[Poll. / S.]
1,000—24-8-97.

Political (189) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 203

DATED 17-3-98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Approving the proposals of the Paymaster, Barmatic Stipends, regarding the distribution of the two-thirds of the stipend of the late Malik-un-nissá. Began amongst her 5 dependants; and informing Muhammad Ali that the Court declines to recognise his right to succeed to any portion of the above stipend, and that this decision is final.

T
17/3/98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Political (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 257

CHIEF SECRETARY
5 FEB 1898
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

From The Sagunasher Jamadar Shyeed Shepeik

Order

No. 34 of 1898.

Dated 19/1/98
Received 2/2/98
Registered
} January 1898.

Enclosures Two

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submits for Sanction the revised list of distribution of the Cato Dualik amounts Begum's dependants and proposes to pay the shares of 2 of them in shape of monthly Stipends and the shares of the rest in a Lump Capitalized and paid off.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
3:	4.1.98	675:	13.10.97
422:	29.6.95	387:	7.6.94
359:	22.5.85	311:	11.5.94
452:	23.7.91	885:	30.12.93.
399:	2.7.91	318:	26.6.87
K55:	15.2.98	1022:	30.11.86
678	13.10	787:	15.11.86
778:	29.11.88	37	22.5.86
335			
98/6/78			
85			
11.2.69	475:	10.8.72	210:17.4.69
Sec 152	126:	7.8.88	142:28.4.69
90	10.43		
	321:	21.5.92	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Referred No.	Names.
341C/1	Muhammad Abdul Hoi.
341C/2	Muhammad Abdul Ahad.
341C/3	Khaja Muhammad Ibrahim Baksh.
341C/4	Sylhet.

Judl. Dept.
14.11.98.

I hereby certify that I have examined the following Convicts in Confinement, —

No. 341C/1, age 23 years is in good health and has been Vaccinated.

No. 341C/2, age 21 years is in good health and has been Vaccinated.

No. 341C/3, age by his own statement 55 years is in good health and has been Vaccinated.

No. 341C/4, age 20 years is in good health and has had Small pox, (acute), Confluent variola, enlarged glands in both Testicles enlarged, he is a feeble looking young man; he has been Vaccinated

Surgeon Major I.M.S.
Medical Officer Presy Gaol

Geo Lovell
Surgeon Asst. to C.M.O.
Offg: Surgeon to Asst.

(1898)

No. 37 of 1898.

From

The Surgeon

4th District.

Dated 13th January 1898.

Received 14th "

Certifies the ages of Md. Abdul. Lai, Md. Abdul. Ahad, Khaja Md. Ibrahim Sahib & Sydoo, as 23, 21, 55 & 20 years old respectively & states that Nos. 1, 2 & 4 are in good health, but No. 3 is suffering from heart disease.

Office of Surgeon, 4th District,

Royapettah Hospital, 17th January 1898.

No. 28

From

Surgn. Lieut. Col. G. L. Walker, M.D.

Surgeon, 4th District,

To

The Paymaster of

Carnatic Stipends

Madras.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 28 dated 15 January 98, I have the honor to state that the marginally noted three Stipendiaries have the ordinary prospects of longevity.

1. Mohammed Abdul Lai
2. Mohammed Abdul Ahad
3. Khaja Ibrahim Sahib

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Surgeon-Lt.-Col.

Surgeon, 4th Dist.

(1898)

No. 50 of 1898.

From

The Secretary to Govt.

Fort St. George.

Dated 19th January 1898.

Rec'd 24th January 1898.

Certified that Kadi fields
-ul-Khair, Kadi Abdulwahed
& deputy Kazee Mir Sahiby
Triplicane & Conjeeveram.

Kazi R

No. 34 of 1898.

Paymaster Jamadie Stipends'
Office, Chepauk 19th January 1898

From Lt. Colonel D. D. Pryce, I.S.C.,
Paymaster, Jamadie Stipends
Chepauk.

To,

The Honourable A. F. Arundel, I.C.S.,
Chief Secretary to Government,
Fort St. George
Thro' the Accountant-General,
Madras

Sir,

A With reference to G.O. No. 3, dated 10th January 1898, "Political", I have the honour to propose the following distribution of the two-thirds of the Stipend enjoyed by the late Malik-un-nissa Begum amongst her 5 dependants named in para 7 of this office letter No. 461 dated 12th November 1897:-

B

1. Muhammad Abd-ul-hai	...	Aged 30 years	...	Rs. 8-8-
2. Muhammad Abd-ul-ahad	...	" 28 "	...	Rs. 8-8-
3. Khaja Muhammad Ibrahim Batchy	...	" 55 "	...	Rs. 3-8-
4. Bydoo	...	" 20 "	...	Rs. 1-5-
5. Sawag Chaman	...	" 16 "	...	Rs. 1-5-
			Total	Rs. 23-2-

C 2. By G.O. No. 422, dated 29th June 1895, "Political", the last named being a female, her share will be paid to her in the shape of a monthly Stipend. The shares of the first 4 persons being within the limit specified in clause (b) para I of

D G.O. No. 359 dated 22nd May 1885 "Political" their shares should be capitalized and paid off, provided they produce a certificate of good health from a Commissioned

E Medical Officer, as required by G.O. No. 452, dated 23rd July 1891, "Political". But as the Surgeon of the 4th District has pronounced (in his certificate No. 5/B.C. dated 13th January 1898, herewith enclosed) No. 3 as "not in good health" the share allotted to Khaja Muhammad Ibrahim Batchy will also be paid to him in the shape of monthly Stipend.

3. The Stipends allotted to Nos. 1, 2 and 4 should be capitalized and paid off, their ordinary prospects of longevity being certified to, as good. The amount of sums to which they are entitled under the Scale

P.T.O.

Fort Saint George
Accountant General's Office
7 February 1898

No. 1,220
17 F.

Submitted

The commutation value noted by the Pay Masters Carnatic Stipends against the name of each Stipendiary is correct, but the arrears of pension should be ₹ 39. 6. 2, 39. 6. 2 and ₹ 6. 2. 10 respectively instead of the amounts shown in the last column of the statement appended to the Paymaster's letter. The revised figures have been approved by the Paymaster

I have
Accountant General

To
The Chief Secretary to Government
Political Department

Ref

...ree, together with arrears of pension from 12th September 1897 the day following the demise of the late Malik-un-nisá Begum, as shown in Columns 7 and 8 of the appended list. The ages of Nos. 1 and 2 are not taken from the enclosed medical certificate, but are calculated according to the rolls of this office as these two brothers received sons in lieu of pittances continued to them in 1891 from the lapsed stipend of their late father, when they were 23 and 19 years old respectively:- vide the list submitted with this office letter No. 500, dated 25th June 1891, and sanctioned by G.O. No. 399, dated 2nd July 1891, "Political".

F

Stipend Number	Names	No. in 1898	Amount of Stipend per annum	Amount per annum	Value of Annuity	Bonus	Arrears of pension from 12-9-97 to 31-1-98	Remarks
			Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.		Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	
341 C.	Muhammad Abd-ul-Rahim	30	8 8 -	102 - -	11.237	1,146 - -	39 1 7	
341 C/2	Muhammad Abd-ul-Ahad	26	8 8 -	102 - -	11.593	1,182 - -	39 1 7	
341 C/4	Saydoo	20	1 5 4	16 - -	12.050	193 - -	6 2 2	
	Total		Rs. 18 5 4	220 - -		Rs. 2,521 - -	84 5 4	

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.
[illegible] Lieut. & Lt. Colonel.
Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends,
Nagpatam.

Copy

[F. / 28]
1,000—11-6-07.

Political
~~Financial~~ (1898) Department.

CURRENT No.

From Mahomed Ali

Order

No.

Dated 10th Feb 1898

Received 10 " "

Registered // " "

Enclosures One

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Precaution that the finial order in his case may be withheld till the appeal to H.E. the Governo is disposed of.

R.O. for papers.
15.2.98.

R.O. papers rec'd
15/2/98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
CHIEF SECRETARY
10 FEB 1898

To

His Excellency The Right Honourable

Sir Arthur Elibank Havelock, G.C.M.G., G.C.I.E.,

GOVERNOR OF MADRAS.

The humble petition of Muhammad Ali, Carnatic Stipendiary, No. 341 A/1, grandson of the late Honourable Sir Shurfud Umra Bahadur, K.C.S.I., residing at No. 6, Typhoon Khan Street, Triplicane:

Most Respectfully Sheweth,

On the death of the petitioner's paternal aunt, Malik Oonissa Begum, Carnatic stipendiary, No. 341C., the petitioner applied to the Paymaster, Carnatic stipends, for the continuation of her full stipend to him, on the ground that he is her only legal heir, adopted son and dependent. But when the petitioner made inquiries with reference to G. O. No. 3, Political, dated 4th January 1898, which he received, he found to his great grief and astonishment that his claims were overlooked, that a portion of her stipend lapsed to the State, and the remaining portion were to be divided among his two cousins, M. Abdul Hei and M. Abdul Ahad, who are her sisters' children and consequently not heirs, but "distant relatives," one M. Ibrahim Baig, a vakil in the service of the petitioner's deceased aunt, and two others who were her menial servants.

2. In these circumstances, Your Excellency's name having become, within this short space, a by-word for Justice, the petitioner ventures to appeal to Your Excellency's clemency and to lay before you his grievances fully trusting to meet with Your Excellency's kind consideration and redress.

3. The petitioner begs leave humbly to bring to Your Excellency's kind notice that these, his two cousins, claim the stipend of the deceased on the ground that the deceased sent a memorial on the 22nd May 1894 to the Government, praying that her stipend may be continued to them after her death, as they were her *sons*. And as a precedent, they cited the letter of the late Fazilath Onissa Begum and the decision of the Government in that case by G. O. $\frac{475}{10\text{-}8\text{-}77}$.

With reference to this the petitioner begs to submit—

(*a*) that his deceased aunt and the petitioner were on friendly terms till her death and as her nearest relative and adopted son she had bestowed upon the petitioner an allowance every month. The petitioner therefore fails to understand how she could have sent such a memorial without his knowledge, and how in such a memorial, if ever sent, she could have left the petitioner altogether out of consideration. The genuineness of such a memorial is therefore highly questionable.

(*b*) That M. Ibrahim Baig, the 3rd claimant was employed by the deceased as a vakil to draw her stipends, etc., and her seal was always with him. The petitioner has heard from a trustworthy source that he is the writer of the Memorial. The petitioner appends a Transfer Deed dated 11th March 1893, signed by the deceased and attested by his two cousins, Abdul Hei and Abdul Ahad and begs Your Excellency will be charitably pleased to compare this signature with the one in the said Memorial. This indulgence, the petitioner craves of Your Excellency, because he is morally convinced that the said *Memorial is not genuine*.

(*c*) That M. Abdul Hei, one of the petitioner's cousins, was for some time managing the household of the deceased and received from her a monthly salary of Rs. 20. Three years ago he was dismissed by her and his brother, M. Abdul Ahad, was taken instead and given the same salary. The petitioner begs to submit that these men received no sort of allowance from the deceased when they were not in her employ. To substantiate the statement the petitioner begs Your Excellency to refer to page 12 of a copy of Calendar No. 5094 of 1896, Egmore Police Court, which is herein appended. They received "Salary and not Charity" or allowance as dependents. These circumstances were brought to the notice of the Paymaster.

(*d*) That these two cousins of the petitioner claim the stipend of the deceased as her adopted children. The petitioner begs to submit that if Your Excellency will be charitably pleased to refer to the letter of the late Azim Onissa Begum, dated 27th September 1876, to the Government Agent, Your Excellency will find that one of the petitioner's cousins, M. Abdul Hei was adopted by the said lady. How could the same person be adopted by the petitioner's deceased aunt as well?

(*e*) That the case of Fazilath Onissa Begum with the G. O. thereon, cited by them, is not a precedent. For, in that case, the legal heirs *did not lay claim* to the stipend and the Government therefore distributed the same according to the wishes of the deceased.

(*f*) That such memorials have been set aside by the Government in the case of (*a*) Baker Hussain Sahib (Minute No. 620, dated 20th November 1891), (*b*) Salabat Khan, (*c*) Aminuddin Hussain Khan, and many others, and the stipends awarded to the legal heirs.

4. The petitioner begs leave humbly to state that he is the only son of the brother of the deceased and as such, her only legal heir according to Mahomedan Law. She had adopted the petitioner and had given him till her death an allowance every month. The petitioner is thus her dependent as well. These facts were brought to the notice of the Paymaster and substantiated by a Mahzar signed by the most respectable members* of the Carnatic family.

* 1. Nawab Ghousia Begum, daughter of His Highness Azim Jah, Second Nawab of Carnatic.
2. Rahim Onissa Begum, daughter of H. H. Azim Jah, 1st Prince of Arcot.
3. Amathul Khadir Khadiri Begum, daughter of H. H. Intizam-al-Mulk Bahadur, 3rd Prince of Arcot.
4. Moulvi Tajummul Hussain Khan Bahadur, Son-in-law of H. H. the 3rd Prince of Arcot.
5. Haji Moulvi Zohoeuddin Ahmad Khan Bahadur.
6. Mahomed Aswaruddin Khan Bahadur.
7. Mahomed Ibadulla Khan Bahadur.
8. Mahomed Hamid Khan Bahadur.

5. The petitioner begs further to bring to Your Excellency's kind notice that circumstances over which he had no control put him into great debt (C. S. No. 92 of 1895, High Court) and he is in very great pecuniary difficulties. For the funeral expenses and other ceremonials of the deceased alone the petitioner had lately to incur a fresh debt of over Rs. 400. The petitioner is in receipt only of one stipend of Rs. 51, which he inherited from his father. His two cousins on the other hand had three stipends already commuted to the Government and with this money, they have been bettering their pecuniary circumstances, as will be seen from the copy of the Police case herein appended.

In conclusion, the petitioner begs leave most respectfully to solicit that Your Excellency will be pleased to give your kind and generous consideration to his humble petition and order the stipend of Rs. 34-12-0 which the deceased enjoyed, to be continued in full to him, or such portion of it, as Your Excellency, in consideration of his present straitened circumstances and his right, may, out of Your Excellency's generosity, deem proper.

For which act of charity and kindness, the petitioner shall, as in duty bound, ever pray for Your Excellency's long life and prosperity.

The petitioner begs to remain
Your Excellency's most obedient and
humble servant,

Mohomed Ali

MADRAS,
9th February 1898.

CHIEF SECRETARY
10 FEB 1848
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Madras 10th February 1848.

To

The Honourable

The Chief Secretary to Government.

Fort St George.

Honored Sir,

A With reference to G. O. No 3 dated 4th January 48 Political. I beg to state that I have appealed my case to His Excellency the Governor, a copy of which is here in enclosed for your perusal.

Further I beg leave humbly crave that you will be graciously pleased to withhold the final order in the said matter till the disposal of my appeal.

For which act of charity and kindness I shall as in duty bound ever pray.

I beg to remain Honored Sir
your most obedient servant

Mohamed Ali

Political (1898) Department.

Note
The previous currents - 247 & 259 - are with the CA.
JS 16/1/1898

CURRENT No.

CHIEF SECRETARY
16 FEB 1898
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

From A. Revd Wm E. J. Jos
Bursar Secretary to
H.E. the Governor

Order

No.

Dated 13th Febry 98

Received

Registered

Enclosures 2

Spare copies 1

SUBJECT.

Transfer a petition of Syed
Ibrahim Mohammad Ali
Sahib from Mohammad Ali
Carnatic Stipendiary, Triplicane
Madras, praying that the whole
or a portion of his late aunt's
Stipend may be continued to him

(By order)

W. Brooke Secretary

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

To

His Excellency The Right Honourable

Sir Arthur Elibank Havelock, G.C.M.G., G.C.I.E.,

GOVERNOR OF MADRAS.

The humble petition of Muhammad Ali, Carnatic Stipendiary, No. 341 A/1, grandson of the late Honourable Sir Shurfal Umra Bahadur, K.C.S.I., residing at No. 6, Typhoon Khan Street, Triplicane:

Most Respectfully Sheweth,

On the death of the petitioner's paternal aunt, Malik Oonissa Begum, Carnatic stipendiary, No. 341C., the petitioner applied to the Paymaster, Carnatic stipends, for the continuation of her full stipend to him, on the ground that he is her only legal heir, adopted son and dependent. But when the petitioner made inquiries with reference to G. O. No. 3, Political, dated 4th January 1898, which he received, he found to his great grief and astonishment that his claims were overlooked, that a portion of her stipend lapsed to the State, and the remaining portion were to be divided among his two cousins, M. Abdul Hei and M. Abdul Ahad, who are her sisters' children and consequently not heirs, but "distant relatives," one M. Ibrahim Baig, a vakil in the service of the petitioner's deceased aunt, and two others who were her menial servants.

2. In these circumstances, Your Excellency's name having become, within this short space, a by-word for Justice, the petitioner ventures to appeal to Your Excellency's clemency and to lay before you his grievances fully trusting to meet with Your Excellency's kind consideration and redress.

3. The petitioner begs leave humbly to bring to Your Excellency's kind notice that these, his two cousins, claim the stipend of the deceased on the ground that the deceased sent a memorial on the 22nd May 1894 to the Government, praying that her stipend may be continued to them after her death, as they were her *sons*. And as a precedent, they cited the letter of the late Fazilath Onissa Begum and the decision of the Government in that case by G. O. 475/10-8-77.

With reference to this the petitioner begs to submit—

(*a*) that his deceased aunt and the petitioner were on friendly terms till her death and as her nearest relative and adopted son she had bestowed upon the petitioner an allowance every month. The petitioner therefore fails to understand how she could have sent such a memorial without his knowledge, and how in such a memorial, if ever sent, she could have left the petitioner altogether out of consideration. The genuineness of such a memorial is therefore highly questionable.

(*b*) That M. Ibrahim Baig, the 3rd claimant was employed by the deceased as a vakil to draw her stipends, etc., and her seal was always with him. The petitioner has heard from a trustworthy source that he is the writer of the Memorial. The petitioner appends a Transfer Deed dated 11th March 1893, signed by the deceased and attested by his two cousins, Abdul Hei and Abdul Ahad and begs Your Excellency will be charitably pleased to compare this signature with the one in the said Memorial. This indulgence, the petitioner craves of Your Excellency, because he is morally convinced that the said *Memorial is not genuine*.

(*c*) That M. Abdul Hei, one of the petitioner's cousins, was for some time managing the household of the deceased and received from her a monthly salary of Rs. 20. Three years ago he was dismissed by her and his brother, M. Abdul Ahad, was taken instead and given the same salary. The petitioner begs to submit that these men received no sort of allowance from the deceased when they were not in her employ. To substantiate the statement the petitioner begs Your Excellency to refer to page 12 of a copy of Calendar No. 5094 of 1896, Egmore Police Court, which is herein appended. They received "Salary and not Charity" or allowance as dependents. These circumstances were brought to the notice of the Paymaster.

(*d*) That these two cousins of the petitioner claim the stipend of the deceased as her adopted children. The petitioner begs to submit that if Your Excellency will be charitably pleased to refer to the letter of the late Azim Onissa Begum, dated 27th September 1876, to the Government Agent, Your Excellency will find that one of the petitioner's cousins, M. Abdul Hei was adopted by the said lady. How could the same person be adopted by the petitioner's deceased aunt as well?

(*e*) That the case of Fazilath Onissa Begum with the G. O. thereon, cited by them, is not a precedent. For, in that case, the legal heirs *did not lay claim* to the stipend and the Government therefore distributed the same according to the wishes of the deceased.

(*f*) That such memorials have been set aside by the Government in the case of (*a*) Baker Hussain Sahib (Minute No. 620, dated 20th November 1891), (*b*) Salabat Khan, (*c*) Aminuddin Hussain Khan, and many others, and the stipends awarded to the legal heirs.

4. The petitioner begs leave humbly to state that he is the only son of the brother of the deceased and as such, her only legal heir according to Mahomedan Law. She had adopted the petitioner and had given him till her death an allowance every month. The petitioner is thus her dependent as well. These facts were brought to the notice of the Paymaster and substantiated by a Mahzar signed by the most respectable members* of the Carnatic family.

* 1. Nawab Ghousia Begum, daughter of His Highness Azam Jah, Second Nawab of Carnatic.
2. Rahim Onissa Begum, daughter of H. H. Azim Jah, 1st Prince of Arcot.
3. Amatlul Khadir Khadiri Begum, daughter of H. H. Intizam-ul-Mulk Bahadur, 3rd Prince of Arcot.
4. Moulvi Tajammul Hussain Khan Bahadur, Son-in-law of H. H. the 3rd Prince of Arcot.
5. Haji Moulvi Zoheruddin Ahmad Khan Bahadur.
6. Mahomed Anwaruddin Khan Bahadur.
7. Mahomed Ibadulla Khan Bahadur.
8. Mahomed Hamid Khan Bahadur.

5. The petitioner begs further to bring to Your Excellency's kind notice that circumstances over which he had no control put him into great debt (C. S. No. 92 of 1895, High Court) and he is in very great pecuniary difficulties. For the funeral expenses and other ceremonials of the deceased alone the petitioner had lately to incur a fresh debt of over Rs. 400. The petitioner is in receipt only of one stipend of Rs. 51, which he inherited from his father. His two cousins on the other hand had three stipends already commuted to the Government and with this money, they have been bettering their pecuniary circumstances, as will be seen from the copy of the Police case herein appended.

In conclusion, the petitioner begs leave most respectfully to solicit that Your Excellency will be pleased to give your kind and generous consideration to his humble petition and order the stipend of Rs. 34-12-0 which the deceased enjoyed, to be continued in full to him, or such portion of it, as Your Excellency, in consideration of his present straitened circumstances and his right, may, out of Your Excellency's generosity, deem proper.

For which act of charity and kindness, the petitioner shall, as in duty bound, ever pray for Your Excellency's long life and prosperity.

The petitioner begs to remain
Your Excellency's most obedient and
humble servant,

Mohomed Ali

MADRAS,
9th February 1898.

[G. / 25]
1,000 -22-11-97.

Political DEPARTMENT

C. Nos. 247, 259 and 285.

HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR.
THE HON'BLE SIR HENRY BLISS, K.C.I.E.
THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.

SUBJECT.

The distribution of the lapsed Stipend of the late Malik-un-nisa Begam.

FOR ORDERS.

Malik-un-nisa Begam, nikahi widow of His late Highness Intazam-ul-Mulk, Third Prince of Arcot, died on the 11th September 1897. She was in receipt of a Continuable allowance of Rs 84-12-0 in right of her father, under G.O. No. 210, Poll., dated 17th June 1869

I.

2. In G.O. No. 3, Poll., dated 4th January 1898 the ~~Govt~~ approved of the recommendation of the Paymaster that the wishes of the deceased Malik-un-nisa Begam regarding the distribution of this continuable

A

Stipend, recorded in G.O.
No. 387, Pol., dated 7th June
1894. Should be respected
Grandfathered as
also the distribution
proposed by him. But as
the deceased lady was a
stipendiary of 1801, it was
directed that two-thirds
and not one half of the
stipend should be continued.
The Paymaster was therefore
requested to submit a
revised list of distribution.
Statement No. 247 contains the
revised list which is as
follows.

	Rs	
1. Mahomed Abdul Kaie	8-8-0	Nephews
2. Mahomed Abdul Ahad	8-8-0	
3. Khaja Mg Ibrahim Bakky	3-8-0	Dependants
4. Eydos	1-5-4	
5. Sarvay chaman	1-5-4	
Total	23-2-8 (K)	(K) 2/3 of 34. 12. 0

In accordance with G.O. No. 422,
Pol., dated 29th June 1895, the
share of the last named
servant, a female, should
be paid to her in the
form of a monthly

Stipend. The shares of the
first 4 persons being within
the Commutation limit specified
in G.O. No. 359, Pol., dated 22nd May 1895
their shares should be capitalized and paid off, provided
they can furnish the certificate of good health required
by G.O. No. 452, Pol., dated
23rd July 1891. This has been
certified of three of the persons
but as Khaja Mahomed
Ibrahim Bakky has been
pronounced "not in good
health", his share should
be paid in the shape of an
annuity stipend. The three
remaining stipends will be
capitalized and paid off.
The Paymaster has appended
a list showing the bonus
to which each is entitled,
together with the arrears
of pension from the day
following the demise of
the late Begam. The
Commutation value noted
against each name has
been found correct
by the Asst. Genl. who,
however, makes certain

corrections in the figures contained in the last column. As proposals as thus modified may, if is submitted, be approved.

C. No 285

3. It now remains to consider the petition of Muhammad Ali. On the 22nd November 1897 Muhammad Ali petitioned Govt. for a continuance to him of the whole of the Stipend. He alleged 1) that he was the only legal heir according to Muhammadan Law 2). That there has not been a single case in his family, of any stipend or portion of a stipend being distributed among any except legal heirs. 3). that the claim of Abdul Hai and Abdul Ahad to be reckoned as dependants of the late Begam is false.

His claims were however set aside by G. O. No. 3, Poll., dated 4th January 1898, noted above, and hence this present petition. C. Nos. 285 and 287.

C. No. 1418 in S.D. No. 374. 1. 98

Please also see the Paymaster's letter (in that order) + paras 2 et seq of the note

Cwb

Besides the points adduced by him in his first petition he now questions the genuineness of the memorial from the late Begam intimating her wishes with regard to the distribution of her stipend after her death. He states that Khaja Mahomed Ibrahim Bakr the third claimant in the list, was the vakil of the late Begam who entrusted her seal with him. Petitioner believes this man to be the writer of the memorial in question, and begs that the signature in the memorial be compared with another signature of the deceased lady which he encloses.

4. In his previous petition he rested his claim on the fact that he is heir according to Mahomedan Law. He also expressed his doubts as to the sanity of his aunt when she made out the memorial.

...e now changes his ground
...ad Mendes whether she
...ad any hand in the
...emonial at all. (X) as it has
...een decided to carry
...ut the wishes of the
...deceased lady it is
...uggested that the request
...of the petitioner be refused
...and he be informed that
...any further communi-
...cations received from
...him on this subject
...will not be noticed.

CS

(X) As the Paymaster has not yet reported on the petition and as it will save much trouble hereafter to have a full report on the present allegations of Muhammad Ali it is submitted that the papers may be returned with the endorsement in the margin

Cwb
23.2.98

No. 535, dt 25-2-98.

The petition from Muhammad Ali ~~will be~~ referred to the P. C. S. for report on the allegations contained ~~therein~~ in paras 3 and 5 thereof

Cwb
23.2.98

P.C.S (Q of all)
with C. No. 285 in original
— Encl. to C No. 711 of 1894 " "

Political (1898) Department.

CURRENT No.

CHIEF SECRETARY'S
9 MAR 1898
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

From The Paymaster Carnatic Stipends Chepauk

Order

No. 107 of 1898.

Dated 9th
Received 9 } March 1898.
Registered do }
Enclosures ~~5 (5)~~ 1 to C 285
returned
Spare copies
Note The letters received with this have been filed in their proper places.
10/3/98

SUBJECT.

Reports on paras 3 & 5 of the petition from one Muhammad Ali and as of opinion that Mr. Marsden's report on the subject is exhaustive -

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

395

No 107 of 1898. Paymaster Kamatic Ghats
Office Chepauk 9th March 1898.

From

Lt. Colonel R. D. Pryce R. S. C.
Paymaster Kamatic Ghats
Report.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort Saint George.

Sir

With reference to your Memorandum No. 535
dated 25th February 1898 "Political" on petition current No. 285
from Mouhammad Ali, I have the honour to report as follows.

2. In para 3 of his petition above alluded to,
Mouhammad Ali first tries to prove the petition sent by
the late Malik-un-nisa began both to government and
to this office was not genuine and accordingly criticises on the
claims of the deceased's 2 nephews Mouhammad Abdul Hai
and Mouhammad Abdul Ahad - with regard to this, I
have the honour to state that it is difficult to judge at this
stage, the genuineness or otherwise of the petition inquestion
though it is probable that it may have been genuine, as a
long correspondence passed between this office and government
in the subject resulting in the publication of G.O.
No. 387 dated 7th June 1894 "Political". The petition is bi-lingual
and sealed and signed by the deceased. Petitioner first tries
to prove that the deceased was not in possession of her full
Senses, he failed in this respect, vide para 8. of Dr. Manso

Report

report No. 461 dated 12th November 1897 and his present allegation can only be presumed as an after thought. His allegation that he was an adopted son of the deceased is untrue as he was under the sole protection and care of his father who died only in 1891 and his mother is still alive, even if his allegation is true, he has no claim to a share from the deceased annts lapsed stipend, as adoption is not recognized in the Muhammadan law, and there are several instances to prove that adopted children were refused continuation of a share from their adoptive parents' stipends. vide E. M. C. No. 152 dated 20th April 1843 para 1. and G.O. No. 85 dated 17th February 1849. Petitioner confesses that his cousins Muhammad Abdul hai and Muhammad Abdul ahad were drawing salaries from the late Malik-un-nisa Begam; they claimed a continuance as her dependants and not as adopted sons as is alleged by the petitioner, nor does the petition of the late Malik-un-nisa Begam (received with your Memorandum under report) speak to their adoption. It is a well known fact that Muhammad Abdul hai and Muhammad Abdul ahad were solely under the support of the deceased ever since they lost their mother in 1878 and they were residing with, and supported by her until her demise.

3. As regards the allegations in para 5 of the petition under report, I have the honour to state that it is a fact that petitioner has borne the expenses of the funeral ceremonies of the deceased, but I think the amount he spent on the obsequies is exaggerated, whereas, on the other hand he has omitted to mention the amount of arrears of the deceased's pension which he received together with the other estate of the deceased in the shape of furniture &c.

Muhammad Abdul ahad received 3 stipends of Rs 10-4-4-6 & 1-5-4 by G.O. Nos. 335, 293 & 399 dated 25th June 1878, 30th April 1884 and 2nd July 1891 Political respectively. The first of which lapsed on his attaining 18th year, under the terms of the grant and the other 2 stipends were capitalized and paid off to him. Muhammad Abdul hai received only 2 stipends, i.e, Rs 4-4-6 & 1-5-4 by the latter 2. G.O. which were also capitalized and paid off to him. They are now in destitute circumstances ever since their annts demise; whereas on the other hand the petitioner is in the enjoyment of a handsome stipend of Rs 51. per mensem and he is the only son to his mother who also gets a monthly stipend of Rs 32. vide para 6. of Mr. Marsden's report No. 461 dated 12th November 1897. The petitioner possesses, besides, landed properties and is in well-to-do circumstances.

4. In conclusion, I am of opinion that Mr. Marsden having gone through this case fully, I consider this report is exhaustive.

I have the honour to be
Sir
your most obedient servant

Lt. Colonel
Paymaster, Carnatic Stipendes

Respk.

Read again G.D. No 3 Pts. ✓ 4.1.98. (q & PCs. Draft)
— C. No 247 (q & PCs. a & as)
— as subst. ✓ 7.2.98 (a & Pt. q & as)
— C. No 259 — (q & Draft)
— 285 (Order)
— 395 (Order)

Political Dept

No.

Please see the note flagged and the Memorandum to the P.C.S which follows. C. No 395 is the Paymaster's reply to the allegations made in the petition from Muhammad Ali (C. No. 285 flagged). These statements have, it is submitted, been satisfactorily disposed of by the Paymaster and orders may, it is thought, now issue. Draft is submitted:.

Order. 203 ✓ 17-3-98
This

The proposals in the letter from the Paymaster, Carnatic Stipends, No. 34 ✓ 19. January 1898, as modified by the Accl. Genl's subst. No. 9. A.D. 17 ✓ 7th February 1898, are approved.

2. Muhammad Ali will be informed that the first decision to recognise his right to succeed to any portion of the Stipend of

The Late Maulvi ... was
Began now under disposal and
That this decision is final.

Cwd
15/3/98

JA 15.3.98

P.C.S.
A.G.
Muhammad Ali (Vol. Nos 259, 285 + 395 + para 2 of order)
& Tyabum Khan Sheen
Tuplicane
(with original enclosures &
C. No. 285 ord.)

Dec/2 9 22/3/98

16/3/98

When given for copying 17/3/98
When copied
When examined
When signed
} 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
189 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.								
STATEMENT WITH DITTO								
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DEPUTATION IN ORIGINAL.								

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.