

Political (1898) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 206

DATED 18.3.98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Cornate Stipendaries

Issuing Passing order regarding the distribution of the full stipend of the late of Tukhramchand Ranshan Ali Khan Bahadur Feroz Jung, and informing the Paymaster, Cornate Stipends, that the principle in para 4 of G.O. 62733 Pol. 12.11.88 should be applied in calculating the share of great-grandchildren.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
5102	18		31-1-98				
529			6-5-98				
571			32-9				
646	198		14-5-98				
696	198		356				
			26-5-98				

Government {1898} of Madras. POLITICAL.

Recd.

Regd.

1898.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 206, 18th March 1898.

MARCH.

Carnatic Stipendiaries.

Passing orders regarding the distribution of the full stipend of the late Muhammad Raushan Ali, Khan Bahadur, Feroz Jang; and informing the Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, that the principle in paragraph 4 of G.O., No. 733, Political, dated 12th November 1888, should be applied in calculating the shares of great grand-children.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

POLITICAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following papers:—

G.O., No. 778, Political, dated 29th November 1888.

ABSTRACT.—Recording letter from the Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, agreeing with the method of distribution of stipends to grand-children whose parents have pre-deceased them.

G.O., Mis. No. 29, Political, dated 10th January 1898.

Read—the following paper:—

From Lieutenant-Colonel D. D. PRYCE, I.S.C., Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Chepāk, 16th December 1897, No. 549.

I have the honour to report, with regret, the demise on the 14th instant of Carnatic stipendiary No. 259, Muhammad Raushan Ali, Khan Bahadur, Feroz Jang, the only surviving grandson of Nawab Walaja. The deceased, who was 86 years old at the time of his death, was drawing a monthly stipend of Rs. 107-12-0 by E.M.C. No. 56, dated 12th February 1839, and leaves a family consisting of thirteen persons.

2. By G.O., No. 352, Political, dated 22nd May 1884, the Government were pleased to continue the whole stipend of Rs. 107-12-0 per mensem drawn by the late Turab Ali, Khan Bahadur, the younger brother of the deceased. I have therefore the honour to solicit orders of Government if the same concession may be shown to the family of Muhammad Raushan Ali, Khan Bahadur, Feroz Jang, who was second holder of the stipend from the original grantee similar to the late Turab Ali, Khan Bahadur, both being the sons of Abdul Hamid, Khan Bahadur, Itizad-ul-mulk, nikahi son of Nawab Walaja.

3. On receipt of the order of Government as to the sum they wish to be continued, I will submit a list of distribution amongst the members of the deceased's family.

Order—Mis. No. 29, Political, dated 10th January 1898.

In accordance with the precedent quoted by the Paymaster and that in G.O., No. 324, Political, dated 8th September 1882, the stipend of the late Muhammad Raushan Ali, Khan Bahadur, Feroz Jang, will, as a special case, be continued in full to his family.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) A. T. ARUNDEL,
Chief Secretary.

To the Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends.
" Accountant-General.

READ—also the following papers:—

From HAMID-UN-NISA BEGAM, grand-daughter of Muhammad Raushan Ali, Khan Bahadur, Feroz Jang, dated 18th January 1898.

From Lieutenant-Colonel D. D. PRYCE, I.S.C., Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Chepāk, 19th January 1898, No. 33.

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of G.O., No. 29, Political, dated 10th January 1898, and beg to report as follows.

2. The late Carnatic stipendiary No. 259, Muhammad Raushan Ali, Khan Bahadur, Feroz Jang, has left one "nikahi" daughter, nine grand-children and three dependants and servants as per list below:—

No.	Names.	Age.	Relationship with the deceased.	Remarks.
1	Fazilat-un-nisa Begam	44	"Nikahi" daughter ..	"Shadi" sons of Abbasi Begum, pre-deceased "shadi" daughter.
2	Qasbi Husain	47	"Shadi" grandson ..	
3	Zamin Ali	45	Do ..	"Shadi" family of Ghulam Husain, pre-deceased "nikahi" son.
4	Ahmad-un-nisa Begam	38	Daughter-in-law (mother of Nos. 5, 6 and 7).	
5	Qayam Ali	19	Grandson ..	"Shadi" grandson of Saadat-un-nisa Begam, a pre-deceased "nikahi" daughter.
6	Taqi Husain	16	Do ..	
7	Hamid-un-nisa Begam	21	Grand-daughter ..	"Shadi" sons of Sakina Begum, a pre-deceased "nikahi" daughter.
8	Haidar Husain	17	Great grandson ..	
9	Mahdi Ali	28	Grandson ..	A relative and superintendent of the deceased's household affairs.
10	Ali Husain	26	Do ..	
11	Ghulam Muhammad Ali	41		Servants.
12	Mir Jafar Ali	50		
13	Mir Turab Ali	55		

N.B.—The ages given above are as stated by the deceased in the list; they are subject to alteration by the medical officer in case of males and Mrs. Firth in case of females.

3. No. 1 alleges to be the "shadi" daughter of the deceased, but, on inquiry, I find her allegation to be untrue. Moreover, the deceased has himself stated in his list of heirs submitted to this office in 1884 that she is his "nikahi" daughter. It is a fact that her mother was the second wife and superior in rank and position to the other "nikahi" wives of the deceased. Taking this into consideration and the fact that she is the only surviving daughter in the family of the deceased, I beg specially to recommend Rs. 5 in addition to the one share she is entitled under the scale published with G.O., No. 778, Political, dated 29th November 1888.

4. No. 8 is a great grandson (grandson of a pre-deceased "nikahi" daughter); and as the scale prescribed in the above Government Order does not provide for a great grand-child, the same share as a grandson is entitled to receive is allotted to him.

5. No. 11, Ghulam Muhammad Ali, who is a relative as well as the superintendent of the deceased's household affairs for the last twenty years, is highly recommended by the deceased for a suitable provision after him, and I consider a sum of Rs. 5 will be a moderate sum for his services to the deceased. With the above remarks, I beg

to distribute the full stipend, viz., Rs. 107-12-0 per mensem, enjoyed by the late Muhammad Raushan Ali, Khan Bahadur, Feroz Jang, in accordance to Lord Clive's scale as follows:—

Stipend number.	Names.	Number of shares.	Amount.	Remarks.
259-A	Fazilat-un-nisa Begam	1 share ..	Rs. A. P. 15 0 0	Including Rs. 5—vide paragraph 3 above.
259-B	Qadir Husain	2 1/2 shares ..	25 0 0	
259-C	Zamin Ali	2 1/2 shares ..	25 0 0	
259-D	Ahmad-un-nisa Begam	1 share ..	3 5 4	
259-E	Qayam Ali	1 share ..	6 10 8	
259-F	Taqi Husain	1 share ..	6 10 8	
259-G	Hamid-un-nisa Begam	1 share ..	3 5 4	
259-H	Haidar Husain	1 share ..	5 0 0	Vide paragraph 4 above.
259-I	Mahdi Ali	1 share ..	5 0 0	
259-J	Ali Husain	1 share ..	5 0 0	
259	Ghulam Muhammad Ali	1 share ..	5 0 0	Vide paragraph 5 above.
1				
259	Mir Jaffar Ali	1 share ..	1 6 0	
2				
259	Mir Turab Ali	1 share ..	1 6 0	
3				
	Total ..		107 12 0	

6. The shares of Nos. 1, 2, and 3 being in excess of the limit of amounts for compulsory commutation prescribed in clause (b), paragraph 1 of G.O., No. 359, Political, dated 22nd May 1885, and Nos. 4 and 7, being females, not allowed to commute under G.O., No. 422, Political, dated 29th June 1895, their shares will be disbursed to them in the shape of monthly stipends; and the shares of the rest, viz., Nos. 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13 will be capitalised and paid off, provided their health is certified to as good by the medical officer.

7. If the above distribution is approved by Government, I will submit, as usual through the Accountant-General, Madras, a list of bonuses to be paid to those whose shares are commutable, together with their respective health and age certificates.

From MIR JAFFAR ALI, servant of the late Raushan Ali Khan Bahadur, dated 24th January 1898.

From HAMID-UN-NISA BEGAM, dated 2nd February 1898.

MEMORANDUM.

No. 408.

The Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, is requested to report whether he can quote a precedent in support of the proposal in paragraph 3 of his letter, viz., that Fazilat-un-nisa Begam, the nikahi daughter of the late Muhammad Raushan Ali, Khan Bahadur, should receive Rs. 5 in addition to the share she is entitled to under Lord Clive's scale.

2. He is also desired to state, with reference to paragraph 4 of his letter, the reasons for proposing that the share of a great grandson should be treated as equal to that of a grandson. There appears to be no reason why the principle adopted in paragraph 4 of G.O., No. 733, Political, dated 12th November 1888, should not be followed. In the present case it is not possible, on the information before Government, to decide what the exact share of the great grandson would be, as all that is stated regarding him is that he is the grandson of a pre-deceased nikahi daughter of the deceased stipendiary. The Paymaster should trace the connection between the nikahi daughter and the grandson, *i.e.*, he should ascertain and report whether

Haidar Husain is the son of a daughter of Saadat-un-nisa Begam or the son of a son of Saadat-un-nisa Begam. In the former case, since Saadat-un-nisa Begam herself would have received one share had she lived, her daughter, that is, the grand-daughter of the original grantee, would have received a one-fourth share and Haidar Husain would have received one-half of this, that is, a one-eighth share. In the latter case, the father of Haidar Husain would have received a one-half share and Haidar Husain would have received one-third of this, that is, a one-sixth share. The Paymaster is requested to report fully upon this point.

(Signed) A. T. ARUNDEL,
Chief Secretary.

14th February 1898.

To the Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends.

From Lieutenant-Colonel D. D. PRYCE, I.S.C., Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Chepāk, 18th February 1898, No. 78.

With reference to your memorandum, No. 408, Political, dated 14th February 1898, I have the honour to state that my reasons for recommending Rs. 5 in addition to that she is entitled to receive as a "nikahi" daughter of the late Muhammad Raushan Ali, Khan Bahadur, are, firstly, that she is the only daughter surviving in the first line of descendants of the deceased and the rest being all grand-children; secondly, there are precedents, as shown below, in which such concession was shown to the children in the same family:—

(1) By G.O., No. 231, Political, dated 5th June 1874, Abdul Ghani, Khan Bahadur, was granted a stipend of Rs. 50 per mensem, whereas his other two own brothers were given Rs. 25 each per mensem; (2) by the same Government Order Shaji-ul-mulk Bahadur received Rs. 150 per mensem, whereas his own brother, Deler Jang, got Rs. 140 although the former was at the time in the enjoyment of a handsome stipend of Rs. 625 per mensem as a chief officer of the last Nawab of the Carnatic; (3) by G.O., No. 196, Political, dated 14th March 1887, Ghulam Abdul Qadir Sahib, one of the "nikahi" sons of the late Etibar-ud-dowlah Bahadur got a monthly stipend of Rs. 25 in preference to the three other "nikahi" sons of the deceased who received Rs. 20 each per mensem; (4) by the same Government Order Karim-un-nisa Begam received Rs. 12-8-0 per mensem as a "nikahi" daughter of the late Etibar-ud-dowlah Bahadur, whereas his other "nikahi" daughter, Afzal-un-nisa Begam, received only Rs. 10 per mensem; (5) by G.O., No. 308, Political, dated 17th May 1893, Muhammad Mahmud, Khan Bahadur, received a monthly stipend of Rs. 325 and his own brother, Muhammad Hamid Khan Bahadur, got only Rs. 300 per mensem. I beg to add there are many similar instances prior to 1874 which are not cited here.

2. With reference to paragraph 2 of the memorandum under reply, I have the honour to state that Haidar Husain is the son of the late Sharf-un-nisa Begam, daughter of the late Saadat-un-nisa Begam, a pre-deceased "nikahi" daughter of the late Muhammad Raushan Ali, Khan Bahadur, so the share of Haidar Husain, as stated in the memorandum, would be one-eighth. As it is necessary to fix the shares of a great grand family, I would beg to propose that the division of shares to the great grand-children be added to G.O., No. 733, Political, dated 12th November 1888, for easy reference.

3. As regards the petition (herewith returned) of Hamid-un-nisa Begam, daughter of a pre-deceased "nikahi" son of the late Muhammad Raushan Ali, Khan Bahadur, I have the honour to state that petitioner chiefly prays (1) that her grandfather's stipend should *not* be distributed in accordance to Lord Clive's scale, and (2) that certain servants and dependants of her late grandfather be not allowed anything. I have no remarks to make on her petition beyond to observe that both her requests are not admissible under G.O., No. 778, Political, dated 29th November 1888, which allows arbitrary amounts to dependants, and directs adherence to Lord Clive's scale of distribution. The petitioner is No. 7 in the list submitted with my letter No. 33, dated 19th January 1898, and she has been allotted the share to which she is entitled

under Lord Clive's scale. I may here mention that the deceased sent in the names of six persons as his dependants and servants along with the list of his heirs which he submitted to this office, but I recommended the names of only three as deserving objects, the remaining three being otherwise provided for. It is true that the name of petitioner's son (as stated by her) was also included in the list above alluded to, but as there is not an instance on record in which both the mother and her son were granted continuation at the same time and from the same source, I did not include her son's name in the list of distribution that I submitted on the 19th January 1898.

From QAYAM ALI, grandson of the late Muhammad Raushan Ali Khan Bahadur, Royapettah, dated 22nd February 1898.

ORDER—No. 206, Political, dated 18th March 1898.

The proposal of the Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, to grant Rs. 5 extra to Fazilat-un-nisa Begam is approved. Haider Husain's share will be fixed at one-eighth. The Paymaster will submit, through the Accountant-General, a revised distribution list, forwarding the necessary age and health certificates in the case of those males whose pensions fall within the limit of compulsory commutation and reporting in the cases of females whether he considers that commutation should be insisted on.

2. With reference to paragraph 2 of his letter, No. 78, dated 18th February 1898, the Paymaster will be informed that the principle in paragraph 4 of G.O., No. 733, Political, dated 12th November 1888, should be applied in calculating the shares of great grand-children.

3. The petitioners whose petitions are mentioned above will be referred to the Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, who has received orders in the matter.

(True Extract.)

A. T. ARUNDEL,
Chief Secretary.

To the Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends.

" Accountant-General.

" Petitioners (paragraph 3 of order in manuscript).

Political (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 102

Order

From Hamid Unissa Begum,
Grand daughter of Mahomed Raushan
Ali Khan Bahadur
Perce Juss.

No.

Dated 18 Jan 1898

Received 18 Jan 1898

Registered 18 Jan 1898

Enclosures one

Spare copies -

SUBJECT.


Prayer for favorable orders
on her petition of the 15th inst.
to the Paymaster of the Carnatic
Stipends.

list of heirs and the same ground holds
 good. From your office records as well as from
 inquiries from different sources you
 will be satisfied that out of the relations
 of the deceased some are in receipt of
 pension or other allowances. I am writing
 except myself, my brother and those who
 are not in receipt of even a single pie.

Under these circumstances I humbly
 beg that you will be kind enough
 to do justice in the case of the
 deceased's relatives and report the
 matter to Government with a view
 to their sanction and to be in a position
 to forward the same to the Government
 for their consideration.

(Signed) Hammedunissa Begum
 Grand Daughter of Mahomed
 Borokhan ali Khan

15-1-98
 Madras



1.

The Chief Secretary to Government
 Political Department
 Madras.

Respected Sir,

I beg permission to state
 that on the 15th instant I humbly
 submitted a petition to the Paymaster
 General for his favourable
 report to Government. I now most
 humbly enclose a copy of the same
 for your honor's information
 so that on the receipt of the report
 from that officer your honor after
 perusing this along with the report
 may pass favourable orders and
 do justice on behalf of a poor family
 like mine.


I beg to remain
 Sir
 Your most obedient servant

18-1-98
 Madras

(sd) Hamid Unisa Begum
 Grand Daughter of Mahomed
 Borokhan ali Khan

Political (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 106.



From The Paymaster General, Stipends
Chhapak.

Order

No. 33 of 1898.

Dated 19th }
Received 19th } January 1898
Registered 20 }
Enclosures Nil
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Forwards for the sanction of Government, the distribution of the full stipends of the late Caruatic Stipends No. 259, Muhammad Raus Khan, Ali Khan Bahadur Haroz Jang, and his 10 heirs and 3 dependants.

8p - 1 81
Encl. 11.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	29: 10-1-98		
	102 of 98		
	papers.		

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[Poll.]
7
1,000 - 25-11-98

Political (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 189

From Mir Jaffar Ali, Servant of the
late Rowshan Ali Khan Bahadur
Madras

Order

No.

Dated 24th Jan 1898

Received 24th " "

Registered 25th " "

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Being up that his claims for a
comproionate allowance from the
stipend of the late Rowshan Ali
Khan Bahadur may be considered
note

To await return of G.O. W.P. 29 of 98
dated 21st Jan'y 98 taken out for
inf. 102 & 106.
entered in the M. & Regr

28/1/98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Enclosure - 1 Hindustani ^{document}
petition
Peters Road, Nagapet tal.
24 January 1898.

From

Mir Jaffar Ali
Servant of the late Rowshan Ali Khan
a Carnatic Stipendiary, Madras.

CHIEF SECRETARY
24 JAN 1898
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

The Honble the Chief Secretary to Government,
(Political Deptt)

Sir,
I beg to enclose, for your favourable con-
sideration, a copy of a petition ~~in~~ in
Hindustani, submitted to the Paymaster
of the Carnatic Stipends, praying that
my claims for a compassionate allowance
from the Stipend of the late Rowshan
Ali Khan W. may be considered. My late
master, in consideration of my long and
useful service included my name in the
list of heirs submitted to the Paymaster
so early as the 29th March 1892. The officer
ignores this list and has accepted
another which the heirs of the deceased
have now submitted to him, and in which
my name is omitted. I am confident
the officer will take a favourable view
of my claims if I can have access to
him and represent my claims personally.
As I am ^{oppressed} expelled by his peers, it is simply
vain to hope to be heard by the officer.
I humbly crave that you will kindly do justice
to my claims & afford me protection in
my old age, although I cannot hope to get
justice from the officer of the Paymaster
of the Carnatic Stipends. I have spent a
great portion of my life ^{in serving} my master - aged 93
years at death - while none of his heirs ever
took the trouble of seeing him for more than
together.

I beg to remain,
Sir,

Yours very obediently

(Mir Jaffar Ali)

[Poll.]
7
1,000-25-11-98.

Political (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 207

From Hamid-un-nisa Begum

Order

No.

Dated } 2 . 2 . 1898
Received }

Registered 3 . 2 . 1898

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Conveying thanks for the sanction
to continue full pension after deceased
grandfather, Muhammad Rowshan Ali
Khan Bahadur Khosro Jung & praying
not to sanction allowances to the servant
out of the pension.

Note

To await the return of G.O. 29/11/98
of 21st Jan 98 taken out for
Cn. 102 & 106.

H. 5/2/98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

our death.
 In conclusion I beg you will be so good
 as to bear in mind the old adage "charity
 begins at home" & according to the principles
 of equity & justice, not to sanction to
 any of the servants for any allowance
 out of the deceased's pension in as much
 as, we are extremely suffering hands to
 mouth.

For which act of kindness I shall
 feel thankful.

I beg to remain
 Sir

your most obedient servant.

[Signature]

2/2/98

The Chief Secretary to Govt.
CHIEF SECRETARY Madras.

I & my brothers & mother most
heartly thank your honor for the sanction
to continue full pension of my deceased
grandfather Ind Ransum Ally Khan Pr.
Ling Jung.

I hope you will be good enough to order the Paymaster to distribute the lump sum of the deceased pension in equal shares & ^{also} take into consideration some of the heirs of the deceased are all ready in receipt of pension as was done in the case of deceased's brother Surah & Ali Khan Bahadur Splander gung. If the Government sanction ~~the~~ the stipends of the deceased on the basis of Land Chao's decision we shall have to starve till

Political (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 307



From

*The Paymaster
Cannara shipwreck
Chennai*

Order

No. 78 of 1898

Dated 18th

Received

Registered

Enclosures One. (1) [C. 102. 162. 100]

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

*Reports on Government Accounts.
No. 408 of 1898 February 1898 Political
& gives certain instances therein
asked for.*

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
29:	10-1-98	352: 22-5-84	
135:	1-3-97	324: 8-9-82	
422:	29-6-95	231: 5-6-74	
557:	15-8-93	190: 9-5-74	
778:	29-11-85	Sm 056: 12-2-39	
196:	14-3-87	" 430: 18-12-38	
185:	5-3-86	" 353: 20-12-36	
359:	22-5-85		
407:	23-6-84		

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[Poll. 7]
1,000-25-11-96.

Political (1898) Department.

Note

entered in the 1st Regt.
The previous papers on the
subject of Roushan Ali
Khan B's pension are
in the C.A.

5/3/98

CURRENT No. 340

£ 500 in adv. Keep this limit
when they return

Order

From **Qaïqum Ali**
Grandson of the
late **Muhammad Roushan**
Ali Khan Bahadur
No. **Roya patta**

Dated 22-2-98.

Received { 25-2-98.
Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Praying for a moiety
of his grand father's
pension

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.


0.14/3.13

[G
27
1.000]

To,

The Chief Secretary
Most Respected Sir,

Royapettah
22-2-98



I, the grandson of Late Mohamed Roushen ali Khan Bz, most humbly beg to place the few following lines before your kind consideration, that I hear The Government has sanctioned for the full pension of my grandfather Rs 107-12-0 and also I hear The agent office has granted Rs 50 to two sons of my grandfather's daughter, and Rs 20 to my late father's 4 heirs.

The Government considered the honour of my grandfather, and he being the last grandson of Nair of Carnatic, has sanctioned for the full pension in order that his name may flourish.

My grandfather's name is to be flourished by me because he has no male issues, and I am in their places, I being the eldest son of his late son.

My grandfather's name is not to be flourished by Zamin ali Sahib and Lader Husain Sahib, because they are sons of his daughter, his grandfather's name will be flourished by them. They have received each two shares, one from their grand mother's pay (Rs) Rs 10 each, which they still hold, and one from their father's pay. My Aunt Huzlathunisa Begum the Nikah daughter of grandfather has some hope to get half a pension of her husband's pay i.e. Rs 15.

When Kaisa mutmulh Bz died, The Government gave the full pension to his Hareem's son and only Rs 25 to his Shadi daughter's daughters.

January 1898

whose name is Saidunnisa Begum, who had already received Rs 200 from her father's pay. The Government did all these in order that Haisa mul mukh Bahadur's name may be flourished.

There being the shadi daughter's sons of Aul Azim Jah Br. namely, Haji Ahmed Ali Sahib and his other brothers, The Government did not give them the his Successorship, but gave to a son of Moazzud dulah a Nikah son of late Aul Azim Jah Br. with a increase of 4000 Rs monthly, because Aul Azim Jah Bahadur's name to be flourished.

When Akhbarullah Doolah Br. died the Government gave 20 Rs monthly to his shadi daughter and 20 Rs to her to his Nikah son, and 40 Rs to the eldest son in order that Akhbarullah Doolah Bahadur's name may be flourished.

Lagi Husain Sahib and Ghulam Husain Sahib the two shadi sons of Raja Husain Khan, who had received a pension from their mother's share, The Government did not give them a pie but gave to Nikah sons.

Your honour can see the distribution of pay of Lurak Ali Khan Br. and Husain Begum a brother a sister respectively of my grandfather.

According to the rule my grandfather's pay only $\frac{2}{3}$ of the pay was to be distributed, in order that his name is to be flourished sanctioned for the full, but if you give 50 Rs to two shadi sons of the daughter how will my grandfather's name will be flourished, I am the only person the proper heir and the head of my grandfather's house. I beg humbly to distribute the $\frac{2}{3}$ pay and the remainder to the increase of the Government the $\frac{1}{3}$ on my name, I hope your honour will judge and give me the increase of the $\frac{1}{3}$ I pray.

R. G. O. No. 29, P. 10
10th January

R-14/3
C-10/13
G
25
1,000 - 22-11-07

Political DEPARTMENT.

C. Nos. 207 and 307

HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR.

~~THE HON'BLE SIR HENRY BLISS, K.O.I.E.~~

~~THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.~~

SUBJECT.

The distribution of the Stipend
of the late Muhammad
Rauhan Ali Khan Bahadur,
Feroz Jang.

FOR ORDERS.

In reporting the death of the
late Carnatic Stipendiary
No 529, Muhammad Rauhan
Ali Khan Bahadur, Feroz
Jang, the Paymaster,
Carnatic Stipends
recommended the con-
tinuation ^{to his family} of the whole of
his pension of Rs 107-8-0,
which he was drawing
under E. M. C. No 86 dated
12th February 1839, ~~to his~~
~~family~~ and in accord-
ance with the precedents
quoted by him and
that in G. O. No 324, Poll,
dated 8th September 1882,
Govt. ~~passed orders~~ in
R. G. O. No 29, Poll, dated
10th January 1898

not here X A
1/19/98

proposal

sanctioned the ~~recommendation~~
~~stipend~~ the stipend in
as a special case.
The Paymaster accord-
ingly, in C. No 106, sub-
mitted a list distributing
the stipend in accordance
with Lord Clives Scale
among the relatives
and dependents of the
late Stipendiary. ~~For~~
~~Examination was requested~~
Memo. No 408, Poll,
dated 14th February 1898
in ~~which~~ the Paymaster
was requested

G.O. No 778 P.M.
29. 11. 98

three
No ~~two~~ points required to be
cleared up The Paymaster was
requested in

NY

(1) to quote a precedent
in support of the
proposal in para 3
of his letter (C. No 106)
viz. that Fazilat-un-
Nisa Begam, the
nikahi daughter of the
late Muhammad
Rauhan Ali Khan
Bahadur should
receive Rs 5 in addition
to the share she is
entitled to under

Lord Clives Scale,

(2) to state with refer-
ence to para 4 of the
same letter his
reasons for proposing
that the share of a
great-grandson
should be treated
as equal to that of
a grandson, and
for not following
the principle adopted
in para 4 of G.O. No
733, Poll, dated 12th
November 1888, and

(3) to trace the
connection between
Saadut-un-Nisa
Begam and her
grandson Haidar
Husain, i.e., to state
whether the latter is
the son of a daughter
or the son of a son
of the former, as in
the first case he

would receive a one-eighth and in the second a one-twelfth share.

2. With regard to the first point the Paymaster states that the ground on which he recommended the additional Rupees 5 is that ~~the~~ Fazilat-un-nisa Begam is the only surviving daughter in the first line of descendants of the deceased.

He then quotes the following 5 precedents.

(1). By G.O. No. 231, Poll, dated 5th June 1874, Abdul Ghani's

C. No. 307

Fazilat-un-nisa Begam

Abdul Ghani's ~~former~~ wife was however in receipt of Rs 41 ~~per mensem~~ Abdul Ghani's pension seems to have been larger because he was the eldest of the three ~~and because he had~~ ~~received more than his brothers~~ ~~did from Sir Shah-ul-Ummrah~~ whose stipend was then distributed

and

Here again the amount seems to have been based on the sums which Shaji-ul-mulk and Delain Jung received from the deceased

and

Khan Bahadur, was granted a stipend of Rs 50 per mensem whereas his other two own brothers were given only Rs 25 each. A reference to the G.O. shows that he was granted this concession because of his two brothers Abdul Vasa and Abdul Samud the former was in expectation of a pension of Rs 225 through his wife, and the mother of the latter was already in receipt of Rs 49 per mensem.

(2). By the same G.O. Shaji-ul-mulk received Rs 150 per mensem whereas his brother [No. 34 in the list] Delain Jung got Rs 140, notwithstanding the fact that the former was already in receipt of a handsome stipend of Rs 625 per mensem. This was because the former was the eldest son of the deceased stipendiary.

(3). By G.O. No. 196, Poll, dated 14th March 1887 Ghulam

Shohul Quadir Sahib, one of the nikahi sons of the late Iktibar-ud-daulah Bahadur got a monthly stipend of Rs 25 in preference to the other sons who each received Rs 20. This was because he was of somewhat higher rank, i.e., eldest son in the nikahi line, and had a large family of 9 souls to support.

(4). By the same G.O. Karim-un-nisa Begam received Rs 12/8 per mensem as a nikahi daughter of the late Iktibar-ud-daulah Bahadur, whereas his other nikahi daughter Afzul-un-nisa Begam received only Rs 10, because ^{Karim-un-nisa} she was the eldest and favourite daughter of the deceased.

(5). By G.O. No 308, Poll., dated 17th March May 1893, printed in G.O. No 557 Poll., dated 15th August 1893, J. Muhammad Mahmud

The precedents quoted by the Paymaster support his proposal as regards the extra Rs 5 to Fazilat-un-nisa Begam which may it is submitted, be approved

Ans

who was a nikahi daughter of Muhammad Raus Khan Ali, Khan Bahadur.

Khan Bahadur got Rs 325 for her and his own brother Muhammad Hamid Khan Bahadur only Rs 300, because the former was the favourite and eldest son of the deceased stipendiary, as also an agent and Superintendent of her household.

3. With regard to (2), the P.C.S. states that Haider Husain is the son of the late Sharf-un-nisa Begam, a daughter of the late Saadat-un-nisa Begam, and that

his share, as stated in the memo, is one-eighth. The Paymaster here proposes that the division of shares to great-grand children, (printed on page 1 of

(T) G.O. No 778 quoted above), be added to G.O. No 773 dated 12th November 1888,

Please see on this point the type-written notes below for easy reference.

2. With regard to the
petition (C. Nos ^{and 207.} 102) of Hamid
un. Nisa Begam, daughter
of a pre-deceased
Nikahi son of the late
Muhammad Raushan
Ali Khan Bahadur
praying
(1) that her grand-father's
stipend should not be
distributed in accordance
to Lord Clive's Scale, and
(2) that certain servants
and dependents be
excluded from any
share,
the P.C.S. observes that
both her requests are
inadmissible under
paras 1 and 6 of G.O.
No. 778 dated 22nd November
1888, which allows
arbitrary amounts to
dependents and directs
adherence to Lord Clive's
Scale of distribution.
He further states that
the ~~petitioner~~
has been allotted
a proper share

She is No. 7 in the list in
C. No 106

Cur

The continuation of any
portion of the provision of
a deceased stipendiary
to dependants is at the
option of Govt. In this case
the mother is put down for
a share of Rs 3. 5. 4 p. m.
This fact need not
necessarily exclude the son
from a compassionate grant,
but he is probably able to work
for himself and the Paymaster
is apparently satisfied that
he is not deserving of any special
consideration

Cur

The Paymaster's view
may be accepted.

HA

According to Lord Clive's
Scale; that the deceased
stipendiary sent in the
names of six persons as
his dependents and
servants of whom the
names of only three
have been recommended
by opinion as deserving;
and that the reason
why the name of the
petitioner's son was
not included ^{in a provision} is that
there is not an instance
on record in which
both the mother and
the son were granted
Continuations of a
stipend at the same
time.

5. It is therefore suggest-
ed that the stipend,
which has been
continued in full by
G.O. No. 29 dated 10th
January 1898, be
distributed in accordance
with the list in para

of the Paymaster's letter (C.No. 106) except in the case of No. 259 H. Haider Husain, who ~~will~~ should receive an $\frac{1}{8}$ share and not a $\frac{1}{2}$ share as proposed. The Paymaster should therefore be directed to furnish a revised list.

As regards the proportions to be observed in the distribution of Carnatic pensions in the case of great grandchildren, it will be seen from paragraph 4 of G.O.No.735 Political, d/18th Novr. 1888, on page 1 of G.O.No.778 Polt., d/29th Novr. 1888, and from the addition made to that order by G.O.No.321 Polt., d/21st May 1892 (pasted thereon) that in the case of grand children the following is the rule:--

One-third of the father's share to a son of a son

One-sixth of the father's share to a daughter of a son

One-half of the mother's share to a son of a daughter and

One-fourth to a daughter of a daughter,

subject to the proviso that the sum of the shares of the family shall not exceed the ~~amounts to which the~~ amount to which the parent could have succeeded. The Paymaster in his recommendations in C.No.106 proposed that the shares of great grandchildren should be treated as the same as those of grandchildren, but this does not appear to be correct, because great grandchildren are, of course, one step lower down in the line of descent. Without laying down any precise scale, as doing so would make the matter somewhat complicated, it appears to be simple enough to apply the principle in para.

4 of G.O.No.733 Polt., d/12th Novr.

1888, to the calculation of the shares

of great grandchildren. In the present

case Haider Husain, No.8 in the Paymaster's

list in paragraphs 2 and 5 of G.No.106,

is the great grandson of the deceased

stipendiary and in G.No.307 the Paymaster

states that he is the son of a daughter

of the nikahi daughter of the deceased

stipendiary Muhammad Baushan Ali Khan

Bahadur. Had his grandmother (the nikahi

daughter of Muhammad Baushan Ali) lived,

she would, in accordance with Lord Clive's

scale, have received one share. Had

her daughter, the mother of Haider Husain,

lived, she would have received ^aone-fourth

share. Applying the principle in para-

graph 4 of G.O.No.733 of 1888 to the

determination of Haider ^{Husain's} share,

he should receive one-half of his

mother's share that is a one-eighth

share. Similar distributions can always

be worked out as they arise and it is

submitted that it may be ruled that the

principle in paragraph 4 of G.O.No.733

of 1888 shall be applied in calculating

the shares of great grandchildren. It is

not known how the Paymaster arrived

at one-half share for Haider Husain in

paragraph 5 of G.No.106, but the cal-

culation is obviously incorrect and it

seems ^{wrong} ~~incorrect~~ in principle to give

him the same share as Mahdi Ali Husain

immediately below him in the list in

that current who ^{are} ~~is~~ one generation

above him in descent.

[G
24]
1,000-22-11-97.

Political DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:-

Again G.O., No. 778, dated 29th November 1898. (P)

Again G.O., No. 29, dated 10th January 1898. (A)

Current No. 102 of 1898, dated 18-1-1898, from ^{Handwritten} ~~Handwritten~~ ^{Begam (Q)} ~~Handwritten~~ ^{Begam (Q)}

" " 106 " 1898, " 19-1-1898, " " ^{Handwritten} ~~Handwritten~~ ^{Begam (Q)} ~~Handwritten~~ ^{Begam (Q)}

" " 133 " 1898, " 24-1-1898, " " ^{Handwritten} ~~Handwritten~~ ^{Begam (Q)} ~~Handwritten~~ ^{Begam (Q)}

" " 207 ^{Handwritten} ~~Handwritten~~ ^{Begam (Q)} ~~Handwritten~~ ^{Begam (Q)}

" " 340 " " 22-2-1898, " " ^{Handwritten} ~~Handwritten~~ ^{Begam (Q)} ~~Handwritten~~ ^{Begam (Q)}

ORDER. No 206 of 18-3-98

The proposal of the Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, to grant Rs. 5 extra to Fazilat-un-nisa Begam is approved. Haider Husain's share will be fixed at one-eighth. The Paymaster will be requested to submit through the Accountant-General a revised distribution list, forwarding the necessary age and health certificates in the case of those males whose pensions fall within the limit of compulsory commutation and reporting in the cases of females whether he considers that commutation should be insisted on.

2. With reference to para. 3 of his letter No. 78, dated 18th Feb. '98 the Paymaster will be informed that the principle in para. 4 of G.O.No. 733, Political, dated 12th November 1888, should be applied in calculating the shares of great grandchildren.

3. The petitioners whose petitions are

are mentioned above will be referred
to the Paymaster Carnatic Stipends who
has received orders in the matter.

(From orders)

MA 6.3.98

Note. G. No 360 was
received after the
papers circulated

The proposals are as follows

8.3.98
12.3.98

MA
9.3.98

If the above proposals are ap-
proved, the Paymaster may be requested
to submit a revised distribution list
granting Fazilat-un-nisa Begam an ex-
tra Rs.5 and fixing Haider Husain's
share at one-eighth, and submitting in
the case of those males whose pensions
fall within the limit of compulsory
commutation the necessary age and
health certificates, and reporting in
the case of females whether he considers
that commutation should be insisted
upon.

Ans.
8/3/98

To the Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends.

.. Accountant-General.

.. Petitioners (each = 6 of petition
& para. 3 of order in MS)

By copy of formulation given to 7.4.98.

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
180

TO BE SENT No.

ENCLOSURE TO G. No.

FORM OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

SELF-SAME WITH DITTO.

BEFORE NOT FILLED UP, PRINTS MUST BE SUBMITTED
IN ORIGINAL.

3. 3.

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
C = Print abstract only.
D = Print heading only.

NUMBER OF COPIES
WANTED.

Order complete.
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.