ^{him} claims to the pension of Haidar Jang (which has already been continued in full.) cannot now be considered.

6. The fact that the Paymaster has summarily rejected the petition shows that the petitioner's case deserves no special consideration and that there is no necessity to reopen the question of the distribution of the stipend of Haidar Jang after a lapse of 21 years, during which time the petitioners have apparently taken no steps to bring forward their claims. The rules governing the distribution of 185. Carnatic Stipends (to which class that of Haidar Jang belongs) are contained in Govt. of India, ^{Foreign} ~~Indian~~ Dept. letter No. 4644, dated 21st September 1886, and it will be seen that the basis on which the sharers in a pension are to be decided is whether they ~~are~~ ^{are} descendants or relatives ^{of} ~~of~~ the deceased who were supported from his stipend. In 1877 the Govt. Agent Chapank considered that the petitioners were in no way dependent on the stipend of their grand-father-Haidar Jang-and it is ^{too} ~~some~~ ^{late} in the day

to question that opinion now.

7. As for the contention in the petition of January that the pension of Saadat-ul-nisa Begam should have been continued, it is to be noted that Haider Jung Bahadur was a second holder of a pension, portion of which should have lapsed on his death but which was as an act of grace continued to his family. Saadat-ul-nisa Begam was therefore a third holder of an original female stipend, and, as will be seen from the rules referred to in the preceding para, was liberally treated in herself getting a pension at all. Her descendants have no claim to a continuance from it. Amir-ud-daulah Bahadur [vide appendix P to G. O. No. 339 above quoted] whose case is cited by the petitioners as a precedent, was an original grantee.

8. The petitioners have, it is submitted, no case and they say it is thought is told.

ORDER:- No 292, dt 28th April 1898

mn
Petitioners will be informed that the Govt. declines to reopen the question of the distribution of the stipend of Haider Jung Bahadur which was settled 21 years ago, nor can it recognize any claim to a continuance from the pension of Saadat-ul-nisa Begam.

Cur 28/4/98
To the Petitioners (C & O) with origl. enclos. ret'd.
Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends. (P & O)

1st.
Moreover the present recipients wd be entitled to a portion of the pensions they have been drawing for 21 years.
2nd.

27.4.98

When given for copying *2/5*
When copied *4/5*
When examined *6/5*
When signed *6/5*

Whole to copy the for some papers to complete the enclosure collected 2/5/98
Reply recd 6/5

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 189
DATE

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT. A A A A A A A

LETTERS OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DISPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.